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#### Abstract

Multi-step photoinduced electron transfer takes place over a large distance in the photosynthetic reaction centres (PRCs). Electron donor in this life-spending event is the photo-excited 'special pair', a unit of two electronically coupled porphy rinoid chromophores. Bacteriopheophytin and two quinone molecules function as electron acceptors and contribute to the charge separation with almost unit quantum efficiency.


The natural photosynthetic reaction centre is the most sophisticated molecular electronic device to date and interest is high in increasing our understanding of the basic quantum mechanical principles behind efficient electron transfer and ultimately copying Nature and construct similar efficient devices.

Two main approaches towards a better understanding of the mechanisms involved have been taken. The more biological disciplines isolate, cultivate and alternate reaction centres whereas synthetic chemists prefer to construct well-defined models that mimic certain aspects of the reaction centres. Such a synthetic approach is described in the 'Synthesis of Advanced 'Special Pair' Models for the Photosynthetic Reaction Centre'.

The aspect to be mimicked is the 'special pair'. One or two porphyrins in a well-defined spatial disposition (kinked or non-kinked in respect to each other) were to act as electron donor in rigid bichromophoric and trichromophoric systems. A tetracy anonaphthoquinodimethane (TCNQ) unit was employed as the electron acceptor in the series of dyads synthesised. The TCNQ acceptor was replaced by a naphthoquinone (NQ) primary acceptor covalently linked to a TCNQ secondary electron acceptor in the series of triads. Rigid norbornylogous bridges held the chromophores in place and Diels-Alder methodology as well as condensation reactions were applied to link donor, bridge and acceptor components.

Despite larger interchromophoric separation than in the natural 'special pair', the two porphyrin chromophores of the series of 'special pair' dyads show some interaction and thereby prove the success of our approach towards 'special pair' mimics. Strong fluorescence quenching in the porphyrin-TCNQ dyads indicates the sought after electron transfer process.

A number of synthetic problems experienced and overcome in the synthesis of the series of triads led to discovery of a one-step 'bis-ketonisation' from an olefin under Sharpless bishydroxylation conditions with N -methy lmorpholine-N-oxide. High pressure was applied to circumvent a lack of reactivity in the condensation reaction used to attach the porphyrin moieties (one or two) to the donor backbone. For the linkage of donor, bridge and acceptor component, a procedure was developed and successfully applied to give the giant mono-porphyrin-NQ-TCNQ trichromophore. In a similar manner 'special pair' trichromophoric systems should be available as part of future work.
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A 'Introduction'

## A Introduction

## A. 1 Preamble

Popeye the sailor already knew where to get the energy. Now Elias Greenbaum and his colleagues at Oak Ridge National Laboratory in Tennessee believe that the tiny generators found in the leaves of spinach could soon be used as batteries or components in nanoscale electronic devices. ${ }^{1-4}$ The 'generators' are the photosynthetic reaction centres (PRCs), which undergo electron transfer (ET) when hit by light. After excitation an electron is transferred within picoseconds from the donor moiety ( D , the so-called 'special pair') to the acceptor ( A , a quinone) of the reaction centre, resulting in a charge-sep arated state. According to Greenbaum the potential energy stored in this charge-sep arated state could be used to power tiny devices.


Figure 1: Photo-excitation followed by an electron-transfer stepresulting in a high-energy charge-separated species

What sounds like a science-fiction fantasy is part of the efforts to utilise electron transfer phenomena in the emerging field of molecular electronics. ${ }^{5}$

Electron transfer processes have been under intense investigation since the late 1940s and the Nobel Prize awarded to R.A. Marcus in $1992^{6}$ underscored the recognition of the wideranging importance of ET phenomena in both chemistry and life. Interestingly the solution of the most important fundamental questions in intramolecular electron transfer was possible thanks to unprecedented collaboration between theorists, experimentalists and synthetic chemists. As a result of the success, a new and increasingly dominant style of physical
chemistry research, in which the synthesis of the most appropriate model compound is an intrinsic part of a well designed experiment, has emerged. The Nature of electron transfer studies is truly multidisciplinary and the continuing development in this field will increasingly depend on the involvement of skillful synthetic chemists. This Introduction gives a brief insight into the fundamentals of electron transfer, in particular photoinduced electron transfer. It describes electron transfer within the most fascinating natural converter of solar energy into chemical potential, the photosynthetic reaction centre, and thereafter focuses on synthetic efforts, directed towards a versatile set of mimics, to probe this behaviour. Those synthetic efforts will be taken a step further, when the 'Synthesis of Advanced 'Special Pair' Models for the Photosynthetic Reaction Centre', the objective of this thesis, is described in the following chapter B 'Sy ntheses'.

## A. 2 Electron Transfer

Electron transfer (ET) describes the most fundamental reaction in chemistry. No chemical bonds are necessarily broken or formed, but an electron is transferred from an electron donor (D) to an electron acceptor (A). This can occur as an intermolecular process or an intramolecular process. If donor and acceptor are not in direct contact with each other (namely within the sum of their van der Waals radii), the coupling of the donor and acceptor wave functions needs to be mediated. Media that may mediate long-range electron transfer processes include solvents, non-covalent or covalent bonds. These media provide 'orbitals' ( $\pi$, $\left.\pi^{*}, \sigma, \sigma^{*}\right)$ the migrating electron can use to tunnel between donor and acceptor. The electron in an ET reaction moves coherently between the chromophores, and not as a defect (i.e. polaron) such as in electron transport. In an electron transport process the electron becomes thermally injected into the conduction band of the bridge and it actually becomes localised within the bridge. In this case the medium is regarded as a molecular wire and the distance dependence of the electron transport rate is determined by Ohmic scattering and therefore varies inversely with interchromophoric separation. ${ }^{7,8}$ In contrast, the electron in an electron transfer process can not be thermally injected into the bridge virtual states (Figure 2) since the energy gap $(\Delta E)$ between the HOMO of the donor and the LUMO of the medium is too high (several eV ). The distance dependence of the electron transfer rate is exponential decay (Equation 13, page 14).


Figure 2: Electron transfer vs. electron transport
Whereas electron transport is fairly well understood, electron transfer is not and therefore it remains the subject of intense research, to illuminate its role in Nature.

Basically electron transfer reactions can be divided into three distinct categories: thermal, optical and photoinduced ET (Figure 3). Each may involve either charge shift ( $\mathrm{D}^{-}+\mathrm{A} \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{D}+\mathrm{A}^{-}$) or complete charge separation $\left(\mathrm{D}+\mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{D}^{+}+\mathrm{A}^{-}\right)$.


Figure 3: Schematic representations showing (a) thermal ET, (b) optical ET, and (c) photoinduced ET

In thermal electron transfer (Figure 3 (a)), the free energy of the products is lower than the free energy of the reactants. It is the exergonic nature that enables ET to occur.

In optical electron transfer (Figure 3 (b)), photoexcitation results in direct electronic excitation into the charge-separated state and is represented as a vertical transition from the
reactant to the product surface. Following the optical electron transfer the charge-separated species relaxes into its most stable geometry.

In photoinduced electron transfer (Figure 3 (c)), either the donor or acceptor is locally excited by light of an appropriate wavelength. Subsequent thermal electron transfer occurs from this excited state to give the charge-sep arated product. Photoinduced ET is the most useful form of electron transfer as it converts the energy of light into chemical potential, which can be utilised to carry out work. In order to rationally design such photovoltaic devices a sound knowledge of the parameters that effect electron transfer is essential.

## A. 3 Basic Electron Transfer Theory

A system which is to undergo long-range photoinduced intramolecular electron transfer must consist of a light absorbing species (chromophore) which either acts as an electron donor or electron acceptor in its first electronically excited state. As mentioned above, electron donor and electron acceptor need to be connected by a medium that enables the coupling of the wavefunctions of the reactant state (first excited state) and the product state (the chargeseparated state). The magnitude of the electronic coupling element $\left(H_{r p}\right)$ distinguishes between two types of electron transfer. It is defined by Equation 1 where $\Psi_{R}{ }^{o}$ and $\Psi_{P}{ }^{o}$ are the diabatic electronic wave functions of the reactant (e.g. [ $\left.\mathrm{D}^{*}-\mathrm{A}\right]$ ) and the product (e.g. $\left[\mathrm{D}^{+}-\mathrm{A}^{-}\right]$), respectively. $H_{e l}$ is the Born-Oppenheimer Hamiltonian for the system. ${ }^{9}$

$$
H_{r p}=\left\langle\Psi_{R}^{o}\right| H_{e l}\left|\Psi_{P}^{o}\right\rangle
$$

## Equation 1: Definition of the electronic coupling element $\boldsymbol{H}_{r p}$

If $H_{r p}$ is moderately large $\left(\geq 200 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$, the term adiabatic ET is used. The potential energy surfaces of the reactant and the product do not intersect but rather strongly 'avoid' one another and the ET reaction remains on the lower energy surface as it proceeds through the transition state (Figure 4 (1)). Adiabatic intramolecular electron transfer is generally found when donor and acceptor are strongly coupled. The splitting of the adiabatic surfaces is equal to $2 H_{r p} .^{10,11}$


Figure 4: (1) adiabatic and (2) nonadiabatic Gibbs free energy surfaces
If $H_{r p}$ is small $\left(<200 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$, the energy surfaces of the reactant and the product no longer interact significantly at their formal point of intersection. In this case the system normally remains on the reactant surface as it passes through the intersection point. Only occasionally does it cross over to the product energy surface and so-called nonadiabatic electron transfer occurs (Figure 4 (2)). Most long-range electron transfer processes, including those described in this thesis, occur nonadiabatically. ${ }^{12}$ In order to describe the phenomenon a quantum mechanical approach is needed.

## A.3.1 Expressions for Rate Constants

Quantum mechanical treatments of electron transfer begin with Fermi's golden rule formulation ${ }^{13}$ for the probability of a radiationless transition between two states (Equation 2). The rate of electron transfer $\left(k_{E T}\right)$ is expressed as a function of the electronic coupling element $\left(H_{r p}\right)$ between the reactant and the product,

$$
k_{E T}=\frac{2 \pi}{\hbar}\left|H_{r p}\right|^{2} F C W D
$$

## Equation 2: Fermi's golden rule

where $F C W D$ is the Franck-Condon weighted density-of-states, or more precisely, the squared overlap integral of the vibrational wave functions weighted by the Boltzmann distribution. Despite the fact that one can relate $F C W D$ to experimental data, such an expression remains
complex and not very practical for chemists, as it does not contain easily accessible (measurable) and acurate parameters.

On the basis of a classical approximation, Marcus provided a more 'practical' (and Nobel Prize winning) expression. ${ }^{6,13} \mathrm{He}$ treated the solvent as a dielectric continuum and considered the Franck-Condon principle, which states that during the instantaneous electron transfer the nuclei do not have time to change either their positions or their momenta. To satisfy these two conditions simultaneously the transfer has to occur at or near nuclear configurations for which the total potential energy of the reactants and the surrounding solvent is equal to that of the products and the surrounding solvent. This is the case at the formal point of intersection for the two parabolic potential energy surfaces in Figure 5.


Figure 5: Representation of the Gibbs free energy surfaces according to Marcus theory.

