

### Gay Community Periodic Survey: Sydney February 2011

### Author:

Hull, Peter; Holt, Martin; Mao, Limin; Kao, Shih-Chi; Prestage, Garrett; Zablotska, Iryna; Triffitt, Kathy; Edwards, Barry; de Wit, John

### **Publication details:**

9781921493331 (ISBN)

Publication Date: 2011

**DOI:** https://doi.org/10.4225/53/5750E63BD77DB

### License:

https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/au/ Link to license to see what you are allowed to do with this resource.

Downloaded from http://hdl.handle.net/1959.4/51740 in https:// unsworks.unsw.edu.au on 2024-04-16



### Gay Community Periodic Survey

SYDNEY, February 2011

Peter Hull Martin Holt Limin Mao Shih-Chi Kao Garrett Prestage Iryna Zablotska Kathy Triffitt Barry Edwards John de Wit

National Centre in HIV Social Research ACON The Kirby Institute Positive Life NSW New South Wales Department of Health



### Gay Community Periodic Survey SYDNEY, February 2011

Peter Hull<sup>1</sup> Martin Holt<sup>1</sup> Limin Mao<sup>1</sup> Shih-Chi Kao<sup>2</sup> Garrett Prestage<sup>3</sup> Iryna Zablotska<sup>3</sup> Kathy Triffitt<sup>4</sup> Barry Edwards<sup>5</sup> John de Wit<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> National Centre in HIV Social Research
 <sup>2</sup> ACON
 <sup>3</sup> The Kirby Institute
 <sup>4</sup> Positive Life NSW
 <sup>5</sup> New South Wales Department of Health

National Centre in HIV Social Research Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences The University of New South Wales



### National Centre in HIV Social Research

Level 2, Robert Webster Building University of New South Wales Sydney NSW 2052 Australia

Telephone: +61 2 9385 6776 Fax: +61 2 9385 6455 Email: nchsr@unsw.edu.au Website: http://nchsr.arts.unsw.edu.au

© National Centre in HIV Social Research 2011 ISBN 978-1-921493-33-1

Cover photograph © Stockbyte, reproduced under licence

Design and layout by Judi Rainbow

The National Centre in HIV Social Research is partially funded by the Australian Department of Health and Ageing and is affiliated with the Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences at the University of New South Wales.

Suggested citation:

Hull, P., Holt., M., Mao, L., Kao, S., Prestage, G., Zablotska, I., Triffitt, K., Edwards, B., & de Wit, J. (2011). *Gay Community Periodic Survey: Sydney February 2011*. Sydney: National Centre in HIV Social Research, The University of New South Wales. http://doi.org/10.4225/53/5750E63BD77DB

### Contents

Acknowledgments	ii
List of tables	iii
Glossary	V
Executive summary	1
Demographic profile	1
HIV status and testing	1
Sexual partnerships and practices	1
Sexual health	3
Drug use	3
Knowledge and use of PEP and PrEP	3
Findings	4
Reporting	4
Tables	4
Appendix	Al

i

### Acknowledgments

We acknowledge the following individuals and organisations for contributing to the success of this project:

### NSW Health

who funded the project

ACON

for ongoing support of the study and assistance in data collection

Survey coordinator

Chi Kao

Recruiters

who successfully recruited participants at venues and events

Survey participants

The 2,719 men who contributed their time to ensure that the study was fully inclusive of their particular circumstances

Venues

The management and staff of the various gay community venues, gyms and clinics who gave permission for the survey to be administered on their premises

National Centre in HIV Social Research

Judi Rainbow

ii

### List of tables

Table 1: Recruit	ment venue	5
Table 2: Age		5
Table 3: HIV tes	ting	5
Table 4: HIV tes	t results	6
	ood of testing for HIV among non-HIV-positive men if rapid ting were available, by location	6
Table 6: Use of a	combination antiretroviral treatment among HIV-positive men	6
	al load and combination antiretroviral treatment (ART) use HIV-positive men	6
Table 8: Sexual r	relationships with men in the six months prior to the survey	7
Table 9: Agreem relation:	ents with regular male partners about sex <i>within</i> the ship	7
Table 10: Agreem relation	ents with regular male partners about sex <i>outside</i> the ship	8
Table 11: Match o	of HIV status between regular partners	8
Table 12: Anal int	ercourse and condom use with regular partners	9
Table 13: Unprote	ected anal intercourse with regular partners, by match of HIV s	tatus
		9
	gative men who engaged in UAIR and always used risk- on strategies with partners who were not concordant	9
Table 15: Anal int	ercourse and condom use with casual partners	10
	protected anal intercourse with casual partners, by HIV f participants	10
Table 17: Disclose of partic	ure of HIV status to or from casual partners, by HIV status cipants	10
	ent disclosure of HIV status to casual partners with whom gaged in unprotected anal intercourse, by HIV status of ants	11
Table 19: Position	ing in unprotected anal intercourse with casual male by HIV status of participants	11
	no engaged in UAIC in the last six months who always used uction strategies, by HIV status of participants	11
Table 21: Where the surv	men met their male sex partners in the six months prior to rey	12
Table 22: Place at	tended for last syphilis test	12
Table 23: Knowle	dge about syphilis	13
Table 24: STI test	ing among HIV-positive men	13

Table 25: STI testing among HIV-negative men	14
Table 26: If participants were diagnosed with an STI, how many of their sex partners did they inform?	14
Table 27: Recreational drug use among all men in the six months prior to the survey	15
Table 28: Recreational drug use among HIV-positive men in the six months prior to the survey	16
Table 29: Recreational drug use among HIV-negative men in the six months prior to the survey	16
Table 30: Injecting drug use in the six months prior to the survey, by HIV status of participants	17
Table 31: Party drug use and group sex in the six months prior to the survey	17
Table 32: Knowledge that post-exposure prophylaxis is available	17

iv

**ART** antiretroviral treatment

HIV human immunodeficiency virus

**HIV-seroconcordant relationship** a relationship in which both partners are of the same HIV status, either HIV-positive or HIV-negative

**HIV-serodiscordant relationship** a relationship in which both partners are known (as a result of testing) to be of different HIV status, e.g. HIV-positive and HIV-negative

**HIV-serononconcordant relationship** a relationship in which the HIV status of at least one partner in the relationship is not known, e.g. HIV-positive and untested, HIV-negative and untested, or both untested

**HIV status** a person's antibody status established by HIV testing, e.g. HIV-negative, HIV-positive, or unknown (untested)

**PEP** post-exposure prophylaxis

**PrEP** pre-exposure prophylaxis

**STI** sexually transmissible infection

UAIC unprotected anal intercourse with casual partners

UAIR unprotected anal intercourse with regular partners

v

The Sydney Gay Community Periodic Survey is a cross-sectional survey of gay and homosexually active men recruited at a range of gay community sites in Sydney. From its start in 1996, the project has been funded by NSW Health and supported by ACON and Positive Life NSW. The major aim of the survey has been to provide data on sexual, drug use and testing practices related to the transmission of HIV and other sexually transmissible infections (STIs) among men involved in the local gay community. The data presented in this report are from the period 2007 to 2011.

In February 2011, 3194 men were recruited at 20 data collection sites which included gay social venues (bars and gyms), sex-on-premises venues, sexual health clinics and Fair Day (part of the Sydney Gay and Lesbian Mardi Gras). The response rate was 72.3%.

In the February 2011 round there was a significant increase in men recruited from social venues and sexual health clinics, and a corresponding decrease in men recruited from Fair Day. These changes should be borne in mind when interpreting the results.

### Demographic profile

As in previous surveys, the men in the sample were primarily of Anglo-Australian background, lived in the metropolitan Sydney area, were well educated and in full-time employment. Since 2007, there have been slight though significant increases in the proportions of men aged 25–29 years or over 50 years and a corresponding decrease in the proportion of men in their thirties.

Over time, there has been a steady increase in the ethnic diversity of the sample. Since 2007, the proportions of men of European and non-European backgrounds have increased significantly while the proportion of Anglo-Australian men has declined significantly.

### HIV status and testing

The overwhelming majority of men in the sample reported having 'ever' been tested for HIV (93.0%). Of the whole sample, most men reported that they were HIV-negative (79.7%) with smaller proportions reporting that they were HIV-positive (11.5%) or did not know their HIV status (8.9%).

In 2011, a question was added asking men whether they would be more or less likely to get tested for HIV if rapid HIV testing were available in different locations. Just under half indicated they would be more likely to get tested for HIV if it was available at a clinic/GP (47.4%) or at home (45.9%), and over a third said they would be more likely to get tested if rapid testing was available at a community organisation (36.8%).

Between 2007 and 2011, there has been a significant upward trend in the proportion of HIV-positive men who reported being on treatment. In 2011, 80.6% of HIV-positive men said they were receiving combination treatment for HIV. In 2011, nearly all the HIV-positive men on treatment (96.3%) reported an undetectable viral load, compared with 26.6% of HIV-positive men not on treatment.

### Sexual partnerships and practices

In 2011, almost a third of men reported being in a monogamous relationship with a regular male partner (30.8%), a similar proportion reported having both regular and casual partners (29.8%), and a quarter had casual partners only (24.6%). Fifteen

percent of men surveyed reported no sexual relationships with men in the six months prior to the survey. These proportions have been relatively stable since 2007.

Among men with regular partners in 2011, 62.3% reported an agreement with their regular partner about sex within the relationship and a smaller proportion (57.9%) reported an agreement about sex outside the relationship. In 2011, the most commonly held agreements about sex within a relationship specified that anal intercourse could occur without a condom (33.1%) or that condoms must always be used for anal intercourse (26.0%). The most commonly held agreements about sex with casual partners was allowed (26.1%) or that condoms must always be used for anal intercourse with casual partners (26.4%). Over the reporting period the proportions of men who have relationship agreements about sex within or outside the relationship have increased.

In 2011, among HIV-positive men with regular partners, 37.8% reported that they were in a seroconcordant relationship, 45.0% said they were in a serodiscordant relationship, and 17.2% said they were in a serononconcordant relationship. Over the reporting period there have been no significant changes in this relationship profile.

In 2011, three-quarters of HIV-negative men with regular partners reported being in a seroconcordant relationship (74.4%), with smaller proportions in serononconcordant (19.9%) and serodiscordant relationships (5.7%). Since 2007, the proportion of HIV-negative men in seroconcordant relationships has significantly increased, while the proportion of men in serononconcordant relationships has declined.

Half of the men with regular partners (49.3%) reported some unprotected anal intercourse with their regular partner (UAIR), while over a quarter reported always using condoms for anal intercourse (27.9%). In 2011, over one in five men with regular partners (22.9%) reported having no anal intercourse with their regular partner. Between 2010 and 2011 there was a significant decline in the proportion of men reporting UAIR.

Rates of UAIR typically vary according to the HIV status of regular partners. In 2011, among HIV-positive men with regular partners, the rate of UAIR was similar for men with seroconcordant regular partners (23.4%) and men with partners who were not seroconcordant (26.3%). Half of HIV-positive men in relationships (50.2%) avoided UAIR. Among HIV-negative men with regular partners, 42.3% reported seroconcordant UAIR, almost one in ten (9.1%) reported UAIR that was not seroconcordant and nearly half (48.6%) avoided UAIR.

Since 2007, there has been a significant increase in the proportion of HIV-negative men who engage in seroconcordant UAIR, and a significant decrease in the proportion of HIV-negative men who report UAIR with partners who are not seroconcordant.

Use of condoms for anal intercourse remains more common with casual partners than with regular partners. In 2011, almost half of the men reported always using condoms for anal intercourse (46.9%), while a third (33.1%) reported any unprotected anal intercourse with casual partners (UAIC). Although the rate of UAIC was stable between 2010 and 2011, the trend over time shows a gradual increase.

In 2011, HIV-positive men with casual partners remained more likely to report any UAIC (56.2%) than HIV-negative men with casual partners (29.8%). Since 2007, the proportion of HIV-positive men who report UAIC has remained stable, while the proportion of HIV-negative men reporting UAIC has increased significantly (although it did not change significantly between 2010 and 2011).

In 2011, disclosure of HIV status before sex to any casual partners was more commonly reported by HIV-positive men (76.1%) than by HIV-negative men (52.9%). Disclosure of HIV status to casual partners has increased significantly among HIV-negative men since 2007. Among men who reported any UAIC, similar proportions of HIV-positive (36.9%) and HIV-negative (37.3%) men reported having consistently disclosed their HIV status to all partners with whom they had UAIC. Over time, HIV-negative men who engage in UAIC have become significantly more likely to disclose their HIV status to partners with whom they have UAIC.

In 2011, new questions were introduced to assess the use of non-condom-based risk reduction strategies among men who engage in UAIC. Over a third of HIV-positive men who reported engaging in UAIC (36.9%) said they only had UAIC when they knew their partners were seroconcordant (serosorting). The proportions of HIV-positive men who reported always using strategic positioning or withdrawal during UAIC were relatively small ( $\leq$ 5%). Among HIV-negative men who engaged in UAIC, a third (32.5%) said they only had UAIC with casual partners who they knew were seroconcordant (serosorting), with smaller proportions (around one in ten) reporting consistent strategic positioning or withdrawal before ejaculation.

In 2011, almost four in every ten men (38.6%) said they had sex with men they met through the internet. Other commonly reported locations used to meet male sex partners were gay bars (30.3%), gay saunas (31.2%) and overseas (21.0%). In 2011, almost a third of men (29.2%) said they had used a mobile application like Grindr to meet sex partners.

### Sexual health

As in previous surveys, in 2011 a higher proportion of HIV-positive men (90.9%) reported having any sexual health test (including a blood test for syphilis) compared with HIV-negative men (71.2%).

Since 2007, there have been significant increases in the proportions of HIV-positive and HIV-negative men reporting any STI test (not including blood tests). The proportion of HIV-positive men reporting any STI test (including blood tests) has increased significantly since 2007.

In 2011, 79.5% of HIV-positive men and 60.4% of HIV-negative men reported a blood test for syphilis. The majority of these men reported testing for syphilis at their regular GP or a sexual health centre. Almost three-quarters of all men were aware that syphilis can be symptomless (72.2%) and that it is transmissible through oral sex (70.1%). There was a small but significant decline in knowledge about syphilis between 2010 and 2011.

### Drug use

Recreational drug use was common within the sample, with the most frequently used drugs in the six months prior to the survey being amyl/poppers (40.4%), ecstasy (29.8%), marijuana (27.9%), Viagra (21.4%), cocaine (20.6%) and GHB (13.2%). Since 2007, there have been significant decreases in the use of ecstasy, marijuana, crystal methamphetamine, amphetamine/speed and ketamine. Over time the proportion of men who say they haven't used any drugs has increased significantly (39.0% of all men in 2011). Similarly, the proportion of men who say they have used drugs for sex has decreased significantly since 2007.

In general, HIV-positive men remain more likely to report drug use compared with HIV-negative men. HIV-positive men have a disproportionately high rate of drug injection (14.2% in 2011) compared with HIV-negative men (2.7%), and this rate has been consistently higher over time. Rates of injecting drug use have declined among HIV-negative men during the reporting period.

### Knowledge and use of PEP and PrEP

In 2011, over half the participants reported that they knew post-exposure prophylaxis (PEP) was available, a significant decline from 2010.

In 2011, questions were added to assess the use of anti-HIV (antiretroviral) drugs for prevention of HIV before and after unprotected sex—pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) and PEP. Unlike PEP, PrEP is not currently available in Australia through the health system. Thirty-seven men (1.3%) said they had used anti-HIV drugs before unprotected sex as PrEP and 80 men (2.8%) said they had used anti-HIV drugs as PEP.

### Reporting

Data are shown for the period 2007–2011. Each table includes the statistical significance (*p*-value), if any, of the change between 2010 and 2011 and the trend over time (2007–2011). An alpha level of .05 was used for all statistical tests. In tables where there are mutually exclusive categories (shown on separate rows), the *p*-value of the chi-square test (if shown) indicates a statistically significant change within one or more of the categories between 2010 and 2011. A short commentary is given under some tables indicating in which category or categories a significant change has occurred. For statistically significant trends over time, the direction of the change (an increase or decrease) is indicated. Where there is no significant change, ns (non-significant) is shown. Please exercise caution in interpreting data where there are few reported cases (low numbers). Where there are low frequencies or data over time are not comparable, reporting of proportions may be misleading. In such cases, we have omitted percentages and did not perform statistical tests for changes over time; these cases are marked NA (not applicable). When data are missing or were not collected in a given year, this is indicated in the table by a dash (–).

### Tables

The findings of the survey are presented in tables 1 to 32 below.

	2007 n (%)	2008 n (%)	2009 n (%)	2010 n (%)	2011 n (%)	Change from 2010 $\chi^2$ test ( $p$ -value)	Trend over time $\chi^{^2}$ test for trend (p-value)
Fair Day	1,413 (60.3)	1,302 (58.6)	1,288 (54.9)	1,639 (60.3)	1,464 (45.8)	4 p < .001	<i>ψ p</i> < .001
Sexual health clinics	266 (11.4)	199 (9.0)	261 (11.1)	152 (5.6)	327 (10.2)	↑ <i>p</i> < .001	ψ μ < .01
Sex-on-premises venues	152 (6.5)	240 (10.8)	209 (8.9)	299 (11.0)	334 (10.5)	NS	1 φ < .001
Social venues	511 (21.8)	481 (21.7)	588 (25.1)	629 (23.1)	1069 (33.5)	$\Phi p < .001$	$\uparrow p < 0.01$
Total	2,342 (100)	2,222 (100)	2,346 (100)	2,719 (100)	3,194 (100)		
Table 2: Age	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Change from 2010	
	n (%)	u (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	<i>x</i> <sup>∕</sup> test ( <i>p</i> -value)	$\chi^{\epsilon}$ test for trend (p-value)
Under 25	245 (10.7)	268 (12.3)	308 (13.8)	281 (10.4)	356 (11.2)	NS	SU
25–29	327 (14.2)	302 (13.8)	313 (14.0)	396 (14.6)	544 (17.1)	$\uparrow p < 01$	$\Phi p < 01$
30-39	805 (35.1)	705 (32.3)	753 (33.6)	880 (32.5)	973 (30.6)	ns	$\downarrow p < 01$
40-49	639 (27.8)	630 (28.8)	560 (25.0)	758 (28.0)	843 (26.5)	NS	ns
50 and over	280 (12.2)	281 (12.9)	306 (13.7)	392 (14.5)	460 (14.5)	NS	$\Phi p < 01$
Total	2,296 (100)	2,186 (100)	2,240 (100)	2,707 (100)	3,176 (100)		
There were few changes in the age profile of the sample between 2010 and 2011. However, since 2007 th Conversely, there has been a significant downward trend in the proportion of men in the 30–39 age groud. <b>Table 3: HIV testing</b>	t the sample between 2 whward trend in the prc	2010 and 2011. However sportion of men in the 30-	, since 2007 there have -39 age group.	been significant upward	trends in the proportions	However, since 2007 there have been significant upward trends in the proportions of men in the 25–29 and over 50 age groups. In the 30–39 age group.	-sdnoubs
	2007 n (%)	2008 n (%)	2009 n (%)	2010 <i>n</i> (%)	2011 n (%)	Change from 2010 $\chi^2$ test (p-value)	Trend over time $\chi^{z}$ test for trend ( <i>p</i> -value)
All men							
Ever tested for HIV	2,165 (92.4)	2,075 (93.4)	2,134 (91.0)	2,503 (92.1)	2,860 (93.0)	SU	ns
Total	2,342 (100)	2,222 (100)	2,346 (100)	2,719 (100)	3,075 (100)		
Non-HIV-positive men							
Tested for HIV in previous 12 months	1,375 (73.6)	1,271 (72.1)	1,319 (71.8)	1,462 (66.7)	1,790 (71.9)	$\Phi p < 001$	$\downarrow p < 05$
Total	1,868 (100)	1,763 (100)	1,836 (100)	2,191 (100)	2,490 (100)		

Gay Community Periodic Survey: Sydney, February 2011 5 Hull, Holt, Mao, Kao, Prestage, Zablotska, Triffitt, Edwards and de Wit

Findings

result
test
≥ H
e 4:
Tab

	2007 n (%)	2008 n (%)	6002 u (%)	0102 (%) <i>n</i>	n (%)	Change from 2010 $\chi^2$ test ( <i>p</i> -value)	I rend over time $\chi^2$ test for trend ( <i>p</i> -value)
HIV-positive	290 (13.4)	301 (14.5)	280 (13.2)	287 (10.6)	352 (12.3)		<i>↓ p</i> < .05
HIV-negative	1,778 (82.3)	1,725 (83.2)	1681 (79.1)	2,145 (85.9)	2,438 (85.4)	ns	$\uparrow p < 01$
No results	92 (4.3)	48 (2.3)	164 (7.7)	64 (2.6)	64 (2.2)		↓ <i>p</i> < .01
Total	2,160 (100)	2,074 (100)	2,125 (100)	2,496 (100)	2,854 (100)		

Since 2007 there has been a slight, though significant, decline in the proportion of HIV-positive men in the sample and a significant increase in the proportion of HIV-negative men.

# Table 5: Likelihood of testing for HIV among non-HIV-positive men if rapid HIV testing were available, by location

	Home n (%)	Clinic/GP n (%)	Gay community organisation <i>n</i> (%)
Less likely	395 (13.9)	147 (5.1)	353 (12.4)
About the same	653 (23.0)	995 (35.0)	921 (32.4
More likely	1,305 (45.9)	1,348 (47.4)	1,047 (36.8)

# Table 6: Use of combination antiretroviral treatment among HIV-positive men

	2007 n (%)	2008 n (%)	2009 n (%)	2010 n (%)	2011 n (%)	Change from 2010 $\chi^2$ test ( $p$ -value)	Trend over time $\chi^2$ test for trend ( <i>p</i> -value)
On treatment	191 (66.8)	216 (73.5)	212 (77.1)	215 (77.6)	270 (80.6)	su	$\Phi p < 0.001$
Total	286 (100)	294 (100)	275 (100)	277 (100)	335 (100)		

Since 2007 there has been a significant increase in the proportion of HIV-positive men using combination antiretrowiral treatment.

# Table 7: HIV viral load and combination antiretroviral treatment (ART) use among HIV-positive men

Detectable viral load	2007 n (%)	2008 n (%)	2009 n (%)	2010 n (%)	2011 <i>n</i> (%)	Change from 2010 $\chi^2$ test ( <i>p</i> -value)	Trend over time $\chi^2$ test for trend (p-value
Using ART	23 (12.3)	22 (10.5)	22 (10.5)	15 (7.0)	10 (3.7)	US	<i>ψ p</i> < .001
Total	187 (100)	210 (100)	210 (100)	214 (100)	270 (100)		
Not using ART	62 (69.7)	61 (80.3)	46 (73.0)	35 (58.3)	47 (73.4)	ns	SU
Total	89 (100)	76 (100)	63 (100)	60 (100)	64 (100)		
A the second	lovin oldotootob o bod /0	1000 in 2011 Pince 2007	Hood ond and the first the	incont decline in the second	om original VIII fo ooite		

Of the HIV-positive men using ART, about 4% had a detectable viral load in 2011. Since 2007, there has been a significant decline in the proportion of HIV-positive men on treatment reporting a detectable viral load. Among HIV-positive men not on treatment, almost three-quarters reported a detectable viral load in 2011.

	2007 n (%)	2008 n (%)	2009 n (%)	2010 n (%)	2011 n (%)	Change from 2010 $\chi^2$ test ( <i>p</i> -value)	Trend over time $\chi^{2}$ test for trend ( <i>p</i> -value)
None	329 (15.2)	309 (15.2)	370 (16.8)	I	446 (14.8)	I	ns
Casual only	510 (23.5)	466 (23.0)	534 (24.2)	I	740 (24.6)	I	SU
Regular plus casual	653 (30.1)	644 (31.8)	659 (29.9)	I	897 (29.8)	Ι	SU
Regular only (monogamous)	675 (31.2)	608 (30.0)	641 (29.1)	I	926 (30.8)	I	SU
Total	2,167 (100)	2,027 (100)	2,204 (100)	I	3,009 (100)		

Table 9: Agreements with regular male partners about sex i	ar male partners at		<i>within</i> the relationship				
	2007 n (%)	2008 n (%)	2009 n (%)	2010 n (%)	2011 л (%)	Change from 2010 $\chi^2$ test ( <i>p</i> -value)	Trend over time $\chi^2$ test for trend (p-value)
No spoken agreement about anal intercourse/no sex	906 (53.9)	638 (41.1)	695 (40.9)	I	814 (37.7)	I	ψ p < .001
No anal intercourse permitted	69 (4.1)	73 (4.7)	88 (5.2)	I	69 (3.2)	I	NS
Anal intercourse permitted only with a condom	303 (18.0)	355 (22.8)	399 (23.5)	I	562 (26.0)	I	↑ <i>p</i> < .001
Anal intercourse permitted without a condom	403 (24.0)	488 (31.4)	517 (30.4)	I	716 (33.1)	Ι	↑ <i>p</i> < .001
Total	1,681 (100)	1,554(100)	1,699 (100)	I	2161 (100)		
Reliable data not available for 2010.							