In Marcus theory ${ }^{13}$ the curvature of the reactant and product surface is assumed to be the same. The position of the two parabolas relative to each other is completely described by the Gibbs free energy of the reaction $\Delta G^{0}$ and the reorganisation energy, $\lambda$. Since allowed transitions can only occur where the parabolas cross, the free energy of activation $\Delta G^{*}$ for the electron transfer reaction can be calculated from $\Delta G^{0}$ and $\lambda$.

$$
\Delta G^{*}=\frac{\left(\lambda+\Delta G^{0}\right)^{2}}{4 \lambda}
$$

## Equation 3: Gibbs free energy of activation

According to classical transition-state theory, the first-order rate constant $k_{E T}$ is given by

$$
k_{E T}=\kappa_{e l} v_{n} \exp \left(\frac{-\Delta G^{*}}{k_{B} T}\right)
$$

## Equation 4: First order rate constant in classical transition-state theory

where $\kappa_{e l}$ is the electronic transmission coefficient, $v_{n}$ is the frequency of passage (nuclear motion) through the transition state $\left(v_{n} \cong 10^{13} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right), \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}}$ is the Boltzmann constant, and T is the absolute temperature. $\Delta G^{*}$ is the Gibbs energy of activation for the ET process. Application of Equation 3 leads to the classical Marcus equation ${ }^{13}$

$$
k_{E T}=\kappa_{e l} v_{n} \exp \left(\frac{-\left(\lambda+\Delta G^{0}\right)^{2}}{4 \lambda k_{B} T}\right)
$$

## Equation 5: Classical Marcus equation

where $\lambda$ is the total reorganisation energy, which is usually divided into contributions of an outer $\left(\lambda_{o}\right)$ and an inner $\left(\lambda_{i}\right)$ component, with $\lambda=\lambda_{o}+\lambda_{i}$. The value of $\lambda_{i}$ may be calculated from the force constants for all the molecular vibrations in both the reactant and the product, while the outer reorganisational energy $\lambda_{o}$ can be determined by application of the dielectric continuum model of a solvent. The simplest application of this model assumes that the donor and acceptor are spherical with radii $r_{D}$ and $r_{A}$, respectively, lying at a centre-to-centre distance, $r_{D A}$, apart. The charge transferred from one reactant to the other is $\Delta e$. If $\varepsilon_{o p}$ and $\varepsilon_{s}$ are the optical and static dielectric constants of the solvent, $\mathrm{Marcus}^{6}$ has shown that

$$
\lambda_{o}=(\Delta e)^{2}\left(\frac{1}{2 r_{D}}+\frac{1}{2 r_{A}}-\frac{1}{r_{D A}}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{o p}}-\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{s}}\right)
$$

## Equation 6: Outer component of the total reorganisation energy

In experiments $\lambda_{\mathrm{o}}$ varies from near zero for non-polar solvents to $1.0-1.5 \mathrm{eV}$ for polar solvents, ${ }^{12}$ whereas for several organic donor-acceptor systems $\lambda_{\mathrm{i}}$ was found to be between
0.3 and $0.6 \mathrm{eV} .{ }^{14-16}$ Therefore in polar solvents the outer reorganisation energy is the dominant contribution to $\lambda$ and interchromophoric separation has a greater effect.

A key feature of Equation 5 is that it predicts that the rate of electron transfer will slow down, when the standard free energy of the reaction becomes very large. In contrast to the socalled "normal region" this is called the "inverted region". At $-\Delta G^{0}=\lambda$ ("nearly optimal region") the rate of electron transfer reaches its maximum and enters the "inverted region" with $k_{E T}$ decreasing for further increasing $\Delta G^{0}$ (Figure 6) It took about 20 years to verify this prediction by experiment. ${ }^{17}$


Figure 6: Marcus "normal", "optimal" and "inverted" region

The classical Marcus theory generally works well for electron transfer reactions where $\kappa_{e l}=1$, corresponding to a unit probability of electron transfer at the transition state. However, for most nonadiabatic ET reactions the probability of electron transfer is $\ll 1$, resulting in the above mentioned quantum mechanical approach (Equation 2). If in this approach the low energetic solvent vibrations are treated classically and only the high-frequency internal modes are treated quantum mechanically as an average mode, a semiclassical expression for the high-temperature limit can be derived. ${ }^{13,18}$

$$
k_{E T}=\frac{2 \pi}{\hbar}\left|H_{r p}\right|^{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi \lambda k_{B} T}} \exp \left(\frac{-\left(\lambda+\Delta G^{0}\right)^{2}}{4 \lambda k_{B} T}\right)
$$

## Equation 7: High-temperature limit of the semiclassical Marcus expressions

Equation 7 works very well for the normal region, and thus is often used even though the conditions do not justify the high-temperature limit. Equation 7 is equivalent to Equation 5 with

$$
\kappa_{e l} v_{n}=\frac{2 \pi}{\hbar}\left|H_{r p}\right|^{2}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi \lambda k_{B} T}}\right)
$$

## Equation 8: Classic equivalent

Thus, the classical Gibbs energy diagrams can be retained for nonadiabatic electron transfer, provided that $\kappa_{e l} v_{n}$ is reinterpreted according to Equation 8.

## A.3.2 Electron Transfer Variables

The semiclassical Marcus theory predicts in which way the electronic coupling element $\left(H_{r p}\right)$, the free energy of reaction $\left(\Delta G^{0}\right)$, the total nuclear reorganisation energy $(\lambda)$, and the temperature ( T ) influence the rate of electron transfer. Variables of electron transfer systems such as the type of chromophores involved, the distance between the chromophores, their orientation in respect to each other, as well as the type of bridge connecting the chromophores affect these parameters and subsequently the rate of their intramolecular electron transfer. These dependencies have been investigated through synthesis of suitable models and the investigation of their photophysical properties. In many cases the results confirm the predictions made by the theory.

## A.3.2.1 Chromophore dependence

Different electron donors or electron acceptors vary in their electron donating or accepting ability. Those abilities are reflected in their redox potentials. The change in free energy $\left(\Delta G^{0}\right)$ for a photoinduced charge separation process can be exp ressed with those redox potentials as shown schematically in Figure 7.


Figure 7: Schematic representation of the change in free energy $\left(\Delta G^{0}\right)$ for a photoinduced electron transfer reaction. $E_{00}$ is the zero-zero electronic excitation energy to the first excited singlet state (D*); $E_{o x}(\mathrm{D})$ and $E_{\text {red }}(\mathbf{A})$ are the oxidation and reduction potentials of $D$ and $A$, respectively.

From Figure 7 it can be observed that the free energy change for the ET reaction may be given by the Rehm-Weller equation ${ }^{19,20}$

$$
\Delta G^{0}(\infty)=E_{o x}(D)-E_{r e d}(A)-E_{00}
$$

## Equation 9: Rehm-Weller equation for infinite $\left[\mathrm{D}^{+}\right]-\left[\mathrm{A}^{-}\right]$separation

for donor and acceptor at infinite separation. If the donor and the acceptor are at finite distances, Equation 9 must be modified to account for the Coulombic attraction energy ( $\Delta G_{i p}$ ) between the positive charged donor and the negative charged acceptor: ${ }^{21}$

$$
\Delta G^{0}=E_{o x}(D)-E_{r e d}(A)-E_{00}+\Delta G_{i p}
$$

Equation 10: Rehm-Weller equation for finite $\left[\mathrm{D}^{+}\right]-\left[\mathrm{A}^{+}\right]$separation

The Coulombic attraction energy $\left(\Delta G_{i p}\right)$ is defined as

$$
\Delta G_{i p}=\frac{-e^{2}}{4 \pi \varepsilon_{0} \varepsilon_{s} r_{D A}}
$$

## Equation 11: Coulombic attraction energy

As shown above (Equation 7) the rate of electron transfer depends on the Gibbs free energy change of the electron transfer reaction, which in turn depends on the oxidation potential of the donor and the reduction potential of the acceptor. This dependence was confirmed in many experiments. The first experiment to confirm the existence of the Marcus inverted region was the one carried out by Miller et al. ${ }^{14,17}$ on a series of compounds (Example 1) bearing a biphenylyl donor - which undergo thermal electron transfer.


## Acceptors:



Example 1: First intramolecular ET models by Miller et al. to establish experimentally the existence of the Marcus inverted region

A 4-biphenylyl donor is covalently attached to the $3-\beta$ position of a rigid, steroidal $5 \alpha-$ androstane skeleton. A variety of eight acceptors were attached to the $16-\beta$ position of the androstane skeleton, separating donor and acceptor by $10 \AA$. With increasing exergonicity (Table 1) the rate of electron transfer raised from $1.5 \pm 0.5 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ (for a 2-naphthyl acceptor) to $>2 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ (for a hexahy dronaphthoquinon-2-yl acceptor), and then decreased to $7 \pm 3 \times 10^{7} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ (for a 5,6-dichlorobenzoquinon-2-yl acceptor).

Table 1: First experimental proof for the Marcus inverted region by Miller et al. (1984)

| Acceptor Group for Example 1 | $\Delta \mathbf{G}^{\mathbf{0}}$ | $\mathbf{k}_{\text {ET }}$ in MTHF |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 2-naphthyl (a) | 0.05 eV | $1.5 \pm 0.5 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| 9-phenanthryl (b) | 0.16 eV | $1.25 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{7} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| 1-pyrenyl (c) | 0.52 eV | $1.5 \pm 0.5 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| hexahydronaphthoquinon-2-yl (d) | 1.23 eV | $>2 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| 2-naphthoquinoyl (e) | 1.93 eV | $3.8 \pm 1 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| 2-benzoquinoyl (f) | 2.10 eV | $2.5 \pm 0.3 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| 5-chlorobenzoquinon-5-yl (g) | 2.29 eV | $1.7 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| 5,6-dichlorobenzoquinon-2-yl (h) | 2.40 eV | $7 \pm 3 \times 10^{7} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |

An example for photoinduced electron transfer entering the inverted region is given by the Wasielewski group ${ }^{22-26}$ His group studied a series of 32 molecules where 8 different acceptors were covalently attached to 4 different donors - all of them porphyrins, metallated or nonmetallated with phenyl- or pentyl-groups in the meso-positions. Seventeen of those molecules underwent rapid photoinduced charge sep aration and five of them are pictured in Example 2.


(a)

(b)

(c)

(d)

(e)

Example 2: Intramolecular photoinduced ET models by Wasielewski et al.

## Proof for the existence of the Marcus inverted region

With increasing driving force ((a) to (e)) the rate of electron transfer rises and reaches a maximum before entering the Marcus inverted region (Figure 8). The fit to the data was obtained by using an electronic coupling of $33 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, an average vibrational frequency of $500 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, a total reorganisation energy $\lambda=0.6 \mathrm{eV}$, and $\Delta G^{0}=0.8 \mathrm{eV}$.


Figure 8: Rate constant for the Wasielewski series Example 2 (a) - (e) vs. driving force $-\Delta \boldsymbol{G}$

Both examples, Miller and Wasielewski, nicely demonstrate the dependence of electrontransfer rates on the nature of the chromophores.

## A.3.2.2 Distance Dependence

The electronic coupling element $\left(H_{r p}\right)$ and the reorganisation energy $(\lambda)$ are the only factors that depend significantly on the interchromophoric separation $\left(r_{D A}\right)$. $\lambda$ Depends weakly on $r_{D A}$, so $H_{r p}$ should be the major factor in the dependence of the rate of electron transfer on distance. Electron-transfer rates have been measured as a function of distance in rigid glasses, ${ }^{18,27-31}$ in dyads in which a preferably rigid spacer group holds the donor and acceptor apart (see examples in this thesis), in monolayer assemblies, ${ }^{32-34}$ in proteins, ${ }^{35-38}$ and DNA. ${ }^{39,40}$ Where it has been possible to accurately measure dependence on distance, it has always been found that electron-transfer rates decayed exponentially because of the exp onential decrease of electronic coupling with distance

$$
H_{r p}^{2}\left(r_{D A}\right)=H_{r p}^{2}\left(r_{0}\right) \exp \left(-\beta\left(r_{D A}-r_{0}\right)\right)
$$

## Equation 12: Exponential dependence of $\boldsymbol{H}_{r p}$ on distance

where $\mathrm{r}_{0}$ is a reference distance at which the largest rate of ET $\left(k_{E T}\left(r_{0}\right)\right)$ occurs (normally at the van der Waals contact between the chromophores) and $\beta$ is an attenuation constant, which depends on both the absolute and relative donor, acceptor and bridge energetics. In a series of dyads with the same values for $\Delta G^{0}$ and $\lambda$ but differing $r_{D A}$, the rate constants should follow the relation

$$
k_{E T}\left(r_{D A}\right)=k_{E T}\left(r_{0}\right) \exp \left(-\beta\left(r_{D A}-r_{0}\right)\right)
$$

## Equation 13: Rate constant - distance relation

Perhaps the most conclusive study on distance dependence of photoinduced intramolecular electron transfer rates has been performed by the Paddon-Row and Verhoeven groups on a series of rigid donor-bridge-acceptor molecules. ${ }^{15,41-47}$ Electron transfer occurs from the locally excited state of a dimethoxynaphthalene (DMN) donor to a dicyanovinyl (DCV) acceptor via polynorbornane-bicy clo[2.2.0]-hexane ('norbornylogous') bridges with an effective length of 4 to $13 \sigma$-bonds (Example 3). The norbornylogous bridges hold the donor and acceptor at well-defined distances and orientations.