Since 2007, there has been a significant decline in the proportion of men without an agreement with their regular partner about sex within their relationship. During the same period, there has been a significant increase in the proportions of men whose agreements permit anal intercourse with and without condoms.

 $\sim$ Gay Community Periodic Survey: Sydney, February 2011 Hull, Holt, Mao, Kao, Prestage, Zablotska, Triffitt, Edwards and de Wit

Table 10: Agreements with regular male partners about sex	ιr male partners ε	tbout sex <i>outside</i> t	outside the relationship				
	2007 л (%)	2008 л (%)	2009 л (%)	2010 л (%)	2011 n (%)	Change from 2010 $\chi^2$ test (p-value)	Trend over time $\chi^{z}$ test for trend (p-value)
No spoken agreement about casual sex	992 (59 O)	722 (46.5)	801 (47.1)	I	910 (42.1)	I	<i>↓ p</i> < .001
No sexual contact with casual partners permitted	315 (18.7)	373 (24.0)	393 (23.1)	I	564 (26.1)	I	Φ ρ < .001
No anal intercourse with casual partners permitted	46 (2.7)	44 (2.8)	64 (3.8)	I	61 (2.8)	I	SU
Anal intercourse with casual partners permitted only with a condom	291 (17.3)	379 (24.4)	394 (23.2)	I	571 (26.4)	I	Φ <i>p</i> < .001
Anal intercourse with casual partners permitted without a condom	37 (2.2)	36 (2.3)	47 (2.8)	I	55 (2.5)	I	SL
Total	1,681 (100)	1,554 (100)	1,699 (100)	I	2,161 (100)	I	
Reliable data not available for 2010.							
Since 2007 there has been a significant downward trend in the proportion of men with regular partners who did not have a spoken agreement about sex outside their relationship. Conversely, there have been significant upward trends in the proportions of men with agreements that prohibit sexual contact with casual partners or that allow anal intercourse with condoms.	nward trend in the prop agreements that prohi	ortion of men with regula bit sexual contact with c	ar partners who did not ha asual partners or that allov	tve a spoken agreeme w anal intercourse witl	nt about sex outside their I condoms.	elationship. Conversely, there h	ave been significant

| Findings

### Table 11: Match of HIV status between regular partners

	2007 n (%)	2008 n (%)	2009 n (%)	2010 n (%)	2011 n (%)	Change from 2010 $\chi^2$ test ( <i>p</i> -value)	Trend over time $\chi^2$ test for trend ( <i>p</i> -value)
HIV-positive participants							
Seroconcordant	77 (39.7)	68 (35.8)	67 (34.4)	(20.7)	79 (37.8)	NS	SU
Serodiscordant	74 (38.1)	79 (41.6)	76 (39.0)	65 (37.4)	94 (45.0)	SU	NS
Serononconcordant	43 (22.2)	43 (22.6)	52 (26.7)	40 (23.0)	36 (17.2)	NS	NS
Total	194 (100)	190 (100)	195 (100)	174 (100)	209 (100)		
HIV-negative participants							
Seroconcordant	594 (47.3)	752 (61.8)	794 (64.3)	762 (51.5)	1,303 (74.4)	↑ <i>p</i> < .001	↑ <i>p</i> < .001
Serodiscordant	61 (4.9)	63 (5.2)	63 (5.1)	43 (2.9)	100 (5.7)	↑ <i>p</i> < .001	NA
Serononconcordant	600 (47.8)	402 (33.0)	378 (30.6)	676 (45.6)	348 (19.9)	<i>↓ p &lt; </i> 001	<i>↓ p &lt;</i> .001
Total	1,255 (100)	1,217 (100)	1,235 (100)	1,481 (100)	1,751 (100)		

with regular partners are more likely to be in a seroconcordant relationship. The proportion of HIV-negative men in seroconcordant relationships increased significantly in 2011, continuing an upward trend over time. The proportion of HIV-negative participants in seroconcordant relationships was significantly higher in 2011 than in 2010. However, this increase follows a significant decrease in 2010. The proportion of HIV-negative participants in seroconcordant relationships was significantly in 2011, continuing an upward trend over time. The proportion of HIV-negative participants in serononconcordant relationships declined significantly in 2011, continuing a significant downward trend since 2007.

Intercourse         308 (18.3)         298 (19.2) $275$ (16.2)         183 (3.9)         494 (22.9) $7 \rho < 0.01$ s uses a condom $877$ (52.2) $820$ (23.4) $510$ (30.0) $546$ (29.6) $622$ (27.9) $n c$ three does not use a condom $877$ (52.2) $820$ (52.8) $914$ (53.8) $1,114$ (60.4) $1,065$ (49.3) $4 \rho < 0.01$ three does not use a condom $877$ (52.2) $820$ (52.8) $914$ (53.8) $1,114$ (60.4) $1,065$ (49.3) $4 \rho < 0.01$ portion of mem who and takes use condoms with the regular partner, this follower a spinfeant forease a spinfeant doenease in 2010. Conversely, three was a condom           13. Unprotected anal intercourse with regular partners, by match $1,090$ $2010$ $1,00$ $1,00$ 13. Unprotected anal intercourse with regular partners, by match $1,000$ $1,000$		(o/) II	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	$\chi^2$ test ( <i>p</i> -value)	$\chi^2$ test for trend (p-value)
1)       510 (30.0)       546 (29.6)       602 (27.9)       ns         8)       914 (53.8)       1,114 (60.4)       1,065 (49.3) $\downarrow$ $p < .001$ 0)       1,699 (100)       1,843 (100)       2,161 (100) $\downarrow$ $p < .001$ 8e increased significantly in 2011. However this increase follows a significant decrease in 2010. Conversely, there was a character increase in 2010. $\downarrow$ $p < .001$ by match of HIV status       2008       2010 $n (\%)$ $\chi^2$ test (p-value)         by match of HIV status       2008       2010 $n (\%)$ $\chi^2$ test (p-value)         by match of HIV status       36 (30.3)       47 (27.0)       55 (26.3)       ns         c.0)       88 (44.4)       66 (37.9)       105 (50.2)       ns         a.0       99 (30.3)       52 (26.3)       ns $\gamma^2$ test (p-value)         a.198 (100)       174 (100)       209 (100) $\gamma^2$ (e) $\gamma^2$ (e) $\gamma^2$ (e)         b.0       497 (39.8)       521 (35.0)       740 (42.3) $\gamma^2$ (p < .001	vo anal intercourse	308 (18.3)	298 (19.2)	275 (16.2)	183 (9.9)	494 (22.9)	Φ <i>ρ</i> < .001	NA
8)       914 (53.8)       1,114 (60.4)       1,065 (49.3) $\downarrow$ $p < .001$ 0)       1,699 (100)       1,843 (100)       2,161 (100) $\downarrow$ $p < .001$ ae increased significant lincrease in 2011. However this increase in 2010.       2010. Conversely, there was a significant decrease in 2010. Conversely, there was a significant increase in 2010. $\downarrow$ $p < .001$ by match of HIV status $n$ (%) $n$ (%)<	Wways uses a condom	496 (29.5)	436 (28.1)	510 (30.0)	546 (29.6)	602 (27.9)	NS	SU
0         1,690 (100)         1,843 (100)         2,161 (100) $\epsilon$ increased significant in 2011. However this increase in 2010.         2010.         2010. $\epsilon$ this followed a significant increase in 2010.         2011. $\epsilon$ increase in 2010. $\epsilon$ this followed a significant increase in 2010. $\epsilon$ increase in 2010. $\epsilon$ increase in 2010. $\epsilon$ this followed a significant increase in 2010. $\epsilon$ increase in 2010. $\epsilon$ increase in 2010. $b$ match of HIV status $r$ (%)	cometimes does not use a condom	877 (52.2)	820 (52.8)	914 (53.8)	1,114 (60.4)	1,065 (49.3)	<i>↓ p &lt;</i> .001	NA
increased significantly in 2011. However this increase follows a significant decrease in 2010. Conversely, there was a significant increase in 2010. Conversely, there was a significant in 2000. Conversely, there was a significant increase in 2010. Conversely, the second increase in 2010. Conversely, the second increase in 2010. Conversely, there was a significant increase in 2010. Conversely, the second increase increase in 2010. Conversely, the second increase increase in 2010. Conversely, the second increase increas	otal	1,681 (100)	1,554 (100)	1,699 (100)	1,843 (100)	2,161 (100)		
2007         2008         2009         2010         2011         Change from 2010           n (%)         n (%)         n (%)         n (%)         n (%)         n (%) $\chi^{2}$ test (p-value)           oncordant-positive UAIR         58 (28.4)         49 (25.3)         61 (35.1)         49 (23.4)         ns           oncordant-positive UAIR         58 (27.5)         50 (25.8)         60 (30.3)         47 (27.0)         55 (26.3)         ns           oncordant UAIR         56 (27.5)         50 (25.8)         60 (30.3)         47 (27.0)         55 (26.3)         ns           oncordant UAIR         56 (27.5)         50 (25.8)         60 (30.3)         47 (27.0)         55 (26.3)         ns           NR         90 (44.1)         95 (43.0)         88 (44.4)         66 (37.9)         105 (50.2)         ns           VIR         200 (100)         194 (100)         198 (100)         174 (100)         200 (100)         ns           egative man         200 (23.7)         207 (16.6)         187 (15.0)         322 (26.3)         n $\rho < .001$ oncordant UAIR         309 (23.7)         207 (16.6)         187 (15.0)         202 (26.3)         160 (9.1) $\rho < .001$ oncordant UAIR         309 (23.7)	The proportion of participants with regular put the proportion of men who did not always able 13: Unprotected anal intercontected anal intercon	use condoms with the use condoms with the course with reguli	o anal intercourse increas ir regular partner, this folk ar partners, by ma	sed significantly in 2011. owed a significant increa tch of HIV status	However this increase fo se in 2010.	llows a significant decree	ise in 2010. Conversely, there w	as a significant decrease
ositive men         58 (28.4)         49 (25.3)         50 (25.3)         61 (35.1)         49 (23.4)           oncordant-positive UAIR         58 (27.5)         50 (25.8)         60 (30.3)         47 (27.0)         55 (26.3)           oncordant UAIR         56 (27.5)         50 (25.8)         60 (30.3)         47 (27.0)         55 (26.3)           NR         90 (44.1)         95 (49.0)         88 (44.4)         66 (37.9)         105 (50.2)           VIR         204 (100)         194 (100)         198 (100)         174 (100)         209 (100)           egative men         204 (100)         194 (100)         198 (100)         174 (100)         209 (100)           oncordant-negative UAIR         376 (28.8)         456 (36.6)         497 (39.8)         521 (35.0)         740 (42.3)           oncordant UAIR         378 (28.3)         207 (16.6)         187 (15.0)         392 (26.3)         160 (9.1)           MR         620 (47.5)         584 (45.2)         577 (38.7)         851 (48.6)         160 (1)		2007 n (%)	2008 n (%)	2009 n (%)	2010 л (%)	2011 л (%)	Change from 2010 $\chi^2$ test ( <i>p</i> -value)	Trend over time $\chi^2$ test for trend (p-value)
oncordant-positive UAIR       58 (28.4)       49 (25.3)       61 (35.1)       49 (23.4)         oncordant Positive UAIR       56 (27.5)       50 (25.8)       60 (30.3)       47 (27.0)       55 (26.3)         oncordant UAIR       56 (27.5)       50 (25.8)       60 (30.3)       47 (27.0)       55 (26.3)         VIR       90 (44.1)       95 (49.0)       88 (44.4)       66 (37.9)       105 (50.2)         VIR       204 (100)       194 (100)       198 (100)       174 (100)       209 (100)         egative men       376 (28.8)       456 (36.6)       497 (39.8)       521 (35.0)       740 (42.3)         oncordant UAIR       379 (23.7)       207 (16.6)       187 (15.0)       392 (26.3)       160 (9.1)         NR       620 (47.5)       584 (45.2)       577 (38.7)       851 (48.6)	IV-positive men							
Incordant UAIR       56 (27.5)       50 (25.8)       60 (30.3)       47 (27.0)       55 (26.3)         VIR       90 (44.1)       95 (49.0)       88 (44.4)       66 (37.9)       105 (50.2)         VIR       204 (100)       194 (100)       198 (100)       174 (100)       209 (100)         egative men       204 (100)       194 (100)       198 (100)       174 (100)       209 (100)         oncordant-negative UAIR       376 (28.8)       456 (36.6)       497 (39.8)       521 (35.0)       740 (42.3)         oncordant UAIR       309 (23.7)       207 (16.6)       187 (15.0)       392 (26.3)       160 (9.1)         NR       620 (47.5)       584 (46.8)       564 (45.2)       577 (38.7)       851 (48.6)	eroconcordant-positive UAIR	58 (28.4)	49 (25.3)	50 (25.3)	61 (35.1)	49 (23.4)	NS	NS
NR     90 (44.1)     95 (49.0)     88 (44.4)     66 (37.9)     105 (50.2)       204 (100)     194 (100)     198 (100)     174 (100)     209 (100)       egative men     204 (130)     194 (100)     198 (100)     174 (100)     209 (100)       orocordant-negative UAIR     376 (28.8)     456 (36.6)     497 (39.8)     521 (35.0)     740 (42.3)       oncordant-negative UAIR     309 (23.7)     207 (16.6)     187 (15.0)     392 (26.3)     160 (9.1)       NR     620 (47.5)     584 (46.8)     564 (45.2)     577 (38.7)     851 (48.6)	ot concordant UAIR	56 (27.5)	50 (25.8)	60 (30.3)	47 (27.0)	55 (26.3)	ns	NS
204 (100)     194 (100)     198 (100)     174 (100)     209 (100)       egative men     204 (100)     194 (100)     198 (100)     209 (100)       oncordant-negative UAIR     376 (28.8)     456 (36.6)     497 (39.8)     521 (35.0)     740 (42.3)       oncordant UAIR     309 (23.7)     207 (16.6)     187 (15.0)     392 (26.3)     160 (9.1)       VIR     620 (47.5)     584 (46.8)     564 (45.2)     577 (38.7)     851 (48.6)	o UAIR	90 (44.1)	95 (49.0)	88 (44.4)	66 (37.9)	105 (50.2)	NS	ns
legative men         521 (35.0)         740 (42.3)           oncordant-negative UAIR         376 (28.8)         456 (36.6)         497 (39.8)         521 (35.0)         740 (42.3)           oncordant-negative UAIR         309 (23.7)         207 (16.6)         187 (15.0)         392 (26.3)         160 (9.1)           oncordant UAIR         620 (47.5)         584 (46.8)         564 (45.2)         577 (38.7)         851 (48.6)	otal	204 (100)	194 (100)	198 (100)	174 (100)	209 (100)		
oncordant-negative UAIR     376 (28.8)     456 (36.6)     497 (39.8)     521 (35.0)     740 (42.3)       oncordant UAIR     309 (23.7)     207 (16.6)     187 (15.0)     392 (26.3)     160 (9.1)       NR     620 (47.5)     584 (46.8)     564 (45.2)     577 (38.7)     851 (48.6)	IV-negative men							
Incordant UAIR         309 (23.7)         207 (16.6)         187 (15.0)         392 (26.3)         160 (9.1)           NR         620 (47.5)         584 (46.8)         564 (45.2)         577 (38.7)         851 (48.6)	eroconcordant-negative UAIR	376 (28.8)	456 (36.6)	497 (39.8)	521 (35.0)	740 (42.3)	$\uparrow p < 001$	$\uparrow p < 0.01$
NR 620 (47.5) 584 (46.3) 564 (45.2) 577 (38.7) 851 (48.6)	ot concordant UAIR	309 (23.7)	207 (16.6)	187 (15.0)	392 (26.3)	160 (9.1)	<i>ψ p</i> < .001	<i>↓ p &lt; </i> .001
	o UAIR	620 (47.5)	584 (46.8)	564 (45.2)	577 (38.7)	851 (48.6)	$\uparrow p < .001$	NA
1,305 (100) 1,247 (100) 1,248 (100) 1,248 (100)	Total	1,305 (100)	1,247 (100)	1,248 (100)	1,490 (100)	1,751 (100)		
	e 14: HIV-negative men who	engaged in UAIF	3 and always used	risk-reduction stre	ategies with partne	irs who were not c	oncordant	
ole 14: HIV-negative men who engaged in UAIR and always used risk-reduction strategies with partners who were not concordant		2007 n (%)	2008 n (%)	2009 n (%)	2010 л (%)	2011 n (%)	Change from 2010 $\chi^2$ test ( <i>p</i> -value)	Trend over time $\chi^{^2}$ test for trend ( $ ho$ -value)
e from 2010 t (p-value)	ock insertive position during UAIR	79 (25.6)	54 (26.1)	65 (34.8)	112 (28.6)	52 (32.5)	SU	SU
e from 2010 t (p-value) <sup>ns</sup>	artner withdrew before ejaculation hen participant was receptive	64 (20.7)	52 (25.1)	34 (18.2)	84 (21.4)	46 (28.8)	SU	SU
JAIR and always used risk-reduction strategies with partners who were not concordant         2008       2010       2011       Change from 2010         7 (%)       n (%)       n (%)       n (%)       x² test (p-value)         54 (26.1)       65 (34.8)       112 (28.6)       52 (32.5)       ns         52 (25.1)       34 (18.2)       84 (21.4)       46 (28.8)       ns	Total (not mutually exclusive)	309	207	187	392	160		

	2007 n (%)	2008 <i>n</i> (%)	2009 n (%)	2010 n (%)	2011 n (%)	Change from 2010 $\chi^2$ test ( <i>p</i> -value)	Trend over time $\chi^{2}$ test for trend ( <i>p</i> -value)
No anal intercourse	385 (24.2)	288 (19.3)	252 (16.0)	263 (15.5)	399 (20 <b>.</b> 0)	↑ <i>p</i> < .01	ψ μ < .01
Always uses a condom	746 (46.8)	765 (51.4)	743 (47.1)	850 (50.1)	937 (46.9)	ns	NA
Sometimes does not use a condom	462 (29.0)	436 (29.3)	583 (36.9)	585 (34.5)	660 (33.1)	ns	NA
Total	1,593 (100)	1,489 (100)	1,578 (100)	1,698 (100)	1,996 (100)		

to remain upwards. Since 2007 there has been a downward trend in the proportion of men reporting no anal intercourse with casual partners.

~
Ĕ
ğ
. <u>e</u> .
artic
- 00
Ĵ
s of p
S
đ
status
>
Ξ
≥
2
ers
ę
Ð
g
al partn
Ľ
as
Ó
臣
e with
ŝ
ğ
S.
Ę
ğ
anal
tected a
捝
a
ğ
ğ
5
~
Ł
$\sum_{i=1}^{n}$
9
Table 1
ab
Ч

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Change from 2010	Trend over time
	u (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	u (%)	$\chi^2$ test (p-value)	$\chi^{z}$ test for trend (p-value)
HIV-positive men	121 (56.5)	120 (53.8)	127 (61.1)	127 (59.6)	141 (56.2)	NS	SU
Total	214 (100)	223 (100)	208 (100)	213 (100)	251 (100)		
HIV-negative men	288 (23.5)	291 (24.9)	363 (32.2)	419 (31.1)	462 (29.8)	NS	NA
Total	1,224 (100)	1,167 (100)	1,129 (100)	1,349 (100)	1,551 (100)		
The rate of UAIC reported by HIV-positive men has been stable during the reporting period. In 2009 there was a significant increase in the proportion of HIV-negative men who reported any UAIC which has been sustained over the last three years.	ve men has been stable dur	ing the reporting period. I	in 2009 there was a signi	ficant increase in the pro	portion of HIV-negative r	nen who reported any UAIC whi	ch has been sustained

Table 17: Disclosure of HIV status to or from casual partners, by HIV status of participants

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Change from 2010	Trend over time
	n (%)	n (%)	u (%)	n (%)	n (%)	$\chi^2$ test ( <i>p</i> -value)	$\chi^2$ test for trend (p-value)
HIV-positive men							
Told casual partners	149 (69.6)	168 (75.3)	160 (76.9)	164 (77.0)	191 (76.1)	NS	ns
Told by casual partners	125 (58.4)	145 (65.0)	127 (61.1)	143 (67.1)	165 (65.7)	NS	NS
Total (not mutually exclusive)	214	223	208	213	251		
HIV-negative men							
Told casual partners	541 (44.2)	557 (47.7)	555 (49.2)	703 (52.1)	820 (52.9)	ns	1 μ < .001
Told by casual partners	555 (45.3)	548 (47.0)	556 (49.2)	740 (54.9)	837 (54.0)	ns	1 μ < .001
Total (not mutually exclusive)	1,224	1,167	1,129	1,349	1,551		

Rates of HIV disclosure to and from casual partners have remained stable among HIV-positive men during the reporting period. During the same period, there have been significant upward trends in the proportions of HIV-negative men reporting HIV disclosure to or from their casual partners.

	2007 n (%)	2008 n (%)	2009 n (%)	2010 n (%)	2011 n (%)	Change from 2010 $\chi^2$ test ( <i>p</i> -value)	Trend over time $\chi^{z}$ test for trend (p-value)
HIV-positive men	47 (38.8)	39 (32.5)	49 (38.6)	45 (35.4)	52 (36.9)	SU	SU
Total	121 (100)	120 (100)	127 (100)	127 (100)	141(100)		
HIV-negative men	63 (21.9)	67 (23.0)	99 (27.3)	104 (24.8)	168 (36.4)	$\uparrow p < .001$	$\uparrow p < .001$
Total	288 (100)	291 (100)	363 (100)	419 (100)	462 (100)		
Among HIV-negative participants who reported UAIC, there was a significant increase in the proportion who reported disclosing their HIV status to all casual partners in 2011.	reported UAIC, there was a sig	gnificant increase in the	proportion who reported	disclosing their HIV statu	s to all casual partners ir	2011.	
Table 19: Positioning in unprotected anal intercourse with casual male partners, by HIV status of participants	otected anal intercour	se with casual m	ale partners, by HIV	/ status of particip	ants		
	2007 n (%)	2008 n (%)	2009 n (%)	2010 л (%)	2011 n (%)	Change from 2010 $\chi^2$ test (p-value)	Trend over time $\chi^2$ test for trend ( <i>p</i> -value)
HIV-positive men							
Receptive-only UAIC	19 (15.7)	20 (16.7)	11 (8.7)	31 (24.4)	22 (15.6)	ns	SU
Total	121 (100)	120 (100)	127 (100)	127 (100)	141 (100)		
HIV-negative men							
Insertive-only UAIC	97 (33.7)	99 (34.0)	120 (33.1)	148 (35.1)	162 (35.1)	NS	NS
Total	288 (100)	291 (100)	363 (100)	419 (100)	462 (100)		
Table 20: Men who engaged in UAIC in the last six months who always used risk-reduction strategies, by HIV status of participants	I in UAIC in the last six HIV status of participa	months who alw ints	ays used				
			2011 n (%)				
HIV-positive men							
Ensured partners were seroconcordant before UAIC (serosorting)	ordant before UAIC (serosc	urting)	52 (36.9)				
Took receptive position during UAIC when partners were not concordant	AIC when partners were no	it concordant	7 (5.0)				
Participant withdrew before ejaculation when he was insertive	lation when he was insertiv	/e	6 (4.3)				
Partner withdrew before ejaculation when participant was receptive	on when participant was re	ceptive	6 (4.3)				
Total (not mutually exclusive)			141				
HIV-negative men							
Ensured partners were seroconcordant before UAIC (serosorting)	ordant before UAIC (serosc	vrting)	150 (32.5)				
Took insertive position during UAIC when partners were not concordant	IC when partners were not	concordant	49 (10.6)				
Participant withdrew before ejaculation when he was insertive	lation when he was insertiv	/e	38 (8.2)				
Partner withdrew before ejaculation when participant was receptive	on when participant was re	ceptive	50 (10.8)				