(a): 4 $\sigma$-bonds CN




Example 3: Series of DMN-DCV dyads by the Paddon-Row group

With increasing edge-to-edge interchromophoric separation $\left(r_{D A}\right)$ from $7.1 \AA(4 \sigma$-bonds $)$ to $15.6 \AA$ (13 $\sigma$-bonds) the rate of electron transfer dropped by three orders of magnitude, but was still as high as $1.5 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for the DMN - $13 \sigma$-bonds - DCV dyad. The efficiency of charge separation was near unity for the 4 to $10 \sigma$-bond systems and fell off to $89 \%$ for Example 3(e) and $45 \%$ for Example 3(f). With increasing charge-separation the lifetime of the charge-separated state increased significantly and predictably (see Table 2). Unusually
large for a simple dyad was the lifetime of $1.5 \mu$ s for Example 3 (f). That charge separation had indeed occurred was demonstrated using time-resolved microwave conductivity, which gives an estimate of the dipole moment of the charge-separated species. The measurements were consistent with the $\left[\mathrm{DMN}^{+}\right.$-bridge-DCV] formation. ${ }^{48}$

Table 2: Electron transfer rates and lifetimes of the charge-separatedstate for DMN-DCV dyads by the Paddon-Row group

| Compound | $\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{D A}}$ | $\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{E T}}, \mathbf{T H F}, \mathbf{2 0}{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ | $\mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{C R}}$, dioxane |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Example 3 (a) | $7.1 \AA$ | $>3300 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | $<0.5 \mathrm{~ns}$ |
| Example 3 (b) | $9.0 \AA$ | $\geq 3300 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | 0.5 ns |
| Example 3 (c) | $11.8 \AA$ | $670 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | 2.5 ns |
| Example 3 (d) | $13.3 \AA$ | $120 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | 43 ns |
| Example 3 (e) | $14.9 \AA$ | $13 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | 297 ns |
| Example 3 (f) | $15.6 \AA$ | $1.5 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | 1500 ns |

The upper value of $\beta$ for the norborny logous bridge was determined to be $0.46 \AA^{-1}$ in THF, $0.50 \AA^{-1}$ in ethyl acetate, and $0.61 \AA^{-1}$ in acetonitrile. Similar studies on distance dependence of electron transfer rates for other types of media between donor and acceptor lead to the $\beta$ values (per bond) shown in Table 3.

Table 3: $\beta$-values for different media, $k_{E T} \propto \exp (-\beta r)$

| Medium | $\beta$-value |
| :--- | ---: |
| Through space (vacuum) | 6.0 |
| Through saturated C-C bonds ${ }^{49}$ | $0.9-1.2$ |
| Through helical oligoproline ${ }^{37}$ | $0.2-0.3$ |
| Through proteins ${ }^{50}$ |  |
| $\beta$-sheets | $0.8-1.2$ |
| $\alpha$-helix | $1.2-1.6$ |
| Through DNA $^{39,40,51-54}$ | $0.64-1.4$ |
| Ru $^{2+}\left(\text { phen' }^{2}\right)_{2}{\text { dppzz- }\{\text { DNA Helix }\}-\mathrm{Rh}^{3+}(\text { phi })_{2}{ }^{2} \text { phen' }}^{55}$ | $<0.2$ |

## A.3.2.3 Bridge dependence

In a sense the dependence of electron transfer rates on the type of bridge is reflected in the different $\beta$-values for different media derived from distance dependence studies. This is proof that long-range electron transfer is mediated by the bridge (through bond (TB) mechanism) and does not occur through space (TS). Bridges can consist of saturated, unsaturated, conjugated, or aromatic spacers. Proteins in different secondary structures ${ }^{56,57}$ have been utilised as electron transfer mediators as well as DNA ${ }^{55,58-60}$, metal complexes ${ }^{61}$ and hydrogen bonds. ${ }^{62-64} \mathrm{~A}$ very elegant comparison of electron transfer mediated by single bonds, double bonds or hydrogen bonds has been published by Therien et al. 65 The supramolecular complexes feature (porphyrinato)zinc donors and (porphyrinato)iron(III)chloride acceptors separated by a virtually constant distance, thus establishing uniform driving force and reorganisation energy for the ET reaction. The bridges that connect donors to acceptors are rigid and 14 bonds long and their central 4 bonds contain hydrogen, single, or double bonds (Example 4(a)-(c)).





Example 4: Bis-porphyrin dyads by Therien et al. (1995)

The main purpose of this work was to prove that hydrogen bonds are good mediators of electron transfer and therefore should play an important role in biological electron transfer processes. The measured electron-transfer rates of these systems confirm that point of view (Table 4).

Table 4: Distance, driving force, and electron-transfer rates for bisporphyrin dyads by Therien et al.

| Compound | Distance (TB) | $-\Delta \boldsymbol{G}^{0}$ | $\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{E} T}$ in $\mathbf{D C M}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Example 4 (a) | $22.4 \AA$ | 0.70 eV | $8.1 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| Example 4 (b) | $23.7 \AA$ | 0.87 eV | $4.3 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| Example 4 (c) | $23.1 \AA$ | 0.87 eV | $8.8 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |

## A.3.2.4 Geometry dependence

Theoretical studies indicate that the electronic coupling element $\left(H_{r p}\right)$ is sensitive to the relative orientations of the donor and acceptor, as well as the overlap of the $\pi$-systems of the chromophores with the bridge linking them. ${ }^{66,67}$ For many ET reactions $H_{r p}$ can either be positive or negative, depending on angles, and in principle, there may be angles at which the coupling can be zero. In experiments with a series of bichromophoric systems where the chromophores, their distance from each other, as well as the number and type of bonds connecting them were kept unchanged, the dependence on the chromophore's orientation has been investigated. ${ }^{17,57,67,68}$ One recent example is that of Sakata et al. ${ }^{69}$ shown in Example 5.

(a)

(b)

(c)

(d)

Example 5: Porphyrin-quinone dyads by Sakata et al. (1994)
In these four systems $((\mathbf{a}) /(\mathbf{b}),(\mathbf{c}) /(\mathbf{d}))$ the edge-to-edge distance varies by $0.4 \AA$ or $0.1 \AA$ only, the relative orientation of porphyrin and quinone, however, by $90^{\circ}$. This results in a
reduction of the rate for photoinduced electron transfer and charge recombination (Table 5). It was shown that the decrease in the rate constants was due to the different orientations affecting the electronic coupling element $\left(H_{r p}\right)$. A similar result was obtained for the free-base analogues. ${ }^{70,71}$

Table 5: Edge-to-edge distance, driving force, ET-, and charge recombination (CR) rates for porphyrin-quinone dyads by Sak ata et al. (1994)

| Compound | $\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{D} \boldsymbol{A}}$ | $\boldsymbol{- \Delta \boldsymbol { G } ^ { 0 }}$ | $\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{E T}}$ in THF | $\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{C R}}$ in THF |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Example 5 (a) | $8.2 \AA$ | 0.83 eV | $2.6 \times 10^{11} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | $4.6 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| Example 5 (b) | $8.6 \AA$ | 0.82 eV | $3.2 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | $3.6 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| Example 5 (c) | $6.4 \AA$ | 0.76 eV | $1.7 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | $1.6 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| Example 5 (d) | $6.3 \AA$ | 0.76 eV | $5.0 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | $7.9 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |

## A.3.2.5 Solvent dependence

The surrounding solvent changes two parameters that can markedly alter electron transfer rates. First, the exergonicity $\left(\Delta G^{0}\right)$ can be affected through different solvation of the product ion pair $\left[\mathrm{D}^{+}-\mathrm{A}^{-}\right]$. Second, the external contribution to the reorganisation energy $\left(\lambda_{o}\right)$ is altered through changes in $\varepsilon_{o p}$ and $\varepsilon_{s}$, the optical and dielectrical constants. Schmidt et al. ${ }^{72}$ have shown that changing the solvent can change $\lambda$ by as much as 1.2 eV . Exergonicity ( $\Delta G^{0}$ ) can vary by 0.3 eV with different solvents. ${ }^{72,73}$ For Example 6 by Schmidt et al. ${ }^{72,74,75}$ the rates of electron transfer were measured in 22 different solvents and found to vary from $1.4 \times 10^{7} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ to $2.3 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$.


Example 6: Porphyrin-quinone dyad by Schmidt et al. (1985)

The results were analy sed according to the high temperature limit of the semiclassical M arcus equation (Equation 7) and were found to conform reasonably well to the Marcus predicted
behaviour. Scatter in the data was explained with a solvent dependence of the electronic coupling element $\left(H_{r p}\right)$. This solvent sensitivity of $H_{r p}$ was suggested to rise from different average conformations of the porphyrin-quinone dyad. In solvents with high dielectric constants the molecule might adopt a more folded conformation, which would bring donor and acceptor closer together and thus enhance $H_{r p}$. In some conformations through-solvent (rather than through-bond) electron transfer could play an important role, too. Size and shape of solvents were also considered to have a possible impact on $k_{E T}$.

For very large electron transfer rates $\left(k_{E T}\right)$, the motion of solvent molecules can limit the electron transfer rate. This is known as the dynamic solvent effect. ${ }^{76}$ The re-orientation of the solvent molecules around $\left[\mathrm{D}^{+}-\mathrm{A}^{-}\right]$becomes sluggish compared to the time-scale of the ET process. This essentially limits the rate of electron transfer to the timescale for solvent motions. ${ }^{9}$ For a trichromophore Wiederrecht et al..$^{77}$ have found large differences in the rate of photoinduced electron transfer in similar low-polarity solvents. The triad comprises a zinc methyl $13^{1}$-desoxopyropheop horbide $a(\mathrm{Chl})$ as a donor, a pyromellitimide (PI) as a primary electron acceptor, and 1,8:4,5-naphthalenediimide (NI) as a secondary acceptor (Example 7).


Example 7: Chlorophyll-pyromellitimide-naphthalenediimide (Chl-PI-NI) triad by Wiederrecht et al. (1996)

These differences in electron-transfer rates were attributed to dynamic solvent effects. A better insight into the solvent reorientation - electron transfer rate relation is provided by studies of rigid molecules in low temperature solid solutions. ${ }^{24,78}$ Below the freezing point solvent reorientation is strongly hindered, which has a considerable impact on the driving force. In some cases electron transfer becomes even endothermic.

## A.3.2.6 Temperature dependence

As with any rate constant for an activated process, electron transfer rate constants normally exhibit an Arrhenius temperature dependence (see Equation 7). "Normally" ap pears to be the keyword in this sentence as experimental results ${ }^{73,79-82}$ and further theoretical considerations ${ }^{9}$ indicate that this simple description may be adequate in the "normal" region, but tends to fail in the "inverted" region. The interpretation of temperature-dependent electron-transfer kinetics is complicated by the relatively large influence of the temperature dependence of the solvent dielectric properties. This problem becomes especially evident if the barrier is small, when it may lead to an overall nullification or even inversion of the temperature effect on the experimental rate. Upon correction for the temperature dependence of the solvent properties, the rate of electron transfer is found to be independent of temperature in the inverted region, where nuclear tunnelling involving high frequency modes becomes dominant. This contradicts expectations based on Marcus theory.

This last example is only one of many where experimental results do not match predictions made by theory and even though much progress has been made in respect of how certain parameters influence electron transfer behaviour, there remain puzzles to be solved. ${ }^{83}$ Solving puzzles on one hand and using the knowledge gained on the other are two fields of research in the electron transfer context. The latter finds its application in the rational design of molecular devices, which exhibit high quantum efficiency, fast electron transfer and a long-lived chargesep arated state.

## A. 4 Molecular Devices

One of the most sought after goals in electron transfer is the rational design and successful construction of molecular photovoltaic devices, ${ }^{5,84}$ i.e., those molecular systems that are capable of transducing photon energy into chemical potential through creation of a chargeseparated state. The basic scheme for a bichromophoric donor-acceptor [D-A] molecular photovoltaic device is given in Figure 9.


Figure 9: Energy diagram illustrating pathways available in a photoinduced
electron-transfer event within a dyad [D-A].

Absorption of light by the dyad leads to the (eventual) formation of the first excited state whose excitation energy is localised on either the donor or acceptor moiety. The locally excited state can then either decay non-p roductively back to the ground state (with a rate $k_{D}$ ) or it may undergo desired electron transfer (ET) to give the charge-separated (CS) state [ $\mathrm{D}^{\bullet+}-\mathrm{A}^{\bullet}$ ] (with a rate $k_{E T}$. The amount of chemical potential stored during this process is given by the quantity $\left(h v+\Delta G^{0}\right)$. Left to itself, the CS state eventually undergoes charge recombination (with a rate $k_{C R}$ ).