÷

Gay Community Periodic Survey: Sydney, February 2011 Hull, Holt, Mao, Kao, Prestage, Zablotska, Triffitt, Edwards and de Wit

	2009 n (%)	2010 n (%)	2011 n (%)	Change from 2010 $\chi^2$ test ( <i>p</i> -value)	Trend over time $\chi^2$ test for trend ( <i>p</i> -value)
Internet	873 (37.2)	1,048 (38.5)	1233 (38.6)	NS	ns
Mobile app e.g. Grindr	I	I	730 (22.9)	I	I
Gay bar	857 (36.5)	971 (35.7)	968 (30.3)	ψ <i>p</i> < .001	NA
Gay sauna	835 (35.6)	983 (36.2)	995 (31.2)	<i>↓ p &lt;</i> 001	NA
Dance party	538 (22.9)	577 (21.2)	504 (15.8)	<i>↓ p &lt;</i> .001	NA
Other sex venues	480 (20.5)	470 (17.3)	474 (14.8)	↓ p < .05	<b>ψ</b> <i>p</i> < .01
Beat	403 (17.2)	425 (15.6)	413 (12.9)	<i>↓ p &lt;</i> .001	NA
Private sex parties	258 (11.0)	245 (9.0)	210 (6.6)	<i>↓ p &lt;</i> 001	<i>↓ p &lt; </i> .05
Gym	245 (10.4)	265 (9.8)	297 (9.3)	NS	SU
Sex workers	I	77 (2.8)	95 (3.0)	NS	I
In other Australian cities	I	611 (22.5)	587 (18.4)	<i>↓ p &lt;</i> .001	I
Elsewhere in Australia	I	417 (15.3)	390 (12.2)	<i>↓ p &lt;</i> .001	I
Overseas	513 (21.9)	690 (25.4)	672 (21.0)	<i>↓ p &lt;</i> 001	NA
Total (not mutually exclusive)	2,346	2,719	3,194		

Table 21: Where men met their male sex partners in the six months prior to the survey

| Findings

### Table 22: Place attended for last syphilis test

	2010 <i>n</i> (%)	2011 n (%)	Change from 2010 $\chi^2$ test ( <i>p</i> -value)
HIV-positive men			
Regular GP	172 (63.0)	220 (65.7)	
Another GP	5 (1.8)	5 (1.5)	
Sexual heatth clinic	44 (16.1)	57 (17.0)	ns
HIV clinic	51 (18.7)	51 (15.2)	
Never tested/don't know	1 (0.4)	2 (0.6)	
Total	273 (100)	335 (100)	
HIV-negative men			
Regular GP	993 (54.9)	1,115 (54.3)	
Another GP	84 (4.7)	112 (5.5)	
Sexual health clinic	660 (36.5)	752 (36.6)	$\uparrow p < .001$
HIV clinic	54 (3.0)	56 (2.7)	
Never tested/don't know	17 (0.9)	11 (1.0)	
Total	1,808 (100)	2,055 (100)	

12 Gay Community Periodic Survey: Sydney, February 2011 Hull, Holt, Mao, Kao, Prestage, Zablotska, Triffitt, Edwards and de Wit

Table 23: Knowledge about syphilis

	2010 n (%)	2011 <i>n</i> (%)	Change from 2010 $\chi^2$ test ( <i>p</i> -value)
Aware that syphilis can have no physical symptoms	2,154 (79.2)	2,306 (72.2)	<i>↓ p</i> < .001
Aware that syphilis can be transmitted through oral sex	2,013 (74.0)	2,240 (70.1)	<i>↓ p</i> < .001
Total (not mutually exclusive)	2,719	3,194	

In 2011, the proportions of participants who were aware that syphilis can have no physical symptoms or can be transmitted through oral sex were significantly lower than in 2010.

### Table 24: STI testing among HIV-positive men

	2007 n (%)	2008 n (%)	2009 n (%)	2010 n (%)	2011 n (%)	Change from 2010 $\chi^2$ test ( <i>p</i> -value)	Trend over time $\chi^2$ test for trend ( <i>p</i> -value)
Anal swab	153 (52.2)	149 (49.3)	151 (53.9)	175 (61.0)	220 (62.5)	ns	$\uparrow p < .05$
Throat swab	160 (54.6)	165 (54.6)	158 (56.4)	176 (61.3)	220 (62.5)	SU	$\uparrow p < .05$
Penile swab	120 (41.0)	133 (44.0)	115 (41.1)	134 (46.7)	155 (44.0)	NS	US
Urine sample	177 (60.4)	183 (60.6)	175 (62.5)	192 (66.9)	252 (71.6)	SU	$\uparrow p < .01$
Blood test for syphilis	I	I	224 (80.0)	220 (76.7)	280 (79.6)	SU	I
Blood test other than for HIV	216 (73.7)	237 (78.5)	220 (78.6)	196 (68.3)	275 (78.1)	↑ <i>p</i> < .01	SU
Any STI test (not including blood tests)	195 (66.6)	205 (67.9)	197 (70.4)	206 (71.8)	269 (76.4)	ns	$\Phi \rho < 01$
Any STI test (including blood tests)	250 (85.3)	260 (86.1)	252 (90.0)	249 (86.8)	320 (90.9)	SU	$\uparrow p < .05$
Total (not mutually exclusive)	293	302	280	287	352		

From 2009, the item "Blood test for syphilis' was added to the question about sexual health testing in the last six months, and was subsequently included in the calculation for any STI test (including blood tests).

The majority of HIV-positive participants reported having at least one STI test in 2011. Since 2007 there have been significant upward trends in the proportions of HIV-positive men reporting any STI test (including and not including blood tests).

	ŝ
•	Ξ
	В
i	ī

IV-negative men
g among ⊢
STI testing
Table 25:

	2007 n (%)	2008 n (%)	2009 n (%)	2010 n (%)	2011 n (%)	Change from 2010 $\chi^2$ test ( $p$ -value)	Trend over time $\chi^{^2}$ test for trend (p-value)
Anal swab	782 (43.6)	796 (45.9)	778 (46.1)	954 (44.4)	1,184 (48.4)	↑ <i>ρ</i> < .01	10 < σ
Throat swab	841 (46.9)	853 (49.1)	830 (49 1)	1,023 (47.6)	1,245 (50.9)	$\uparrow p < .05$	$\Phi p < .05$
Penile swab	645 (36.0)	669 (38.5)	636 (37.7)	789 (36.7)	941 (38.5)	NS	SU
Urine sample	972 (54.2)	1,000 (57.6)	957 (56.7)	1,210 (56.3)	1,441 (58.9)	ns	$\uparrow p < .05$
Blood test for syphilis	I	I	1,030 (61.0)	1,273 (59.2)	1,483 (60.7)	NS	I
Blood test other than for HIV	1,001 (55.9)	1,034 (59.6)	962 (57.0)	1,189 (55.3)	1,318 (53.9)	NS	$\downarrow p < .05$
Any STI test (not including blood tests)	1,037 (57.9)	1,045 (60.2)	1,022 (60.5)	1,278 (59.4)	1,517 (62.0)	US	10, < α 1
Any STI test (including blood tests)	1,225 (68.4)	1,230 (70.8)	1,199 (71.0)	1,533 (71.3)	1,741 (71.2)	NS	SU
Total (not mutually exclusive)	1,792	1,736	1,689	2,151	2,445		

Between 2010 and 2011 there were significant increases in the proportions of HIV-negative participants reporting anal and throat swabs for STIs. Since 2007 there has been a significant upward trend in the proportion of HIV-negative participants reporting any STI test (not including blood tests). From 2009, the item 'Blood test for syphils' was added to the question about sexual health testing in the last six months, and was subsequently included in the calculation for any STI test (including blood test).

<ol> <li>how many of their sex</li> </ol>	
th an ST	
ere diagnosed with an STI, hov	
e diagno	
ants w	inform?
6: If particip	irtners did they
Table 26: I	partners

	2010 n (%)	2011 л (%)	Change from 2010 $\chi^2$ test ( <i>p</i> -value)
None	401 (14.8)	367 (11.5)	
A few	88 (3.2)	125 (3.9)	
Some	92 (3.4)	91 (2.9)	SU
All	186 (6.7)	236 (7.4)	
Not been diagnosed/don't know	1,952 (74.8)	2,375 (74.4)	
Total	2,719 (100)	3,194 (100)	

	Table 27: Recreational drug use among all men in the six months prior to the survey	se among all men in	the six months pr	ior to the survey				
na         808 (34.5)         749 (33.7)         767 (32.7)         901 (33.1)         891 (27.9) $\downarrow \rho < .001$ $\prime$ 1,000 (42.8)         927 (41.7)         1,028 (43.8)         1,200 (44.2)         1,291 (40.4) $\downarrow \rho < .011$ $\prime$ 981 (41.9)         857 (38.6)         933 (33.8)         975 (55.9)         953 (23.8) $\downarrow \rho < .011$ tamine (speed)         444 (19.0)         351 (15.8)         374 (15.5)         386 (14.2)         361 (11.3) $\downarrow \rho < .011$ methamphotamine         394 (15.5)         334 (15.5)         238 (12.2)         317 (11.7)         355 (11.1) $n s$ ne (speed)         447 (20.4)         362 (12.7)         301 (12.8)         247 (12.4)         356 (13.1) $n s$ ne (speed)         364 (15.5)         282 (12.7)         301 (12.8)         284 (10.4) $\downarrow \rho < .01$ $n s$ ne (speed)         364 (15.6)         326 (13.1)         356 (13.1) $n s$ $n s$ $n s$ ne (speed)         364 (15.5)         282 (12.7)         301 (12.8)         286 (13.1) $u \rho < .01$ ne (speed)         364 (15.6)         366 (13.1)         127 (5.4)         120 (5.2) $u$		2007 n (%)	2008 n (%)	2009 л (%)	2010 л (%)	2011 л (%)	Change from 2010 $\chi^2$ test ( <i>p</i> -value)	Trend over time $\chi^{^2}$ test for trend ( <i>p</i> -value)
$\sqrt{100}$ $1.203$ $4.2.1$ $1.203$ $4.2.1$ $1.201$ $4.0 < 0.1$ $\sqrt{100}$ $887$ $888$ $887$ $888$ $887$ $888$ $887$ $888$ $887$ $888$ $887$ $888$ $887$ $88$	Marijuana	808 (34.5)	749 (33.7)	767 (32.7)	901 (33.1)	891 (27.9)	ψ μ < .001	<i>ψ ρ</i> < .001
$\sqrt{100}$ 987 (36.6)         953 (39.8)         975 (35.9)         953 (29.9) $\sqrt{10} \approx 0.01$ tarnine (speed)         444 (19.0)         351 (15.8)         374 (15.9)         386 (14.2)         361 (1.1) $\sqrt{10} \approx 0.01$ tarnine (speed)         444 (19.0)         351 (15.8)         374 (15.9)         386 (14.2)         361 (1.1) $\sqrt{10} \approx 0.01$ methamphetamine         394 (16.8)         344 (15.5)         233 (12.5)         386 (14.2)         361 (1.1) $\sqrt{10} \approx 0.01$ methamphetamine         394 (16.8)         332 (17.6)         392 (17.6)         591 (21.7)         365 (11.1) $\pi_8$ me (spocial K)         284 (15.5)         282 (12.7)         301 (12.8)         288 (2.0)         659 (20.6) $\pi_8$ me (spocial K)         294 (15.5)         282 (13.1)         288 (2.0) $\pi_8$ $\pi_8$ me (spocial K)         298 (13.1)         102 (4.6)         127 (5.4)         156 (5.5) $\pi_8$ $\pi_8$ me (spocial K)         298 (13.1)         102 (4.6)         127 (5.4)         156 (6.5) $\pi_8$ $\pi_8$ me (spocial K)         298 (10.4)         306 (5.6)         306 (5.6) $\pi_8$ $\pi_8$	Amyl	1,003 (42.8)	927 (41.7)	1,028 (43.8)	1,203 (44.2)	1,291 (40.4)	ψ μ < .01	SU
tarrine (speed)444 (19.0)351 (15.8) $374 (15.9)$ $386 (14.2)$ $361 (11.3)$ $4 \rho < .001$ methamphetarnine394 (16.8) $344 (15.5)$ $293 (12.5)$ $317 (11.7)$ $355 (11.1)$ $ns$ e $477 (20.4)$ $465 (20.9)$ $501 (21.4)$ $592 (21.6)$ $653 (21.6)$ $ns$ e $477 (20.4)$ $326 (15.5)$ $232 (12.7)$ $301 (12.8)$ $236 (13.1)$ $356 (13.1)$ $ns$ ne (special K) $364 (15.5)$ $232 (12.7)$ $301 (12.8)$ $364 (15.5)$ $284 (10.4)$ $306 (15.9)$ $ns$ $97 (4.1)$ $102 (4.6)$ $127 (5.4)$ $15 (0.6)$ $224 (13.2)$ $ns$ $ns$ $97 (4.1)$ $102 (4.6)$ $127 (5.4)$ $15 (0.6)$ $27 (13.2)$ $ns$ $97 (4.1)$ $102 (4.6)$ $127 (5.4)$ $15 (0.6)$ $27 (13.2)$ $ns$ $no e (mow mow)$ $      no e (10.8)$ $103 (4.4)$ $128 (5.5)$ $   no e (mow mow)$ $-$ <t< td=""><td>Ecstasy</td><td>981 (41.9)</td><td>857 (38.6)</td><td>933 (39.8)</td><td>975 (35.9)</td><td>953 (29.8)</td><td><i>↓ p &lt;</i> .001</td><td><i>↓ p</i> &lt; .001</td></t<>	Ecstasy	981 (41.9)	857 (38.6)	933 (39.8)	975 (35.9)	953 (29.8)	<i>↓ p &lt;</i> .001	<i>↓ p</i> < .001
methamphetamine         394 (16.8)         344 (15.5)         293 (12.5)         317 (11.7)         355 (11.1)         15           e $477 (20.4)$ $466 (20.9)$ $501 (21.4)$ $592 (21.6)$ $683 (21.4)$ $18$ e $477 (20.4)$ $362 (15.5)$ $282 (17.6)$ $392 (17.6)$ $592 (21.0)$ $683 (21.4)$ $18$ e $894 (15.5)$ $282 (12.7)$ $301 (12.8)$ $284 (10.4)$ $306 (95)$ $18$ $97 (4.1)$ $102 (4.6)$ $127 (5.4)$ $127 (5.4)$ $150 (5.5)$ $   -$	Amphetamine (speed)	444 (19.0)	351 (15.8)	374 (15.9)	386 (14.2)	361 (11.3)	<i>↓ p &lt;</i> .001	<i>↓ p &lt; </i> 01
477 (20.4)         465 (20.9)         501 (21.4)         592 (21.6)         683 (21.4)         ns           ne (special K)         364 (15.5)         282 (17.7)         392 (17.6)         492 (21.0)         593 (20.6)         ns           ne (special K)         364 (15.5)         282 (12.7)         301 (12.8)         364 (10.4)         306 (9.6)         ns           297 (12.7)         309 (13.9)         326 (13.1)         284 (10.4)         306 (9.6)         ns           97 (4.1)         102 (4.6)         127 (5.4)         150 (5.5)         -         -         -           97 (4.1)         102 (4.6)         31 (1.3)         15 (0.6)         27 (0.8)         ns         ns           07 (4.1)         102 (4.6)         31 (1.3)         15 (0.6)         27 (0.8)         ns         - <t< td=""><td>Crystal methamphetamine</td><td>394 (16.8)</td><td>344 (15.5)</td><td>293 (12.5)</td><td>317 (11.7)</td><td>355 (11.1)</td><td>SU</td><td><i>ψ μ</i> &lt; .001</td></t<>	Crystal methamphetamine	394 (16.8)	344 (15.5)	293 (12.5)	317 (11.7)	355 (11.1)	SU	<i>ψ μ</i> < .001
= $478$ (20.4) $322$ (17.6) $422$ (21.0) $558$ (22.0) $653$ (20.6) $ns$ $ne$ (special K) $364$ (15.5) $282$ (12.7) $301$ (12.8) $284$ (10.4) $306$ (9.6) $ns$ $297$ (12.7) $309$ (13.9) $326$ (13.1) $422$ (13.2) $ns$ $ns$ $97$ (4.1) $102$ (4.6) $127$ (5.4) $150$ (5.5) $   97$ (4.1) $102$ (4.6) $127$ (5.4) $150$ (5.5) $    97$ (4.1) $102$ (4.6) $127$ (5.4) $150$ (5.5) $   -$	Viagra	477 (20.4)	465 (20.9)	501 (21.4)	592 (21.8)	683 (21.4)	NS	NS
ne (special k) $364 (15.5)$ $282 (12.7)$ $301 (12.8)$ $284 (10.4)$ $306 (9.6)$ ns $297 (12.7)$ $309 (13.9)$ $326 (13.1)$ $422 (13.2)$ $ns$ $97 (4.1)$ $102 (4.6)$ $127 (5.4)$ $150 (5.5)$ $  97 (4.1)$ $102 (4.6)$ $127 (5.4)$ $150 (5.5)$ $  19 (0.8)$ $15 (0.7)$ $31 (1.3)$ $15 (0.6)$ $27 (0.8)$ $ns$ $19 (0.8)$ $15 (0.7)$ $31 (1.3)$ $15 (0.6)$ $27 (0.8)$ $ns$ $100 (meow meow)$ $  -$	Cocaine	478 (20.4)	392 (17.6)	492 (21.0)	598 (22.0)	659 (20.6)	NS	$\Phi p < .05$
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Ketamine (special K)	364 (15.5)	282 (12.7)	301 (12.8)	284 (10.4)	306 (9.6)	NS	<i>↓ p</i> < .001
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	GHB	297 (12.7)	309 (13.9)	326 (13.9)	356 (13.1)	422 (13.2)	NS	NS
19 (0.8)15 (0.7)31 (1.3)15 (0.6) $27$ (0.8)nss61 (2.6)51 (2.3)46 (2.0)60 (2.2) $  -$ drone (meow meow) $       -$ trugs103 (4.4)88 (4.0)129 (5.5)148 (5.4)208 (6.5) $ -$ trugs103 (4.4)88 (4.0)129 (5.5)148 (5.4)208 (6.5) $ -$ or truually exclusive)2,34222222,3462,7193,194sr of drugs used756 (32.3)777 (33.1)927 (34.1)1,246 (39.0) $\uparrow p < 001$ two drugs678 (28.9)661 (29.7)715 (30.5)838 (30.8)948 (29.7)nstwo drugs908 (38.8)807 (36.3)854 (36.4)954 (35.1)1,000 (31.3) $\downarrow p < 01$ two drugs2,342 (100)2,346 (100)2,719 (100)3,194 (100) $\downarrow p < 01$	LSD	97 (4.1)	102 (4.6)	127 (5.4)	150 (5.5)	I	I	I
ds $(2.6)$ $(2.6)$ $(51/2.3)$ $46/2.0)$ $60/2.2)$ $         -$	Heroin	19 (0.8)	15 (0.7)	31 (1.3)	15 (0.6)	27 (0.8)	NS	ns
adrone (meow meow)69 (2.2)-drugs103 (4.4)88 (4.0)129 (5.5)148 (5.4)208 (6.5)nsdrugs2,3422,2222,3462,7193,194(not mutually exclusive)2,34222222,3462,7193,194ser of drugs used756 (32.3)754 (33.9)777 (33.1)927 (34.1)1,246 (39.0) $\uparrow p < .001$ r two drugs678 (28.9)661 (29.7)715 (30.5)838 (30.8)948 (29.7)nsthan two drugs908 (38.8)807 (36.3)854 (36.4)954 (35.1)1,000 (31.3) $\downarrow p < .01$ 2,342 (100)2,322 (100)2,346 (100)2,719 (100)3,194 (100)	Steroids	61 (2.6)	51 (2.3)	46 (2.0)	60 (2.2)	I	I	I
drugs103 (4.4)88 (4.0)129 (5.5)148 (5.4)208 (6.5)ns(not mutually exclusive)2,34222222,3462,719208 (6.5)nsDer of drugs used756 (32.3)754 (33.9)777 (33.1)927 (34.1)1,246 (39.0) $\gamma \rho < .001$ t wo drugs678 (28.9)661 (29.7)715 (30.5)838 (30.8)948 (29.7)nst hon drugs908 (38.8)807 (36.3)854 (36.4)954 (35.1)1,000 (31.3) $\psi \rho < .01$ t hon drugs2,342 (100)2,222 (100)2,346 (100)2,719 (100)3,194 (100)	Mephedrone (meow meow)	I	Ι	I	I	69 (2.2)	I	I
(not mutually exclusive)         2,342         2222         2,346         2,719         3,194           Der of drugs used         756 (32.3)         754 (33.1)         927 (34.1)         1,246 (39.0) $\gamma \rho < .001$ r two drugs         678 (28.9)         661 (29.7)         715 (30.5)         838 (30.8)         948 (29.7)         ns           than two drugs         908 (38.8)         807 (36.3)         854 (36.4)         954 (35.1)         1,000 (31.3) $\psi \rho < .01$ 2,342 (100)         2,322 (100)         2,346 (100)         2,719 (100)         3,194 (100)	Other drugs	103 (4.4)	88 (4.0)	129 (5.5)	148 (5.4)	208 (6.5)	ns	↑ <i>p</i> < .001
of drugs used     756 (32.3)     754 (33.9)     777 (33.1)     927 (34.1)     1,246 (39.0) $\uparrow \rho < .001$ r two drugs     678 (28.9)     661 (29.7)     715 (30.5)     838 (30.8)     948 (29.7)     ns       than two drugs     908 (38.8)     807 (36.3)     854 (36.4)     954 (35.1)     1,000 (31.3) $\downarrow \rho < .01$ 2,342 (100)     2,222 (100)     2,346 (100)     2,719 (100)     3,194 (100)	Total (not mutually exclusive)	2,342	2222	2,346	2,719	3,194		
756 (32.3)       754 (33.9)       777 (33.1)       927 (34.1)       1,246 (39.0) $\gamma \rho < .001$ r two drugs       678 (28.9)       661 (29.7)       715 (30.5)       838 (30.8)       948 (29.7)       ns         than two drugs       908 (38.8)       807 (36.3)       854 (36.4)       954 (35.1)       1,000 (31.3) $\psi \rho < .01$ 2,342 (100)       2,222 (100)       2,346 (100)       2,719 (100)       3,194 (100)	Number of drugs used							
r two drugs $678$ (28.9) $661$ (29.7) $715$ (30.5) $838$ (30.8) $948$ (29.7) ns than two drugs $908$ (38.8) $807$ (36.3) $854$ (36.4) $954$ (35.1) $1,000$ (31.3) $\downarrow$ $p < 01$ <b>2,342 (100) 2,222 (100) 2,346 (100) 2,719 (100) 3,194 (100)</b>	None	756 (32.3)	754 (33.9)	777 (33.1)	927 (34.1)	1,246 (39.0)	$\uparrow p < 001$	$\uparrow p < .001$
than two drugs 908 (38.8) 807 (36.3) 854 (36.4) 954 (35.1) 1,000 (31.3) $\downarrow \rho < .01$ 2,342 (100) 2,346 (100) 2,346 (100) 3,194 (100)	One or two drugs	678 (28.9)	661 (29.7)	715 (30.5)	838 (30.8)	948 (29.7)	ns	NS
2,342 (100) 2,222 (100) 2,346 (100) 2,719 (100)	More than two drugs	908 (38.8)	807 (36.3)	854 (36.4)	954 (35.1)	1,000 (31.3)	<b>ψ</b> <i>p</i> < .01	<i>↓ p &lt; </i> .01
	Total	2,342 (100)	2,222 (100)	2,346 (100)	2,719 (100)	3,194 (100)		

Steroids and LSD were omitted in 2011 while Meow Meow was added to the list.

Since 2007 there has been a significant increase in the proportion of men who report no drug use in the previous six months.