## A.4.1 Requirements

The following criteria should be met when designing a molecular photovoltaic device:
(1) The quantum yield for the charge separation process should be as high as possible, that is, $k_{E T} \gg k_{D}$.
(2) The lifetime, $\tau_{C R}$, of the charge-separated state must be long enough that it is able to carry out 'useful' chemical work. In practice, $\tau_{C R}$ should be greater than $1 \mu \mathrm{~s}$.
(3) The energy content of the CS state should be as high as possible, thereby ensuring maximum conversion of photonic energy into chemical potential. Thus, $\left|\Delta G^{0}\right|$ should be small.

To meet requirement (1), the magnitude of $k_{E T}$ should be greater than $10^{10} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. This number follows from the observation that the lifetimes of the excited states of the majority of potentially useful chromophores are about $10^{-9} \mathrm{~s}$. Thus, simultaneous satisfaction of requirements (1) and (2) means that the ratio of the rates of charge separation to charge recombination ( $k_{E T} / k_{C R}$ ) should be greater than $10^{4}$. This dual requirement of high efficiency of charge separation and longevity of the charge-separated state cannot be easily met by bichromophoric systems. Generally, the lifetime of the charge-separated state in dyads increases with increasing separation between the two chromophores, whereas the efficiency for its formation decreases with bridge length.

## A.4.2 Multichromophoric Concept

Increasing the lifetime of the charge-separated state can be achieved by increasing the interchromophoric separation. However, this can not be easily done in bichromophoric systems without severely compromising the efficiency of formation of the charge-sep arated state. This problem can be circumvented by using multichromophoric systems, i.e., triads, tetrads, pentads etc., ${ }^{85}$ that constitute a gradient of redox centres arranged within a spatially well-defined array. The principle behind this concept is illustrated in Figure 10 for the case of a covalently linked triad $\left[D-A_{1}-A_{2}\right]$, in which $D$ is initially locally excited.


Figure 10: Energy diagram for multichromophoric concept
In this system, the electron transfer takes place in a sequence of rapid 'hops' between adjacent chromophores that are separated by a bridge short enough to guarantee that each hop occurs
with near unit efficiency. The final result is charge separation over a sufficiently large distance that the unwanted charge-recombination step becomes acceptably slow.

In the process of evolution, Nature has been able to develop such systems. Photoinduced electron transfer occurs with almost $100 \%$ efficiency over a large distance in the photosynthetic reaction centres (PRCs) of bacteria and green plants. The resulting chargeseparated state lives long enough to provide the electrochemical potential that drives all subsequent biochemical reactions and, indirectly, almost all living things.

## A. 5 PhOtosynthesis

Photosynthesis literally means assembling by light. Commonly used, photosynthesis describes the process by which plants synthesise organic compounds from inorganic materials in the presence of sunlight. The appearance of photosy nthesising bacteria about 3.5 billion years ago represented an enormous step in the process of evolution. ${ }^{86,87}$ Purple bacteria and green sulfur bacteria developed slightly different photosystems (PSI- and PSII-types). Genomic fusion between a bacterium possessing a purple bacterial PSII-type reaction centre and a bacterium having a bacterial PSI-type reaction centre has been suggested for the development of the cyanobacteria that contain two photosystems (Figure 11). How and when water oxidising capacity was incorporated into this gene-fusion product to enable oxy genic photosynthesis is not yet known. ${ }^{88}$


Figure 11: Proposed scheme for the evolution of photosynthetic reaction centres (after Nitschke and Rutherford, 1991, and Blankenship, 1992)

What is well known is that oxygenic photosynthesis, or green plant photosynthesis with the two photosystems I and II provides the means by which a large part of the biosphere was created. Green plants are able to reduce carbon dioxide with the weak reducing agent water and form carbohydrates and oxy gen. Photosynthesis is essential for maintaining all forms of life. The conversion of solar energy into chemical potential occurs in the photosynthetic reaction centres (PRCs).

## A.5.1 The Photosynthetic Reaction Centre

The photosynthetic reaction centres in both bacteria and green plants, are membrane-bound chromophore-protein complexes. The structures of two purple non-sulfur bacterial reaction centres (Rb. sphaeroides ${ }^{89}$ and Rps. viridis ${ }^{90}$ ) were the first to be determined by X-ray diffraction. Only minor differences were found. ${ }^{91}$ The reaction centres in green plants are similar too, although more complex in Nature.

The bacterial reaction centre from Rhodobacter sphaeroides is an internal membrane protein composed of three subunits (L (for light), M (for medium) and H (for heavy)) and 9 cofactors (chromophores): four bacteriochlorophylls (BChl), two bacteriopheophytins (BPh), two coenzyme quinone molecules $\left(\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{A}}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{B}}\right)$, and a non-heme iron atom. Two of the three subunits (L and M) provide the necessary scaffolding to hold the cofactors in place. The third
polypeptide $(\mathrm{H})$ is not required for charge separation, but is essential for the correct assembly of the PRC and its interaction with the light-harvesting complex which funnels excitations to the primary donor, the 'special pair'. The chromophores are tightly bound within this protein matrix and arranged along what are known as the L and M branches. The arrangement of the chromophores within the reaction centre of Rhodopseudomonas viridis is shown in Figure 12.


Figure 12: Chromophores in the photos ynthetic reaction centre (PRC)(this one of Rhodopseudomonas viridis)

Two of the BChl molecules form the primary electron donor or 'special pair' $\left(\mathrm{BChl}_{2}\right)$. The distance between the magnesium ions of the two BChl molecules is approximately $6.6 \AA$ and the angle between the planes of the two ring systems is $15^{\circ}$. In edge-to-edge positions, relative to the special pair, lie the other two 'accessory' BChl molecules. The centre-to-centre distance from the special pair to the adjacent BChl monomer has been determined to be $11.0 \AA$ for the L Branch and $11.4 \AA$ for the M Branch. Each BChl monomer is adjacent to a BPh molecule ( $10.5 \AA$ (L Branch), $10.5 \AA$ ( M Branch)), which in turn is adjacent to a quinone species $\left(\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{A}}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{B}}\right)$. Midway between the two quinone units lies a non-heme iron species. ${ }^{91}$

Electron transfer proceeds only along the $L$ branch of an almost $C_{2}$ - symmetrically twinned set of reagents. ${ }^{92,93}$ The M branch is apparently excluded, since the effective dielectric constant of the surrounding medium differs. ${ }^{94} \mathrm{~A}$ slightly higher polarity around the L branch favours electron transfer along this direction, 95 although the reaction can be made to proceed along the M branch in mutagenically modified PRC complexes. ${ }^{96}$

Within the L branch photoinduced electron transfer proceeds from the 'special pair' $\left(\mathrm{BChl}_{2}\right)$ along the monomeric bacteriochlorophyll $(\mathrm{BChl})$ and the bacteriopheophytin $\left(\mathrm{BPh}_{\mathrm{L}}\right)$ to the primary quinone $\left(\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{A}}\right)$. Excitation of the 'special pair' either by direct light or by energy transfer from nearby antennae ${ }^{97}$ (e.g., carotenoid polyenes, chlorophylls or polypyrroles) results in the transfer of an electron over a distance of approximately $17 \AA$ to bacteriopheophytin $\left(\mathrm{BPh}_{\mathrm{L}}\right)$ with unity quantum yield in $2.8 \mathrm{ps} .{ }^{98-102}$ Recent studies suggest that the extra bacteriochlorophyll $\left(\mathrm{BCh}_{\mathrm{L}}\right)$ serves as an intermediate in this electron transfer. ${ }^{103}$ Investigation of the PRC of Rhodobacter sphaeroides with polarised light using subpicosecond absorption spectroscopy revealed the existence of the extra bacteriochlorophyll radical anion with a half-life of 0.9 ps . This short-lived intermediate $\left[\left(\mathrm{BChl}_{\mathrm{L}}\right)_{2}{ }^{\bullet+}-\mathrm{BChl}_{\mathrm{L}}{ }^{\bullet-}\right.$ -$\left.\mathrm{BPh}_{\mathrm{L}}-\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{A}}\right]$ quickly undergoes subsequent ET to form the $\left[\left(\mathrm{BChl}_{\mathrm{L}}\right)_{2}{ }^{\bullet+}-\mathrm{BChl}_{\mathrm{L}}-\mathrm{BPh}_{\mathrm{L}}{ }^{\bullet-}-\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{A}}\right]$ intermediate. The electron transfer from $\mathrm{BPh}_{\mathrm{L}}$ to $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{A}}$ occurs in about 200 ps over a distance of $14 \AA$ to $y$ ield the $\left[\left(\mathrm{BChl}_{\mathrm{L}}\right)_{2}{ }^{\bullet+}-\mathrm{BChl}_{\mathrm{L}}-\mathrm{BPh}_{\mathrm{L}}-\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{A}}{ }^{\bullet}\right]$ giant charge-separated state which has a lifetime of about 100 ms with $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{B}}$ absent (Figure 13). ${ }^{104}$ Thus, in only three sequential electrontransfer events the reaction centre protein has separated charge across $35 \AA$ with high quantum yield to give a long-lived intermediate.


Figure 13: Downhill ET in PRC from a photo-excited 'special pair'
If $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{B}}$ is present, the reduced $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{A}}{ }^{-}$transfers an electron donor to the secondary $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{B}}$ in about $0.1 \mathrm{~ms} .{ }^{105}$ The oxidised $(\mathrm{BChl})_{2}{ }^{+}$is reduced by an electron from the exogenous electron donor cytochrome-c $c_{2}$. Another light induced electron transfer step leads to the second electron transfer to $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{B}}$ and the uptake of two protons from the solution. The dihydroquinone is subsequently oxidised, releasing protons that contribute to an electrochemical potential across the membrane that is used to drive the subsequent biochemical reactions.

There are two approaches towards a better understanding of the mechanisms involved in the separation of charge in the photosynthetic reaction centre. The more biological disciplines prefer to cultivate and isolate reaction centres ${ }^{106}$ and not just investigate those complex systems but also substitute chromophores within those systems. ${ }^{107}$ Synthetic chemists, however, choose to prepare models that mimic the reaction centre in their most important characteristics. The possibility to systematically vary the structure of those models as well as the environment in which they operate has helped to define the numerous factors that control ET from a donor to an acceptor.

## A. 6 Рhotosynthetic Mimics

The chromophores involved in ET processes within photosynthetic reaction centres are derivatives of porphyrins and quinones. Both types of chromophores are well suited for their task. Porphyrins absorb light over a wide spectral range of wavelengths and have a high molar absorption coefficient. Their redox potentials in the electronically excited and ground states differ drastically from the ground state, meeting the electrochemical requirements for subsequent electron transfer. Quinones appear to be predestined to be electron acceptors in Nature for a variety of reasons. They possess suitable redox potentials and can be converted stepwise via semiquinones into hydroquinones, the stable reduction products. They form hy drogen bonds and can undergo protonation and deprotonation with ease. Finally, they are small molecules that can shuttle redox equivalents to the quinone pool.

Research into photosynthetic mimics has focused on using these chromophores. Porphyrins and quinones have been linked through various bridges and with an increasing number of additional chromophores, modelling the multi-step nature of ET in photosynthetic reaction centres, and form the basis of this thesis.

## A.6.1 Porphyrin - Quinone Dyads

One of the earliest porphyrin - quinone systems synthesised was the dyad by Tabushi et al. shown in Example 8. ${ }^{108}$.


Example 8: Porphyrin-quinone dyad by Tabushi et al. (1979)

The porphyrin donor is attached to the quinone acceptor via an amide group. Fluorescence of the free-base porphyrin was found to be strongly quenched relatively to the acceptor-free porphyrin, indicating that electron transfer or energy transfer had taken place.

Joran et al. ${ }^{109-111}$ as well as Bolton et al. ${ }^{112}$ synthesised a series of porphyrin-quinone dyads like the one shown in Example 9. The chromophores are connected by a bridge containing a variable number of bicyclooctane spacers that prevent translational displacement and only allow rotational freedom. The edge-to-edge distances of the chromophores are fixed at $6 \AA$ $(\mathrm{n}=0), 10 \AA(\mathrm{n}=1)$ or $14 \AA(\mathrm{n}=2)$.


Example 9: Porphyrin-quinone dyads by Joran et al. (1985)
Joran et al. found that with increasing separation the rates of photoinduced electron transfer dropped in benzene by the factor 10,000 from $2.2 \times 10^{11} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}(\mathrm{n}=0), 1.25 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}(\mathrm{n}=1)$ to smaller than $9 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}(\mathrm{n}=2)$. The lifetime of the charge-separated state increased from $64 \mathrm{ps}(\mathrm{n}=0)$ via $76 \mathrm{ps}(\mathrm{n}=1)$ to $1.45 \mathrm{~ns}(\mathrm{n}=2)$ with increasing length of the bridge. Bolton et al. find a less efficient electron transfer in their series with a meso-tris-p-tolylporphyrin donor. They explain the contradicting results with the different substitution pattern on the porphyrin rings and their effect upon the conformation of the phenyl-linking group relative to the plane of the porphyrin ring.

Such an effect would be excluded in the rigid porphyrin-quinone dyads (Example 10) studied by Antolovich et al. ${ }^{113}$ In these examples both the separation and the relative orientation of the chromophores are well defined.


Example 10: Rigid porphyrin-quinone dyad by Antolovich et al. (1991)

The chromophores are connected by rigid norborny logous hydrocarbon bridges of two ( $\mathrm{n}=0$ ) or $\operatorname{six}(\mathrm{n}=1) \sigma$-bonds in length resulting in a centre-to-centre separation of $11.6 \AA$ or $16.2 \AA$ respectively. In solvents of moderate to high polarity the rate constant for photoinduced electron transfer was observed to be $2.5 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ to $3.3 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for $\mathrm{n}=0$ or smaller than 6 x $10^{8} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for $\mathrm{n}=1$. Incorporating zinc into the porphyrin donor of the six-bond dyad increases the rate constant for photoinduced electron transfer up to $1.45 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. This result enhances those of Joran et al. and provides evidence that charge separation between a porphyrin and a quinone moiety separated by a large array of saturated bonds can be achieved. The norbornyl spacer proved to be an extremely efficient mediator of through-bond coupling, as previously demonstrated by numerous photoelectron spectroscopic ${ }^{10,114-118}$ and electron transmission spectroscop ic studies ${ }^{10,115,118,119}$ together with Koopman's theorem calculations. ${ }^{10,120}$

In contrast to this behaviour hydrogen bonds were often suspected of providing a weaker electronic coupling than the more widely studied covalent linkages. This suspicion was based on purely speculative grounds (hydrogen bonds are much weaker, and therefore should result in weak donor-acceptor interactions). Therien et al. have settled that controversy by the synthesis and investigation of a set of homologous bis-porphyrin compounds, which allow a direct comparison of electron transfer proceeding through $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ single bonds, $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ double bonds, and hydrogen bonds (Example 4, page 11). ${ }^{65}$ It turned out that hydrogen bonds are in fact good mediators for electron transfer and their results predict that the hydrogen-bond interface in protein could mediate ET as efficiently as a covalent bridge.

Harriman and Sessler were among the first to study porphyrin-quinone dyads linked by hy drogen bonds. ${ }^{64,121}$ Their 'improved' 1993 model is pictured in Example 11.


Example 11: Non-covalent porphyrin-quinone dyad by Sessler et al. (1993)

Porphyrin and quinone have guanine and cytidine substituents, respectively, as recognition sites to form Watson-Crick hydrogen-bonding interaction. The edge-to-edge separation is about $14 \AA$. Upon addition of the quinone to a dichloromethane solution of the porphyrin, the quenching of the zinc porphyrin fluorescence was observed and the fluorescence decay profile became biphasic with two exponential components of lifetimes $\tau_{1}=1.8 \mathrm{~ns}$ and $\tau_{2}=0.74 \mathrm{~ns}$. Their results indicate that singlet ET from the photo-excited porphyrin to the quinone takes place with a rate constant of ca. $8 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ through an exothermic process by 0.50 eV .

Capped hydrogen-bonded porphyrin-quinone dyads have been investigated by Hayashi and co-workers. ${ }^{122}$ The distances between the porphyrin plane and quinone for the two models shown in Example 12 are estimated to be $3.5 \AA$ and $6.0 \AA$, respectively.



Example 12: Capped porphyrin-ubiquinone dyads linked via multiple hydrogen bonds by Hayashi and Ogoshi (1994)

Time-resolved fluorescence measurements in toluene reveal monoexponential decay with a lifetime of $2.0 \pm 0.1 \mathrm{~ns}$ and $2.2 \pm 0.1 \mathrm{~ns}$. The electron transfer rate constants for both compounds were estimated to be $\leq 4 \times 10^{11} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ (limited by the pulse width and signal-to-noise
ratio). ${ }^{123}$ Charge recombination occurs rapidly as well with a rate constant of $1.1 \pm 0.4 \times 10^{11} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and $6.8 \pm 0.3 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, respectively. Comparison with covalently bridged porphyrin-quinone systems like the quinone-capped porphyrin by Staab et al. ${ }^{80,124,125}$ (Example 13) reveal that hydrogen bonds can compete with covalent bonds as conduits for electronic coupling.


Example 13: Capped porphyrin-quinone dyad link ed covalently by Staab et al. (1984)

Above examples show that electron transfer does occur rapidly in dyads with small interchromophoric separation and so does charge-recombination. Larger spacers between donor and acceptor were found to increase the lifetime of the charge-separated state but the initial charge separation was less effective. At this stage multichromophoric systems (A.4.2, page 22) were introduced, showing a series of short and therefore quick downhill electronhops from chromophore to chromophore, finally resulting in a huge charge separation and an enhanced lifetime of the charge separated state.

## A.6.2 Porphyrin - Quinone Triads, Tetrads, Pentads

Over the last decade an impressive series of carotenoid-p orphyrin-quinone (C-P-Q) triads has been synthesised and studied by Moore, Gust and Moore. ${ }^{126-129}$ Shown in Example 14 is a series of their first successful photosynthetic models of that type, ${ }^{130}$ which since then have been improved for ET efficiency and lifetimes of the charge-separated state. ${ }^{131}$


Example 14: Carotenoid-porphyrin-quinone [C-P-Q] triad by Moore, Gust
and Moore (1987)

In these systems, local excitation of the porphyrin results in rapid intramolecular electron transfer to form the initial $\left[\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}^{0+}-\mathrm{Q}^{\circ}\right.$ ] charge-separated state with rate constants between $9.7 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}(\mathrm{n}=0)$ and $0.15 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}(\mathrm{n}=4)$, and near $100 \%$ quantum efficiency for $\mathrm{n}=0$, decreasing with increasing interchromophoric separation. Rapid thermal hole transfer from the porphyrin cation radical to the carotenoid group leads to the formation of the giant chargeseparated state $\left[\mathrm{C}^{\bullet+}-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q}^{\bullet \bullet}\right]$ with a lifetime of about 300 ns . Thus, the lifetime of the final charge-separated state is at least three orders of magnitude longer than in the above mentioned porphyrin-quinone dyads. The final state is also a high-energy species, preserving about 1.1 eV of the 1.9 eV stored in the porphyrin excited state. A downside of this assembly is a low quantum yield of about $4-6 \%$ for the second electron-transfer process.

A year later the molecular tetrad Example 15 was published by Moore, Gust and Moore. ${ }^{132-}$ 134 It consists of four covalently linked chromophores: a carotene, a porphyrin, a naphthoquinone and a benzoquinone. The naphthoquinone with the more negative redox potential was attached directly to the porphyrin, while the benzoquinone with the less negative redox potential was at the terminus. This arrangement is designed to promote sequential electron transfer from the naphthoquinone to the benzoquinone.


Example 15: Carotenoid-porphyrin-naphthoquinone-benzoquinone tetradby Moore, Gust and Moore (1988)

The initial charge-separated species [C-P $\left.{ }^{\bullet+}-\mathrm{NQ}^{\bullet-}-\mathrm{BQ}\right]$ is formed with a rate constant of $\geq 2 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and lies about 1.6 eV above the ground state. The final giant charge-separated species [ $\mathrm{C}^{\bullet+}-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{NQ}-\mathrm{BQ}^{\bullet}{ }^{\bullet}$ ] is formed with a quantum yield of $23 \%$ and has a lifetime of 460 ns in dichloromethane ( $4 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ in acetonitrile). About 1.1 eV is preserved in this state. The rates and yields of the intermediate electron transfer processes are not known to date.

In 1990 the Gust and Moore team ${ }^{135}$ extended their multistep electron transfer models to include a carotene-zincporphyrin-porphyrin-naphthoquinone-benzoquinone pentad (Example 16). The strategic aim was again to maximise the quantum yield of the ion pair formation and the lifetime of the charge-sep arated state. ${ }^{85}$


Example 16: Carotenoid - porphyrin ${ }_{\mathrm{Zn}}$ - porphyrin - naphthoquinone benzoquinone pentad by Moore, Gust and Moore (1990)

This pentad is designed so that photoinduced electron transfer from the free-base porphyrin to the attached naphthoquinone can be followed by a cascade of electron-transfer pathways which converge upon a final $\left[\mathrm{C}^{\bullet+}-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Zn}}-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{NQ}-\mathrm{BQ}^{\bullet \bullet}\right]$ charge-separated state (Figure 14).


Figure 14: Transient states for Example 16 by Moore, Gust and Moore

The process begins with excitation of the free base porphyrin moiety. This can occur via direct absorption of light, or by singlet-singlet energy transfer from the attached zinc porphyrin (step 1). The rate constant for the energy transfer process is $2.3 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and it occurs with a quantum efficiency of $90 \%$. The free base porphyrin first excited state decays in part to the attached naphthoquinone (step 2) to produce the $\left[\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Zn}}-\mathrm{P}^{\bullet+}-\mathrm{NQ}{ }^{\bullet \bullet}-\mathrm{BQ}\right]$ species with a rate constant of $7.1 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and a quantum yield of $85 \%$. A number of electron transfer steps along two parallel pathways (steps 3-8) result in the giant charge-separated state [C ${ }^{\bullet+}-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Zn}}-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{NQ}-\mathrm{BQ}^{\bullet}$ ] which is generated in about $83 \%$ efficiency and has a lifetime of $55 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ (in chloroform; $60 \%$ and $200 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ in dichloromethane). As the yield (in chloroform) is essentially the same as that of the initially formed $\left[\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Zn}}-\mathrm{P}^{\bullet+}-\mathrm{NQ}{ }^{\bullet \bullet}-\mathrm{BQ}\right]$ species, the parallel electron-transfer pathways compete very efficiently with step 10 . Once again little is known about the rates of the multitude of intermediate electron transfer reactions within pathways that lead to the final carotene cation - benzoquinone anion ion pair.

It was mentioned that the triad structures led to charge-separation lifetimes at least 1000 times longer than those found for simple porphyrin-quinone dyads. The pentad systems extend this lifetime by another three orders of magnitude.

Recently one of their most investigated and best characterised triads (Example 17) has been implanted into the lipid bilayer of a reconstituted liposome. ${ }^{136}$


Example 17: Carotene-porphyrin-quinone dyad by Moore, Gust and Moore implanted into a lipid bilayer (1995)

Photoinduced electron transfer in the triad molecule, spanning the wall of the vesicle, sets up an electrochemical potential difference between the interior and the exterior of the liposome and leads to directional proton transfer. The preference for the orientation of the triad within the bilayer is in part thermodynamic (the bulky porphyrin and quinone remain in the less densely packed outer layer), and in part kinetic (the activation barrier for the insertion of lipophilic carotenoid into the bilayer is much lower than for the polar quinone and carboxylic group).


Figure 15: Photoelectrochemical cycle of the 'proton pump' generating apH gradient across a liposome bilayer

Following the above-mentioned mechanism, photoexcitation of the porphyrin moiety results in the formation of the giant $\left[\mathrm{C}^{\circ+}-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q}^{\bullet}\right.$ ] charge-separated state, which was observed by monitoring the transient absorbance of the carotenoid radical cation (Figure 15, Step 1). In a second step electron transfer from $\left[-\mathrm{Q}^{\bullet}\right]$ to the lipid soluble 2,5-diphenylquinone $\left(\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$ results in the formation of the radical anion $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{s}}{ }^{-{ }^{-}}$(Figure 15, Step 2), which accepts a proton fromthe external aqueous solution (Figure 15, Step 3). The uncharged semiquinone $\left(\mathrm{Q}_{s} \mathrm{H}^{\bullet}\right)$ diffuses through the membrane, performing the crucial step of a proton shuttle (Figure 15, Step 4). Upon reaching the interior layer of the membrane $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{H}^{\bullet}$ encounters the carotenoid radical cation, undergoes oxidation to $\mathrm{Q}_{s}{ }^{+} \mathrm{H}$ (Figure 15, Step5) and releases the proton into the aqueous medium (Figure 15, Step 6). Random diffusion of the regenerated $Q_{s}$ closes the cycle (Figure 15, Step 7).