	2007 n (%)	2008 л (%)	2009 л (%)	2010 n (%)	2011 л (%)	Change from 2010 $\chi^2$ test ( $p$ -value)	Trend over time $\chi^2$ test for trend ( <i>p</i> -value)
Marijuana	140 (47.8)	157 (52.0)	151 (53.9)	141 (49.1)	145 (41.2)	<b>ψ</b> <i>p</i> < .05	ns
Amy	167 (57.0)	165 (54.6)	153 (54.6)	169 (58.9)	184 (52.3)	SU	ns
Ecstasy	139 (47.4)	131 (43.4)	110 (39.3)	124 (43.2)	113 (32.1)	$\downarrow p < 01$	<i>↓ p &lt;</i> .001
Amphetamine (speed)	65 (22.2)	64 (21.2)	54 (19.3)	53 (18.5)	45 (12.8)	$\downarrow p < 05$	ψ <i>p</i> < .01
Crystal methamphetamine	98 (33.5)	86 (28.5)	80 (28.6)	74 (25.8)	97 (27.6)	SU	$\downarrow p < .05$
Viagra	114 (38.9)	116 (38.4)	124 (44.3)	117 (40.8)	143 (40.6)	SU	NS
Total (not mutually exclusive)	293	302	280	287	352		
Number of drugs used							
None	47 (16.0)	51 (16.9)	41 (14.6)	50 (17.4)	77 (21.9)	SU	SU
One or two drugs	92 (31.4)	93 (30.8)	97 (34.6)	88 (30.7)	120 (34.1)	SU	SU
More than two drugs	154 (52.6)	158 (52.3)	142 (50.7)	149 (51.9)	155 (44.0)	SU	ns
Total	293 (100)	302 (100)	280 (100)	287 (100)	352 (100)	SU	NS
Table 29: Recreational drug use among HIV-negative men	e among HIV-negat	v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v	in the six months prior to the survey	e survey			
	2007 n (%)	2008 n (%)	2009 n (%)	2010 n (%)	2011 <i>n</i> (%)	Change from 2010 $\chi^2$ test ( <i>p</i> -value)	Trend over time $\chi^2$ test for trend ( <i>p</i> -value)
Marijuana	600 (33.5)	539 (31.0)	519 (30.7)	678 (31.5)	657 (26.9)	ψ μ < .001	ψ μ < .001
Amyl	759 (42.4)	716 (41.2)	755 (44.7)	943 (43.8)	1,025 (41.9)	SU	NS
Ecstasy	764 (42.6)	683 (39.3)	707 (41.9)	778 (36.2)	776 (31.7)	<i>↓ p &lt; </i> 01	<i>↓ p &lt;</i> 001
Amphatamina (spaced)	330 /18 0)	061 (1E 0)	267 (15 B)	300 (11 0)	085 (11 7)	- 1. n / 05	-1. n < 01

| Findings

	2007 n (%)	2008 n (%)	2009 n (%)	2010 n (%)	2011 n (%)	Change from 2010 $\chi^2$ test ( <i>p</i> -value)	Trend over time $\chi^2$ test for trend ( <i>p</i> -value)
Marijuana	600 (33.5)	539 (31.0)	519 (30.7)	678 (31.5)	657 (26.9)	<i>ψ μ</i> < .001	ψ <i>p</i> < .001
Amyl	759 (42.4)	716 (41.2)	755 (44.7)	943 (43.8)	1,025 (41.9)	SU	ns
Ecstasy	764 (42.6)	683 (39.3)	707 (41.9)	778 (36.2)	776 (31.7)	↓ <i>p</i> < .01	<i>↓ p &lt; </i> .001
Amphetamine (speed)	339 (18.9)	264 (15.2)	267 (15.8)	302 (14.0)	285 (11.7)	$\downarrow p < 05$	<i>↓ p &lt;</i> .01
Crystal methamphetamine	267 (14.9)	240 (13.8)	185 (11.0)	232 (10.8)	235 (9.6)	NS	<i>↓ p &lt; </i> 01
Viagra	335 (18.7)	331 (19.1)	335 (19.8)	451 (21.0)	505 (20.7)	SU	NS
Total (not mutually exclusive)	1,792	1,736	1,689	2,151	2,445		
Number of drugs used							
None	590 (32.9)	608 (35.0)	564 (33.4)	740 (34.4)	921 (37.7)	$\uparrow p < .05$	$\uparrow p < .01$
One or two drugs	518 (28.9)	524 (30.2)	511 (30.3)	668 (31.1)	742 (30.3)	NS	NS
More than two drugs	684 (38.2)	604 (34.8)	614 (36.4)	743 (34.5)	782 (32.0)	SU	<i>↓ p &lt; </i> 001
Total	1,792 (100)	1,736 (100)	1,689 (100)	2,151 (100)	2,445 (100)		

ngs
.=
р
<b>_</b>
· —
ш_

	2007 n (%)	2008 n (%)	2009 n (%)	2010 <i>n</i> (%)	2011 <i>n</i> (%)	Change from 2010 X² test ( <i>p</i> -value)	Trend over time $\chi^2$ test for trend ( <i>p</i> -value)
All men	140 (6.0)	123 (5.5)	151 (6.4)	126 (4.6)	126 (3.9)	SU	τ φ < .01
Total	2,342 (100)	2,222 (100)	2,346 (100)	2,719 (100)	3,194 (100)		
HIV-positive men	56 (19.1)	52 (17.2)	57 (20.4)	47 (16.4)	50 (14.2)	SU	US
Total	293 (100)	302 (100)	280 (100)	287 (100)	352 (100)		
HIV-negative men	67 (3.7)	61 (3.5)	68 (4.0)	72 (3.3)	65 (2.7)	SU	4 p < 05
Total	1,792 (100)	1,736 (100)	1,689 (100)	2,151 (100)	2,445 (100)		

lable 31: Party drug use and group sex in the six months prior to the survey	up sex in the six	months prior to th	e survey				
	2007 n (%)	2008 n (%)	2009 n (%)	2010 л (%)	2011 <i>n</i> (%)	Change from 2010 $\chi^2$ test ( <i>p</i> -value)	Trend over time $\chi^2$ test for trend ( <i>p</i> -value)
Used party drugs for sex	641 (27.4)	615 (27.7)	579 (24.7)	676 (24.9)	669 (20.9)	<i>↓ p &lt;</i> .001	ψ <i>p</i> < .01
Engaged in group sex during or after drug use	388 (16.6)	357 (16.1)	510 (21.7)	377 (13.9)	401 (12.6)	SU	NA
Total (not mutually exclusive)	2,342	2,222	2,346	2,719	3,194		

Since 2007, there has been a significant decrease in the proportion of men who report using party drugs for sex. In 2011, 12.6% of men surveyed said they had engaged in group sex during or after drug use, a significant decrease since 2009.

## Table 32: Knowledge that post-exposure prophylaxis is available

	2010 n (%)	2011 n (%)	Change from 2010 $\chi^2$ test ( <i>p</i> -value)
All men	1,690 (62.3)	1,690 (62 3) 1,820 (57 0)	<i>ψ p</i> < .001
Total	2,719 (100)	3,194 (100)	
Non-HIV-positive men	1,455 (59.8)	,455 (59.8) 1,544 (54.3)	<i>↓ p</i> < .001
Total	2,432 (100)	2,842 (100)	

Gay Community Periodic Survey: Sydney, February 2011 1 Hull, Holt, Mao, Kao, Prestage, Zablotska, Triffitt, Edwards and de Wit

### Appendix

Conducted by         Image: Stream of the s	Sydney Gay Community Periodic Survey 2011					
<ul> <li>This is a survey of sexual practices of men who have had sex with another man in the last five years. This survey is completely anonymous – please do not write your name on the questionnaire. Your responses are very important, they provide valuable information that assists in HIV health promotion efforts, PLEASE COMPLETE THE SURVEY ONCE ONLY.</li> <li>Section A – About you <ol> <li>How many of your friends are gay or homosexual men?</li> <li>None 2 A few 3 Some 4 Most 5 All</li> <li>How mouch of your free time is spent with gay or homosexual men?</li> <li>None 2 A few 3 Some 4 A lot</li> <li>Do you think of yourself as:</li> <li>Cav/Homosexual 2 Bisexual 3 Heterosexual</li> </ol> </li> </ul>						
This survey is completely anonymous – please do not write your name on the questionnaire.   Your responses are very important, they provide valuable information that assists in HIV health promotion efforts, PLEASE COMPLETE THE SURVEY ONCE ONLY.   Section A – About you   1. How many of your friends are gay or homosexual men?   1 None   2 A few   3 Do you think of yourself as:   1 Gay/Homosexual   2 Bisexual   All thereosexual Bisexual Completely anonymous – please do not write your name on the questionnaire. Your responses are very important, they provide valuable information that assists in HIV health promotion efforts, PLEASE COMPLETE THE SURVEY ONCE ONLY. Section A – About you Section B – Your sex partners (b) In this survey we distinguish between REGULA (b) (b) (b) (f) (i) (i) (i) (i) (i) (i) (i) (i) (i) (i			the voice of people with HIV since 1988			
<ul> <li>1. How many of your friends are gay or homosexual men? <ul> <li>1 None <sup>2</sup> A few <sup>3</sup> Some <sup>4</sup> Most <sup>5</sup> All</li> </ul> </li> <li>2. How much of your free time is spent with gay or homosexual men? <ul> <li>1 None <sup>2</sup> A little <sup>3</sup> Some <sup>4</sup> A lot</li> </ul> </li> <li>3. Do you think of yourself as: <ul> <li>1 Gav/Homosexual <sup>2</sup> Bisexual <sup>3</sup> Heterosexual</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	This survey is completely anonym Your responses are very importan	ous – please do not wri t, they provide valuable	ite your name on the questionnaire.			
1 None       2 A few       3 Some       4 Most       5 All         2. How much of your free time is spent with gay or homosexual men?       1 Do you currently have sex with casual male partners?         1 None       2 A little       3 Some       4 A lot         3. Do you think of yourself as:       1 No       2 Yes         1 Gay/Homosexual       2 Bisexual       3 Heterosexual	<b>tion A –</b> About you	Section	B – Your sex partners			
gay or homosexual men?       1 No       2 Yes         1 None       2 A little       3 Some       4 A lot         3. Do you think of yourself as:       1 No       2 Yes         1 Gay(Homosexual       2 Heterosexual       3 Heterosexual	· · _					
<ul> <li>3. Do you think of yourself as:</li> <li>1 Gav/Homosexual 2 Bisexual 3 Heterosexual</li> <li>13. How would you describe your sexual relationship with your</li> </ul>	gay or homosexual men?	<sup>1</sup> No	<sup>2</sup> Yes			
Current regular male partner? (choose one)     Current regular male partner?     Current regular male partner male partner?     Current regular male partner male partner?     C	Gay/Homosexual <sup>2</sup> Bisexual <sup>3</sup> Heteros Other (please How old are you?	sexual 13. How wo current e specify) <sup>1</sup> We <sup>2</sup> Botl <sup>3</sup> I hav	regular male partner? (choose one) are monogamous – neither of us has casual sex h my partner and I have casual sex with other men			
<ul> <li>4 My partner has casual sex with other men but I do not</li> <li>5. Are you of Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander origin?</li> <li><sup>1</sup> No</li> <li><sup>2</sup> Yes</li> <li><sup>6</sup> No current regular male partner → Go to Section C→</li> </ul>	Are you of Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander origin?	⁵⊡l hav	ve <b>several regular</b> male partners			
<ul> <li>6. What is your ethnic background? (e.g. Dutch, Greek, Vietnamese, Lebanese)</li> <li>14. If you are in a regular relationship with a man, for how long has it been?</li> </ul>		, <b>14.</b> If you a has it be	re in a <b>regular</b> relationship with a man, for how long een?			
1 Anglo-Australian       Other       1 Less than 6 months         2 6-11 months       3 1-2 years		<sup>2</sup> 6–1 <sup>3</sup> 1–2	1 months years			
Postcode OR <sup>4</sup> More than 2 years Suburb/Town <b>15.</b> Do you have a <b>clear (spoken) agreement</b> with your regular		∫ <sup>5</sup> ⊡Not	in a regular relationship with a man			
8. Are you:       1 Employed full-time       4 A student       1 No agreement         1 Employed full-time       4 A student       1 No agreement         2 Employed part-time       5 Unemployed         3 On pension/social security       6 Other	<sup>1</sup> ☐ Employed full-time <sup>4</sup> ☐ A student <sup>2</sup> ☐ Employed part-time <sup>5</sup> ☐ Unemployed	partner <sup>1</sup> ⊡ No a <sup>2</sup> ⊒ Agre <sup>3</sup> ⊒ Agre	about anal sex (fucking) <b>within your relationship</b> ? agreement sement: No sex at all sement: No anal sex at all			
<ul> <li>9. What is your occupation? (e.g. bartender, teacher, welder)</li> <li>(specify)</li> <li>(specify)</li> <li>5 Agreement: Anal sex can be without a condom</li> <li>16. Do you have a clear (spoken) agreement with your regular partner about sex with casual partners?</li> </ul>	Vhat is your occupation? (e.g. bartender, teacher, w	velder) ⁵□Agre (specify) <b>16.</b> Do you	eement: Anal sex can be without a condom have a <b>clear (spoken) agreement</b> with your regular			
10. What is the highest level of education you have had?       1 No agreement         1 Less than or up to 3 years of high school / Year 10       2 Agreement: No sex at all         2 Year 12 / HSC / SACE / TEE / VCE       3 Agreement: No anal sex at all         3 Tertiary diploma or trade certificate / TAFE       4 Agreement: All anal sex is with a condom         4 University degree or CAE       60 to section B 7	□Less than or up to 3 years of high school / Year 1 □Year 12 / HSC / SACE / TEE / VCE □Tertiary diploma or trade certificate / TAFE	? <sup>1</sup> No a 10 <sup>2</sup> Agre <sup>3</sup> Agre <sup>4</sup> Agre	rgreement eement: No sex at all eement: No anal sex at all eement: All anal sex is with a condom			