The pH dependent fluorescence excitation spectrum of a water-soluble dye was used to monitor changes in the proton concentration inside the lip osomes. The pH gradient, which has been established across the bilayer membrane, gives rise to a proton motive force that potentially could be utilised to perform work. This task was recently accomplished by Moore, Gust and Moore. ${ }^{137}$ They reconstituted proteoliposomes with ATP synthase built into the lipid
bilayer and harnessed the pH gradient set up by the 'proton pump' to perform ATP synthesis (Figure 16).


Figure 16: Diagram of a liposome-based artificial photos ynthetic membrane by Moore, Gust and Moore (1998)

It has been estimated that one molecule of ATP is synthesised per 14 absorbed photons of 633 nm light, and that up to $4 \%$ of the absorbed light energy is conserved in this system, which operates efficiently over a timescale of hours.

Examples like the above mentioned are quite remarkable. They provide insight into important aspects of the photosynthetic reaction centre. However, they do not mimic the 'special pair' itself. The 'special pair' issue was addressed through oligoporphyrin models such as those described in the following section A.6.3 'Multi Porphyrin Arrays'.

## A.6.3 Multi Porphyrin Arrays

Porphyrins are a widely used ingredient in organic synthesis and several porphyrins have been linked to each other in various ways. Only a small fraction of these multi-porphyrin arrays were synthesised to probe energy- or electron-transfer properties of porphyrins linked by different bridges.

A bis(phenylethylyl)phenylene bridge was recently used by Osuka et al. ${ }^{138}$ to link a zinc and a free-base porphyrin and investigate their singlet energy transfer characteristics. The central phenyl group was substituted in the para-, meta- or ortho-position (Example 18 (a) - (c)).



Example 18: Bis-porphyrin arrays by Osuka et al. (1997)

It was found that Example 18 (a) and (b) display almost the same rate constant for energy transfer $\quad\left(k_{E n T}=5.7 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \quad$ indicating a through-bond electronic interaction. In Example 18 (c) the energy transfer rate constant was found to be one order of magnitude larger $\left(k_{E n T}=4.4 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ suggesting through-space interaction.

Electron rather than energy transfer was studied on a bis-porphyrin rotaxane by Harriman and Sauvage. ${ }^{61}$ The zinc (II) and gold (III) porphyrins were separated by a $2,9-$ diphenyl-1,10phenanthroline bridge. The dyad was used as a stopper in the below-pictured [2]-rotaxane (Example 19), which can contain or not contain a metal centre.


Example 19: Bis-porphyrin rotaxane by Harriman and Sauvage (1996)

Following selective excitation of the zinc porphyrin, through-bond electron transfer to the gold porphyrin occurred within 1 ps . In competition with charge recombination, the zinc porphyrin $\pi$-radical cation oxidised the central $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ complex $(20 \mathrm{ps})$ before the initial ground state was restored ( 2.5 ns ). The bis-porphyrin dyad itself (not the rotaxane) showed slower charge separation ( 55 ps ) and faster charge recombination ( 600 ps ). Thus, the transition metal cation can tune the electronic properties of the bridge and enhance electron transfer. In 1997 Sauvage published similar results on tris-p orphy rin rotaxanes. ${ }^{139}$

More closely related to the photosynthetic reaction centre was an early example by Sessler et al., ${ }^{140}$ which contained two porphyrins and a quinone as acceptor moiety (Example 20). The two porphyrins, one of them metallated, were linked by a phenyl group in the para- (a) or meta-position (b).



Example 20: Mono metallated bis-porphyrin quinone triads by Sessler etal. (1989)

The electron-transfer rates for both compounds were found to be high: $1.1 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ (Example 20, a) and $5.4 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ (Example 20, b), respectively. It was suggested that through-bond electron transfer occurs from the free-base porphyrin to the quinone, with the second porphyrin acting as medium for electron transfer.

## A.6.4 Conclusion

Much progress has been made in the field of long-range intramolecular photoinduced electron transfer and numerous models for the photosynthetic reaction centre have been designed. The last three examples (A.6.3, page 38) model the 'special pair' of the photosynthetic reaction centres to a certain extent. But by no means do they represent a systematic approach towards the 'special pair' issue. The flexibility of the molecules affects a number of parameters that then affect the rate of electron transfer and charge recombination. By keeping the majority of ET-effecting parameters constant (e.g. distance, geometry, and type of acceptor) conclusions on how changes to the 'special pair' effect electron transfer can be derived.

Schematically such a rigid 'special pair' mimic could look like the one depicted in Figure 17.


Figure 17: Sought after rigid model of the photos ynthetic reaction centre (PRC). SP: 'special pair', A1: primary acceptor, A2: secondary acceptor

A rigid spacer keeps the chromophores at a well-defined distance and spatial disposition. Destined for a systematic ap proach are the well-established rigid norborny logous bridges that hold chromophores in place. They are easily accessible and are brilliant mediators of throughbond electron transfer processes. ${ }^{11,46,118}$ Chromophores should include a 'special pair' and one or two quinone-related species. The vision of such an 'advanced model for the photosy nthetic reaction centre' was the driving force behind this PhD project.

## A. 7 Aim of Thesis

The synthesis of a series of advanced models for the photosynthetic reaction centre (PCR), incorporating 'special pairs' and one or two other quinone-related chromophores is the synthetic challenge of this thesis. The interchromophoric separation is to be kept constant and similar to that found in the natural PCR , whereas the 'special pair', acting as a primary
electron donor in these models is to be altered systematically. Such alterations can include different, but well-defined spatial dispositions of the two porphyrins or the removal of one porphyrin unit. After the successful synthesis, photophysical experiments can evaluate the influence of the 'special pair' changes on the electron transfer behaviour and will allow further insight into the fascinating world of electron transfer, and subsequently the photosynthetic reaction centre.

With the chromophores, the type of bridge and the interchromophoric separation being roughly set, a comparison with the natural photosynthetic reaction centre determined the ultimate synthetic goal (Figure 18).


Figure 18: Comparison with the L-branch of the photosynthetic reaction centre of Rhodopseudomonas viridis determined the synthetic goal. Interchromophoric separations are given in $\AA$

AM 1 calculations on the proposed target molecule reveal interchromophoric distances very similar to the natural blueprint. The two porphyrins of the 'special pair' are connected to a rigid norborny logous framework, defining their spatial disposition. Naphthoquinone (NQ) and tetracy anonaphthoquinodimethane (TCNQ) are chosen as quinone-related electron acceptors.

The two porphyrin units of the natural 'special pair' are kinked in respect to each other with just two pyrrole rings being staggered above each other. This aspect can be mimicked nicely by an expansion of the bicycloheptane backbone that is holding one of the two porphyrins (Figure 19).


Figure 19: The kink ed model of the photosynthetic reaction centre. Only two pyrrole rings are staggered above each other

To evaluate the effect of the two kinked and non-kinked 'special pairs' in comparison to just one porphyrin, a mono-porphyrin triad was added to the target pool (Figure 20).


Figure 20: Series of targets derived from comparis on with PRC's

In summary the 'special pair' consists of two porphyrins (kinked ( $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{x}=1$ ) or non-kinked $(\mathrm{n}=0, \mathrm{x}=1)$ ), or just one porphyrin $(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{x}=0)$ acts as electron donor. The norbornylogous bridge connecting the naphthoquinone ( NQ ) with the tetracyanonaphthoquinodimethane (TCNQ) can either be syn- (shown above) or anti- to the methano bridge of the 'special pair', thereby doubling the number of targets. The syn-series, ring-expanded or non-ring-expanded, will be $y$-shaped as shown above, the anti-series will be w-shaped, or s-shaped and u-shaped for the mono-porphyrin targets (Figure 21).


Figure 21: Syn- and anti-isomers result in four different shapes: $\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{w}, \mathrm{s}$, andu
This pool of six advanced 'special pair' models for the photosynthetic reaction centre was classified as a series of triads. In relation to the PRC the two porphyrins of the 'special pairs' were counted as one chromophore (SP). Therefore the aimed at set of targets comprised four [SP-NQ-TCNQ] triads (kinked, non-kinked, syn, and anti) and two [P-NQ-TCNQ] trichromophores (syn and anti).

Prior to the attempted synthesis of these giant trichromophores the construction of a series of closely related bichromophoric systems was envisaged, in which the 'NQ-bridge-TCNQ' unit of the trichromophores is replaced by a single dimethoxynaphthalene (DMN) or tetracy anonaphthoquinodimethane (TCNQ) acceptor group (Figure 22).

$n, x, y=0,1$


B: TCNQ-Acceptor

Figure 22: Series of bichromophoric targets closely related to triads

The TCNQ unit is a much stronger electron acceptor then the DMN (by ca. 1V), and so the latter might only facilitate energy transfer rather than electron transfer. In relation to the series of triads the two porphyrins of the 'special pair' donor are either kinked or non-kinked in respect to each other, thereby resulting in two [SP-DMN] and two [SP-TCNQ] bichromophoric systems. Four mono-porphyrin systems complete the series of dyads. The porphyrin donor is either separated by six $\sigma$-bonds from the acceptor group ([6B-P-DMN] and [6B-P-TCNQ]) or by just two $\sigma$-bonds ([2B-P-DMN] and [2B-P-TCNQ]).

The synthesis of these two-times four bichromophoric systems alone comprised a considerable synthetic challenge. The approach to this challenge is described first in the following chapter B 'Syntheses'. The description of the synthetic efforts towards a successful synthesis of the projected series of triads and more follows thereafter.


## B 'SYNTHESES'

## B Syntheses

## B. 1 General

One important characteristic in the development of synthetic procedures towards the dyads and triads is versatility. Facile alterations to the chromop hores attached or bridges used would allow the extension of this work beyond the scope of a single PhD project. One way to achieve such versatility is to employ a component-wise construction of the multichromophoric systems. Donors, bridge, and acceptor could be synthesised separately, then fused by Diels-Alder methodology. In the future one component, e.g. the acceptor, could be easily changed, giving access to a range of new, but comparable multichromophoric ET systems.

The following chapters describe the synthesis of the different components and their linkage. The synthesis of the series of dyads is described first (B.2, page 49). The chapter on the triad synthesis is conveniently split into four sections that separately outline the synthesis of the donors (B.3.1, page 80), the bridge (B.3.2, page 116), the acceptor precursor (B.3.3, page 120), and the assembly of those components (B.3.4, page 121). The chapter on syntheses concludes with a short summary on the synthetic efforts towards an all-trans ring-expanded 6B-porphyrin-TCNQ dyad (B.4, page 127) and the synthesis of known 'diaminoporphyrin' (B.5, page 135).

The most important tool in the characterisation of compounds is high-field nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy. In this chapter chemical shifts in ppm, relative to TMS, are occasionally given or spectra depicted. The general way chemical shifts are represented is shown in Figure 23(A). The assignment of resonances was usually assisted by COSY, DEPT135, and DEPT90 experiments.
(A)

(B)

A '6B-a-2B-b-c' system


Figure 23: (A) Representation of selected chemical shifts (proton and carbon); (B) The '2B' and '6B' numbering concept used throughout this thes is

The terms ' 2 B ' or ' 6 B ' are used throughout this thesis to describe the distance of a functionality or donor ( $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ ) relative to another functionality or acceptor (c). ' 2 B ' thereby means a separation of two $\sigma$-bonds and ' $6 B^{\prime}$ ' of six $\sigma$-bonds (Figure $23(\mathbf{B})$ ).

## B. 2 Synthesis of the Series of Dyads

Eight target compounds were envisaged in the synthesis of a series of closely related dyads. The acceptor moiety was to consist of a dimethoxynaphthalene (DMN) or a tetracy anonaphthoquinodimethane (TCNQ). A porphyrin, separated by two ( $2 \mathrm{~B}: \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{x}=0$, $y=1$ ) or six $\sigma$-bonds ( $6 \mathrm{~B}: \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}=0, \mathrm{x}=1$ ) apart from the acceptor, was to function as a donor, as well as a kinked $(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}=1)$ and non-kinked $(\mathrm{n}=0, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}=1)$ 'special pair', consisting of two porphyrin molecules (Figure 24).


Figure 24: Four target molecules per acceptor group (DMN or TCNQ)

In relation to the photosynthetic reaction centre the two porphyrin chromophores of the 'special pair' were treated as one. In Nature the strong electronic coupling between the two 'special pair' porphyrins justifies that point of view. ${ }^{22}$ For this series, a similar strong interaction is desired, but not guaranteed. The centre-to-centre separation in the 'special pair' models ( $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}=1$ ) was calculated (AM 1) to be $12.0 \AA(\mathrm{n}=0)$ or $13.3 \AA(\mathrm{n}=1)$, respectively, compared to $6.6 \AA$ in the PRC of Rhodopseudomonas viridis. Nevertheless throughout this thesis the two kinked or non-kinked porphyrins are considered as one chromophore, resulting in distinct series of dyads (this chapter) and triads (chapter B.3, page 77). NMR spectroscopic results will later show that there is an interaction between the two porphyrins (section B.2.4, page 69), making this assumption reasonable.

The retrosy nthetic approach suggests three building blocks: porphyrindione, benzenetetramine and four different diones/tetraones connected to a dimethoxynaphthalene (DMN) moiety (Figure 25), a strategy pioneered by Crossley et al. ${ }^{141-144}$


Figure 25: Retrosynthetic approach for chromophore attachment
The 'porphyrindione' is available through synthesis as outlined in chapter B. 5 (page 135), benzenetetramine is commercially available as its tetrahydrochloric salt, and the diones/tetraones should be accessible via Diels-Alder methodology followed by hydroxylation and oxidation as outlined in Figure 26.


Figure 26: Retrosynthetic approach for dione/tetraone synthesis

1,4-Naphthoquinone is commercially available and the (non-) ring-expanded carbon backbones were synthesised as described in the next section B.2.1.

## B.2.1 Building the Carbon Backbones

The synthesis of the carbon backbones began with the Diels-Alder addition of hexachlorocyclopentadiene to norbornadiene (slight excess) to give the mono-adduct Aldrin $\mathbf{1}^{145-148}$ in $70 \%$ y ield (Scheme 1 (a)).


Scheme 1: Building the dyad carbon backbone. (a) 1,2,3,4,5,5Hexachlorocyclopentadiene, reflux, 6h, 70 \% ; (b) 5,5-dimethoxy-1,2,3,4tetrachlorocyclopentadiene, toluene, reflux, $46 \mathrm{~h}, 72 \%$; (c) $\mathrm{Na}, \mathrm{THF} / i-\mathrm{PrOH}$, reflux, $4 \mathrm{~d}, 54 \%$; (d) $\mathrm{NaOMe}, \mathrm{CCl}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}$, light petroleum, $\mathrm{RT}, 22 \mathrm{~h}$, 52 \% ; (e) Na, THF/i-PrOH, reflux, $21 \mathrm{~h}, 99$ \% ; (f) HCOOH, THF,RT,92 \% ;
(g) toluene, reflux, 2 h

A second Diels-Alder reaction with 5,5-dimethoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrachlorocy clopentadiene (Scheme 1 (b)), which was synthesised from hexachlorocyclopentadiene in methanol under basic conditions in $75 \%$ y ield, ${ }^{149}$ afforded the decachloro-adduct 2 in $72 \%$ yield. Reductive dechlorination of 2 with sodium (Scheme 1 (c)) yielded the dimethyl acetal $\mathbf{3}$ in $54 \% .{ }^{118}$ Other products (about $18 \%$ ) were identified as partly dechlorinated compounds, which were recovered and fully dechlorinated in a second attempt.

With the right-hand double bond depicted in $\mathbf{3}$ (Scheme 2) sterically protected by the methano bridge on one side and the methoxy group on the other, the less sterically congested double bond was selectively attacked by in-situ generated dichlorocarbene to give the ring-expanded dimethyl acetal 6 after two repetitions in 52 \% y ield (Scheme 1 (d), Scheme 2).


## Scheme 2: Formation of two enantiomeric dimethyl acetals 6 after ringexpansion with in-situ generated dichlorocarbene

Reductive dechlorination of the ring-expanded dimethyl acetal 6 afforded the ring-expanded diene 7 in quantitative y ield (Scheme 1 (e)).

Acidic hydrolysis of the non-ring-expanded diene $\mathbf{3}$ and the ring-expanded diene $\mathbf{7}$ gave the corresponding ketones 4 and $\mathbf{8}$ (Scheme 1 (f)), which then underwent facile cheletropic loss of carbon monoxide under thermal conditions (Scheme $1(\mathrm{~g})$ ) to give the enophiles 5 and 9 for the subsequent Diels-Alder reaction with 1,4-naphthoquinone (Scheme 3).


Scheme 3: Diels-Alder reaction with 1,4-naphthoquinone. (a) Ethanol, reflux, 4 h, 74 \% (10), 84 \% (11)

The only diastereoisomer observed was the endo adduct as depicted, where naphthoquinone approaches the diene anti to the methano group of the inner [2.2.1] bicyclic ring. The endo attack results in the newly formed etheno bridge being syn to the central methano bridge. The
methylene bridge proton confirms the endo attack by its proton resonance found at 1.48 ppm (10) and $1.52 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathbf{1 1})$, respectively. Yields obtained were $74 \%(\mathbf{1 0}$, non-ring-expanded) and $84 \%$ (11, ring-expanded), respectively. Aromatisation and subsequent methylation y ielded the dimethoxy naphthalenes 12 and 13 in $43 \%$ only (Scheme 4).


Scheme 4: Aromatisation / methylation. (a) HMPA, THF, KN(SiMe $)_{2}$, toluene, $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, followed by MeI, RT, $18 \mathrm{~h}, 43 \%$

The moderate yields were attributed to the competing retro-Diels-Alder reaction (Scheme 5). For the ring-expanded system, the retro-Diels-Alder products 9,10-dimethoxyanthracene and 15 were isolated and fully characterised, while for the non-ring-expanded compound the strong fluorescence attributable to dimethoxyanthracene was taken as evidence for the occurrence of the retro-Diels-Alder pathway.


## Scheme 5: Retro-Diels-Alder reaction

Despite the moderate yields enough of the dienes $\mathbf{1 2}$ and $\mathbf{1 3}$ was synthesised to proceed with the introduction of the keto-functionalities. The keto groups were then to be used for the attachment of the porphyrin chromophores (section B.2.3, page 60).

## B.2.2 Synthesis of Diones and Tetraones

## B.2.2.1 The Sharpless Bis-hydroxylation

As an initial step towards the introduction of keto-functionalities (diones or tetraones) the dienes were hydroxylated using the Sharpless bis-hydroxylation method. ${ }^{150}$ Osmium tetraoxide, earlier used in stoichiometric amounts, ${ }^{151-153}$ was used in catalytic amounts (1$2 \%)^{154}$ and was re-oxidised by $N$-methylmorpholine- $N$-oxide (NMO) in 1,4-dioxane as the solvent of choice. ${ }^{155}$ The mechanism of the (enantioselective) Sharpless bis-hydroxylation
reaction is still under intense discussion. ${ }^{156-160}$ Both, $[3+2]$ and a $[2+2]$ mechanisms have their supporters. ${ }^{161}$ A concerted [3+2] mechanism is shown in Scheme 6 .


Scheme 6: Sharpless bis-hydroxylation with catalytic amounts of $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$ and NMO as oxidant, shown is a concerted [3+2] mechanism

The amount of $N$-methy lmorpholine- $N$-oxide as well as the time given for the reactants to react, controlled the ratio of diols to tetraols (Scheme 7).

$16(n=0): 8$ days

$17(n=0): 8$ days $18(n=1): 15$ days

19(n = 0): 14 days $20(n=1): 56$ days

Scheme 7: Sharpless bis-hydroxylation. (a) $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}, 1$ eq. NMO, $\mathbf{4 5}^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 8 \mathrm{~d}$, $31 \%$; (b, $\mathrm{n}=0) \mathrm{OsO}_{4}, 1 \mathrm{eq} . \mathrm{NMO}, 45{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 8 \mathrm{~d}, 9 \%$; $(\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{n}=1) \mathrm{OsO}_{4}, 2.5 \mathrm{eq}$ NMO, RT, $15 \mathrm{~d}, 20 \%$; (c, $\mathrm{n}=0) \mathrm{OsO}_{4}, 2$ eq. NMO, $40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 14 \mathrm{~d}, 56 \%$; (c, $\mathrm{n}=1) \mathrm{OsO}_{4}, 2.5 \mathrm{eq} . \mathrm{NMO}, \mathrm{RT}, 56 \mathrm{~d}, 70 \%$

The non-ring-expanded 6B-ene-2B-ene-DMN 12 gave the 6B-diol-DMN 16 and the 2B-diolDMN 17 in a ratio of $3: 1$ after 8 days at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For an extended reaction time ( 14 days) at $45{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the tetraol 19 was obtained in $56 \%$ yield.

The bis-hydroxylation of the ring-expanded double bond appeared to be somewhat more difficult. Only the 2B-diol-DMN 18 was isolated in $20 \%$ y ield after 15 days. In order to enable the hydroxylation of the ring-expanded olefin in good yields the reaction time had to be prolonged drastically. After 56 days the ring-expanded tetraol-DMN 20 was isolated in an extraordinarily high yield of $70 \%$. This was extraordinary in the sense that longer reaction times usually did not significantly increase yields in other $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$ experiments. Figure 27 shows selected ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ chemical shifts for (the enantiomeric mixtures of) the ring-expanded 6B-ene-2B-ene-DMN 13, 6B-ene-2B-diol-DMN 18, and the ring-expanded 6B-diol-2B-diolDMN 20 .


Figure 27: Selected ${ }^{13}$ C-NMR chemical shifts (75.5 MHz) for the isolated ring-expanded systems 6B-ene-2B-ene-DMN (13), 6B-ene-2B-diol-DMN (18), and 6B-diol-2B-diol-DMN (20)

The assignment of the chemical shifts is straightforward for the ring-expanded system. Its knowledge is helpful when assigning the chemical shifts for the non-ring-expanded systems (2B or 6B). As a side product of the Sharpless bis-hy droxy lation a diastereomeric mixture of two enantiomeric ring-exp anded 2B-ketols 21 was isolated in $5 \%$ y ield (Scheme 8).


Scheme 8: Oxidation to $\alpha$-ketols 21 under Sharpless bis-hydroxylation conditions. (a) $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}, 2.5$ eq. NMO, RT, 15 d, $5 \%$

In comparison with the ring-expanded 2B-diol-DMN 18 (Figure 27) the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CHOH}$ signal was shifted downfield by 4.2 ppm to $\delta=69.9 \mathrm{ppm}$. This, together with the appearance of carbonyl carbon signals at 212.8 and 212.9 ppm for each diastereomer confirmed the formation of an $\alpha$-ketol. The oxidation to $\alpha$-ketols under bis-hydroxylation conditions had been reported by Van Rheenen et al. ${ }^{155}$ with $\mathrm{NaClO}_{3}$ or NMO $-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} 1: 1$, but was not found when NMO was used without $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$. For a discussion of the oxidation to ketones under Sharpless bishydroxylation conditions with NMO see section B.3.1.2 'Modified Sharpless Bishy droxy lation' on page 90.

## B.2.2.2 TEMPO Reaction

The non-ring-expanded 6B-diol-2B-ene-DMN 16 was the first diol to be converted into the saturated dione system. A sequence TEMPO reaction ${ }^{162,163}$ followed by $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}_{2}$ was chosen (Scheme 9) and the oxidation of the diols and the subsequent hydrogenation yielded the desired saturated 6B-dione-DMN 23 in 59 \% overall y ield.


Scheme 9: TEMPO reaction followed by hydrogenation. (a) TEMPO reagent, $p$-toluenesulfonic acid, DCM, $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{RT}, 6 \mathrm{~d}, 63 \%$; (b) $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}_{2}$, ethyl acetate, RT, 24 h, 93 \%

The same reaction sequence was initially attempted for the 6B-ene-2B-diol-DMN 17 (Scheme 10 (c) and (d)). The hy drogenation of the TEMPO product 6B-ene-2B-dione-DMN $\mathbf{2 5}$, however, y ielded the desired saturated 2B-dione-DMN 26 in just $39 \%$ y ield. As a second product the 2B-ketol-DMN 27 ( $\delta_{\text {Снон }}: 74.3, \delta_{\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{o}}: 213.0 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) was isolated in $32 \%$. To avoid the reduction of one keto-functionality, the reaction sequence was altered with outstanding yields for both the initial $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ hydrogenation as well as the subsequent TEMPO reaction (Scheme 10 (a) and (b)).