Page 1

### Section C – Sex in the last 6 months

17. How many diffe months?	erent <i>men</i> have you h	had sex with in the last 6
<sup>1</sup> None	⁴□6–10 men	<sup>7</sup> More than 50 men
<sup>2</sup> One	⁵□11–20 men	
³□2–5 men	<sup>6</sup> 21-50 men	

<sup>6</sup>21-50 men

18. In the last 6 months how often have you had sex with men you met at or through:

	Never	Occasionally	Ofter
Internet	1	2	3
Mobile app e.g. Grindr	1	2	3
Gay bar	1	2	3
Dance party	1	2	3
Gym	1	2	3
Beat	1	2	3
Gay sauna	1	2	3
Other sex venue	1	2	3
Sex workers	1	2	3
Private sex parties	1	2	3
n other Australian cities	1	2	3
Elsewhere in Australia	1	2	3
Overseas	1	2	3

19. In the last 6 months, how often did you have group sex involving at least two other men?

<sup>3</sup>Once / A few times

<sup>1</sup> LEvery Week	
<sup>2</sup> Monthly	

<sup>1</sup> Yes

Ł

Page 2

Go to section D V

Section D – Regular male partners – last 6 months 20. Have you had sex with regular male partner/s in the last 6 months?

> <sup>2</sup>□No → Go to section E 7

<sup>4</sup> Never

In the last 6 MONTHS which of the following have you done with any of your REGULAR male partner/s?

### Oral sex regular partner/s:

- 21. I sucked his cock but he did NOT come in my mouth. <sup>1</sup> Never <sup>2</sup>Occasionally <sup>3</sup>Often
- 22. He sucked my cock but I did NOT come in his mouth. <sup>1</sup> Never <sup>2</sup>Occasionally <sup>3</sup>Often
- 23. I sucked his cock and he came in my mouth. <sup>1</sup> Never <sup>2</sup>Occasionally <sup>3</sup>Often
- 24. He sucked my cock and I came in his mouth <sup>2</sup>Occasionally <sup>3</sup>Often

Anal sex regula	r partner/s:				
25. I fucked him with a condom.					
<sup>1</sup> Never	<sup>2</sup> Occasionally	<sup>3</sup> Often			
26. He fucked me with a condom.					
<sup>1</sup> Never	<sup>2</sup> Occasionally	<sup>3</sup> Often			

- 27. I fucked him without a condom but pulled out before I came. <sup>1</sup> Never <sup>2</sup>Occasionally <sup>3</sup>Often
- 28. He fucked me without a condom but pulled out before he came
- <sup>2</sup>Occasionally <sup>1</sup> Never <sup>3</sup>Often
- 29. I fucked him without a condom and came inside. <sup>1</sup> Never <sup>3</sup>Often
- 30. He fucked me without a condom and came inside. <sup>1</sup> Never <sup>2</sup>Occasionally <sup>3</sup>Often

Section E - Casual male partners - last 6 months 31. Have you had any sex with any casual male partner/s in the last 6 months?

- ¹□Yes ↓ <sup>2</sup>□No → Go to section F 🗲
- In the last 6 MONTHS which of the following have you done with any of your CASUAL male partner/s?

- 32. I sucked his cock but he did NOT come in my mouth. <sup>1</sup> Never <sup>3</sup>Often
- 33, He sucked my cock but I did NOT come in his mouth. <sup>2</sup>Occasionally <sup>3</sup>Often 1 Never
- 34. I sucked his cock and he came in my mouth <sup>1</sup> Never <sup>2</sup>Occasionally <sup>3</sup>Often
- 35. He sucked my cock and I came in his mouth. <sup>1</sup>Never <sup>2</sup>Occasionally <sup>3</sup>Often
- 36. I fucked him with a condom.
- <sup>1</sup> Never <sup>2</sup>Occasionally <sup>3</sup>Often
- 37. He fucked me with a condom. <sup>3</sup>Often <sup>1</sup> Never <sup>2</sup>Occasionally
- 38. I fucked him without a condom but pulled out before I came. <sup>1</sup> Never <sup>3</sup>Often
- 39. He fucked me without a condom but pulled out before he came
  - <sup>1</sup> Never <sup>2</sup>Occasionally <sup>3</sup>Often
- 40. I fucked him without a condom and came inside. <sup>1</sup>Never <sup>2</sup>Occasionally <sup>3</sup>Often
- 41. He fucked me without a condom and came inside. <sup>2</sup>Occasionally <sup>3</sup>Often <sup>1</sup> Never
- 42. How many of your casual partners did you tell your HIV status
- before sex? <sup>1</sup> None <sup>2</sup> Some
- 43. How many of your casual partners told you their HIV status before sex?

<sup>1</sup> None

<sup>2</sup> Some

SGCPS 2011/ -

-	
6 : 1	
111	p

he following questions are for men who have had <u>any anal sex *without* a condom</u> with casual male artner(s) in the last 6 months.

44. In the last 6 months, if you had anal sex without a condom with any casual male partner(s), did you do any of the following to avoid getting or passing on HIV?

I made sure we were the same HIV status before we fucked without a condom	<sup>1</sup> Never	<sup>2</sup> Occasionally	<sup>3</sup> Often	<sup>4</sup> Always
I chose to take the top role (I fucked him) because his HIV status was different or unknown to me	<sup>1</sup> Never	<sup>2</sup> Occasionally	<sup>3</sup> Often	<sup>4</sup> Always
I chose to take the bottom role (he fucked me) because his HIV status was different or unknown to me	<sup>1</sup> Never	<sup>2</sup> Occasionally	<sup>3</sup> Often	<sup>4</sup> Always
When I fucked him, I chose to pull out before cumming because his HIV status was different or unknown to me	<sup>1</sup> Never	<sup>2</sup> Occasionally	<sup>3</sup> Often	<sup>4</sup> Always
When he fucked me, I made sure he pulled out before cumming because his HIV status was different or unknown to me	<sup>1</sup> Never	<sup>2</sup> Occasionally	<sup>3</sup> Often	<sup>4</sup> Always

### Go to section F 🕊

Section F – HIV testing				
45. Have you ever had an HIV a	antibody f	est?		
<sup>1</sup> No	<sup>2</sup> Yes			If you are HIV-pos
46. When were you last tested	for HIV ar	ntibodies?		the next three que
<ul> <li><sup>1</sup> Never tested</li> <li><sup>2</sup> Less than a week ago</li> <li><sup>3</sup> 1–4 weeks ago</li> <li><sup>4</sup> 1–6 months ago</li> <li>47. Based on the results of you what is your HIV status?</li> <li><sup>1</sup> No test/Don't know</li> <li><sup>2</sup> Negative</li> <li><sup>3</sup> Positive</li> </ul>	<sup>5</sup> 7–1 <sup>6</sup> 1–2 <sup>7</sup> 2–4 <sup>8</sup> Mo	2 months ago 2 years ago 4 years ago re than 4 years	ago	<ul> <li>51. When were you first d Year</li> <li>52. Are you on combination</li> <li><sup>2</sup> Yes</li> <li>53. What was your last vir</li> <li><sup>1</sup> Undetectable</li> <li><sup>2</sup> Detectable</li> <li><sup>3</sup> Don't know / unsur</li> </ul>
<b>48.</b> Rapid HIV tests can provide could have a rapid test in th more or less likely to get test	e followin sted for H	ig places would IV?	you be	
	Less likely	About the same	More likely	
At home	1	2	3	
At a clinic/GP	1	2	3	
Through a gay community organisation	1	2	3	
<b>49.</b> If you have a regular partne HIV antibody test?	r, do you	know the result	of his	
<sup>1</sup> Positive	<sup>2</sup> Nega	itive		
<sup>3</sup> I don't know/He hasn't h	ad a test			
<ul> <li>50. If your regular partner is HIV load test?</li> <li><sup>1</sup> ☐ Undetectable</li> <li><sup>2</sup> ☐ Detectable</li> <li><sup>3</sup> ☐ Don't know / unsure</li> </ul>	/ positive	, what was his l	ast viral	

itive please complete stions. If not, go to section G ➔

iagnosed as HIV-positive?

on antiretroviral therapy? <sup>1</sup>□No

ral load test?

re

Go to section G 🗲

SGCPS 2011/ -

### Section G – STI testing

### Section I – Drug use

54. Which of these sexual health tests have you had in the last 12 months?		61. How often have yo	ou <b>used</b> th	•		
None Once Ty	wice 3 or more		Never	Once/ twice	At least monthly	Every week
		Amyl/poppers	1	2	3	4
		Marijuana	1	2	3	4
		Viagra/Cialis etc	1	2	3	4
		Ecstasy	1	2	3	4
	4	Speed	1	2	3	4
Blood test for 1 2 3 syphilis	4	Cocaine	1	2	3	4
	4	Crystal meth	1 1	2 2	3 3	4 4
55. Where did you go the last time you had a s	vphilis test?	GHB Ketamine				
<sup>1</sup> My regular GP	jpinio tooti	(special K)	1	2	3	4
<sup>2</sup> Another GP		Heroin	1	2	3	4
<sup>3</sup> Sexual health clinic		Meow meow (mephedrone)	1	2	3	4
<sup>4</sup> □HIV clinic		Any other drug	1	2	3	4
<sup>5</sup> Never tested						_
56. Were you aware that someone could have s	syphilis without	62. How often have yo	-	_ ~		onths?
any physical symptoms?		<sup>1</sup> Every week		Once or	twice	
<sup>1</sup> Yes, I was aware <sup>2</sup> No, I wasn't awa	are	<sup>2</sup> At least month	ly ⁴L	Never		
57. Were you aware you could get syphilis throu	ugh oral sex?	63. In the last 6 mont		ften have	you used par	ty drugs
<sup>1</sup> Yes, I was aware <sup>2</sup> No, I wasn't awa	are	for the purpose of <sup>1</sup> Every week	_	Once or	twice	
58. If you were diagnosed with a sexually transr	nitted infection in	<sup>2</sup> At least month			lwice	
the last 12 months, how many of your sex pa about your diagnosis?	artners did you tell		-			
		64. In the last 6 mont or while using part		ften have y	you had grou	ip sex after
		<sup>1</sup> Every week		Once or	twice	
<sup>5</sup> Not been diagnosed with an STI in the last 12 months		<sup>2</sup> At least month				
Go to section H V						
Section H – PEP and PREP The survey concludes here.					_	
59. What do you know about post-exposure pro	phylaxis (PEP)?					-
<sup>1</sup> It's readily available now		Ihan	k you i	or you	ır time.	
<sup>2</sup> It will be available in the future		As this surv	vey is and	nymous,	feedback c	annot
<sup>3</sup> I've never heard about it		be provided and ACON				
n If you are <b>HIV-positive</b> you can skip th	he next question	http://nchsr			Suits of this	suivey.
and go to section 17	ne next question	http://www.				
60 in the last 6 menths, have you taken any a	nti UIV		0			
60. In the last 6 months, have you taken any a medication to prevent HIV infection:	nu-niv					
Before anal sex without a condom <sup>1</sup> Ye	s ²⊡No					
<u>After</u> anal sex without a condom e.g. PEP <sup>1</sup> ⊡Ye	s <sup>2</sup> No					
Go to section I 🛪						

Page 4

SGCPS 2011/ -