Scheme 10: Hydrogenation then TEMPO or TEMPO then Hydrogenation? (a) and (d) Pd/C-H2, ethyl acetate, RT, 24 h ; (b) and (c) TEMPO reagent, $\boldsymbol{p}$ toluenes ulfonic acid, DCM, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{RT}$, 5 d

For the oxidation of the non-ring-exp anded 6B-diol-2B-diol-DMN 19 the same procedure was applied with the equivalents of TEMPO reagent and $p$-toluenesulfonic acid being doubled (10-fold excess). The corresp onding tetraone 28 was obtained in $56 \%$ y ield (Scheme 11).




Scheme 11: TEMPO reaction of the non-ring-expanded tetraol. (a) TEMPO reagent, $p$-toluenes ulfonic acid, $\mathrm{DCM}, 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{RT}, 26 \mathrm{~h}, 56 \%$

Table 6 gives an overview of the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ chemical shifts in ppm relative to TMS found for the non-ring-expanded saturated and unsaturated diones (22-26), the 2B-ketol-DMN 27 and the tetraone 28.

Table 6: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR shifts for non-ring-expanded TEMPO-reaction products in ppm relative to TMS

| Compound | 6B ${ }_{\text {C=C }}$ | 6B ${ }_{\text {C-C }}$ | $6 \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{C}=0}$ | $2 \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}}$ | 2B ${ }_{\text {C-C }}$ | 2B ${ }_{\text {C=0 }}$ | $2 \mathrm{~B}_{\text {COH }}$ | $\mathbf{2 B}_{\mathrm{C}=0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 202.9 | 134.6 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 203.3 |  | 21.3 |  |  |  |
|  | 135.4 |  |  |  |  | 191.8 |  |  |
|  |  | 24.3 |  |  |  | 192.2 |  |  |
|  |  | $\sim 24.6$ |  |  |  |  | 74.3 | 213.0 |
|  |  |  | 202.1 |  |  | 191.1 |  |  |

The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-chemical shifts for the carbonyl groups close to the aromatic system (2B-dione) are shifted up field by about 11 ppm ( $\sim 192 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) compared to the 6B-carbonyl signals ( 203 ppm ). The chemical shifts ( $\delta_{\mathrm{CHOH}}, \delta_{\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{o}}$ ) observed for the enantiomeric $\alpha$-ketols 27 are similar to the ones observed previously for the ring-expanded 2B-ketols 21 (Scheme 8, page 56). The chemical shifts for the saturated ethano-bridge $\left(6 \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}}\right)$ are 24.3 ppm and 24.6 ppm , respectively.

The TEMPO reaction for the ring-expanded 6B-diol-2B-diol-DMN 20 appeared to be more complex. The desired ring-expanded tetraone 30 was found to be the minor product ( 29 \%) only, which tautomerised in deuterated chloroform into a trione-enol system 30enol. The
major product ( $54 \%$ ) in this reaction was identified as the incompletely oxidised 6B-ketol-2B-dione-DMN 29 (Scheme 12 (a)).



Scheme 12: TEMPO reaction on ring-expanded tetraol. (a) TEMPO reagent, p-toluenesulfonic acid, DCM, $0^{\circ}$ C/RT, 5 d, 29 \% (tetraone) / 54 \% (trioneol); (b) TEMPO reagent, p-toluenesulfonic acid, DCM, $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{RT}, 20 \mathrm{~d} 57 \%$

The 6B-ketol-2B-dione-DMN 29 was then converted by another TEMPO reaction (20 days) into the desired 6B-dione-2B-dione-DMN 30 in $57 \%$ yield (Scheme 12 (b)). Interestingly, four distinct carbonyl signals were found when characterised by ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectroscopy in deuterated chloroform. There was no evidence for the presence of olefinic $=\mathrm{CH}$ or $=\mathrm{COH}$ and, therefore, keto-enol tautomerism was not observed. This could be due to a different batch of chloroform- $\mathrm{d}_{1}$ that might have been more acidic than the one used previously. An acidic environment shifts the equilibrium between the dione $\mathbf{3 0}$ and the ambident anion towards the dione (Scheme 13).


Scheme 13: Mechanism of keto-enol tautomerism for a ring-expandeddione

Once the enol is formed it is stabilised through the formation of the conjugated enone and hy drogen bonding between the hydroxy group and the vicinal carbonyl functionality. Selected ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ chemical shifts in ppm relative to TMS for the ring-exp anded TEMPO-reaction products are given in Table 7. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR resonances observed are in accordance with the three proposed
structures. The olefinic proton of the tautomerised tetraone $\mathbf{3 0 e n o l}$ showed as a doublet at 6.11 ppm .

Table 7: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR shifts for ring-expanded TEMPO-reaction products in ppm relative to TMS

| Compound | 6B ${ }_{\text {C=0 }}$ | $6 \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{C}=0}$ | 6B ${ }_{\text {COH }}$ | 6B =СО | $6 \mathrm{~B}_{\text {= }} \mathrm{CH}$ | 2B ${ }_{C=0}$ | 2B ${ }_{\text {C=0 }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (20 |  | 210.1 | 72.3 |  |  | 191.6 | 192.0 |
| $\underset{\text { 30enol }}{\text { Hen }}$ | 196.4 |  |  | 149.9 | 119.5 | 191.5 | 191.5 |
|  | 196.4 | 190.9 |  |  |  | 191.4 | 191.9 |

Now, with the diones and tetraones in hand, the stage was set for the attachment of the porphyrin moieties. The attachment via two subsequent condensation reactions is described in the following section B.2.3.

## B.2.3 Condensations

As mentioned above (chapter B.2, page 49) the strategy behind the condensation of 'porphyrindione' and benzenetetramine was pioneered by Crossley et al.. ${ }^{142,143}$ In fact, his group's bis-porphyrins (Example 21) were the first examples of two porphyrins covalently linked through the $\beta$-pyrrolic positions. ${ }^{142}$

$\mathrm{Ar}=$ phenyl or 3,5-di-tert-butylphenyl; $\mathrm{M}_{1}, \mathrm{M}_{\mathbf{2}}=\mathbf{2 H}, \mathrm{Ni}, \mathrm{Pd}, \mathrm{Zn}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{Cl})$
Example 21: First bis-porphyrins covalently linked through the $\beta$-pyrrolic positions by Crossley et al. (1987)

Motive behind Crossley's work was the development of molecular wires and his group successfully extended the 'benzenetetramine strategy' to construct oligo-porphyrin systems. ${ }^{141,144}$

In this thesis two different $\alpha$-diketones were to be linked by benzenetetramine. As benzenetetramine is commercially distributed as its tetrahydrochloric salt, all condensation reactions with benzenetetramine were carried out in pyridine to generate the free base that then undergoes the condensation with the $\alpha$-diketone. As benzenetetramine is the reacting species I refrain from explicitly mentioning on each occasion that the tetrahydrochloric salt was the chemical actually used.

In principle two different pathways (Scheme 14) exist to successfully link the benzenetetramine with one equivalent of 'porphyrindione' and one equivalent of the diones/tetraones described above. One could either condense benzenetetramine and 'porphyrindione' first and then condense the adduct with the diones/tetraones (Scheme 14 (Path A)) or vice versa (Scheme 14 (Path B)).


Scheme 14: Two condensation sequences. Path A: 'porphyrindione' followed by diones/tetraones; Path B: diones/tetraones followed by porphyrindione

Both pathways were tested with pathway 'A' being chosen after the condensation of benzenetetramine and 'porphyrindione' was optimised. Carried out in pyridine with a 1.5 excess of benzenetetramine, the y ields obtained were quantitative and the product $5,10,15,20$ -tetrakis-(3,5-di-tert-butylphenyl)-2 $2^{3}-2^{4}$-diaminoquinoxalino[2,3-b]porphyrin, for the remainder of the thesis referred to as 'diaminoporphyrin', was easily purified by column chromatography. The formation of the bis-porphyrin adduct, previously synthesised by Crossley et al. ${ }^{142}$ was negligible.

The condensation of the unsaturated 6B-dione-2B-ene-DMN 22 with 'diaminoporphyrin' in de-oxy genated pyridine at $125{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a dark pressure tube (Scheme 15 (b)) gave moderate y ields only (27-29 \%). In contrast the saturated 6B-dione-DMN 23 gave the desired adduct in high yields ( $54 \%$ ) under similar conditions, but in de-oxy genated dichloromethane instead (Scheme 15 (c)).






Scheme 15: Synthesis of 6B-porphyrin-DMN. (a) Pd/C-H2, ethyl acetate,RT, $24 \mathrm{~h}, 93$ \% ; (b) 'diaminoporphyrin', pyridine, $125{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, pressure tube, 3d, 29 \% ; (c) 'diaminoporphyrin', DCM, $125{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, pressure tube, 3d, $54 \%$; (d) Pd/C, $\mathbf{H}_{2}$, ethyl acetate, RT, 24 h, 79 \%

Most striking evidence for a successful condensation are the chemical shifts of the methylenebridge protons. Upon successful condensation, the signals for the methylene protons directed towards the newly condensed aromatic system shifted up field by 1.36 ppm and 1.43 ppm , respectively (Scheme 15). Both protons showed as a doublet with typical coupling constants between 12.2 and 12.7 Hz . The up field shift of the methylene protons was used to monitor the progress of the condensations, which were carried out under the above-mentioned optimised conditions in de-oxygenated dichloromethane. Upon completion of the reaction, dichloromethane was blown off under an argon stream, and the crude product was purified by column chromatography.

The 2B-dione-DMN 26 and 'diaminoporphyrin' y ielded under these conditions the desired 2B-porphyrin-DMN 33 in $73 \%$ (Scheme 16) with the methylene proton facing the aromatic system undergoing an upfield shift by 1 ppm .


Scheme 16: Synthesis of 2B-porphyrin-DMN 33. (a) DCM, $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, pressure tube, 4 d, 73 \%

The attempt to condense two 'diaminoporphyrins' and one non-ring-expanded tetraone resulted initially in the 6B- (34) and 2B-mono-adducts (35) only (Scheme 17). Both products were easily identified by the proton signal for the methano bridge ( $\delta=-0.04 \mathrm{ppm}(2 \mathrm{~B})$; $\delta=-0.56 \mathrm{ppm}(6 \mathrm{~B})$ ) and the carbon signal of the carbonyl groups ( $\delta_{\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{o}}: 202.4 \mathrm{ppm}(2 \mathrm{~B})$, $191.4 \mathrm{ppm}(6 \mathrm{~B})$ ). The ratio $2 \mathrm{~B} / 6 \mathrm{~B}$ was found to be $\sim 3: 1$. Under the same conditions a mixture of these mono-adducts was reacted with further 'diaminoporphyrin' to y ield the bis-porphyrinDMN 36 in 38 \% yield (Scheme 17).


Mass spectrometry (MALDI) confirmed the successful synthesis of bis-p orphyrin-DMN 36 $\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}=2777\right)$. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum of the bis-adduct is given in Figure 28. All proton signals were assigned with the support of a COSY experiment. The 144 tert-butyl protons gave 6 distinct signals that integrated to $18,18,36,36,18$, and 18 protons, respectively. The two methylene protons were significantly shifted upfield for the bis-porphyrin-DMN system 36. Their chemical shifts could be found at -0.82 (facing the 6B-porphyrin) and -0.26 ppm (facing the 2B-porphyrin), respectively.


Figure 28: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum ( $\mathbf{3 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z ) ~ o f ~ b i s - p o r p h y r i n - D M N ~} 36$

Both methylene protons coupled with the vicinal bridge-head protons ( $\delta=2.63 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) and each of the protons showed W-type coupling with the respective protons next to the bridge head at $\delta=2.64$ and 2.38 ppm , respectively (Figure 29).


Figure 29: Detail of COSY spectrum ( $500 \mathbf{M H z}$ ) of bis-porphyrin-DMN 36

The inner protons of the two porphyrin rings showed at -2.59 and -2.62 ppm , respectively. Comparison with the chemical shifts for the inner protons of the 6B-porphyrin-DMN 32
( $\delta=-2.39 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) and the 2B-porphyrin-DMN 33 ( $\delta:-2.30 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) suggests that an interaction between the two porphyrin rings exists, causing the upfield shift of 0.2 and 0.3 ppm , respectively. Treatment of the two porphyrin chromophores as one 'special pair' seems to be therefore justified.

The UV-Vis spectrum of the bis-porphyrin-DMN 36 showed the maximum of the Soret band at 423 nm and for the Q-bands at $536 \mathrm{~nm}, 609.5 \mathrm{~nm}$, and 658 nm . The spectrum of the 6B-porphyrin-DMN 32 is almost identical in respect to observed maxima, the extinction coefficient $\varepsilon$, however, is smaller (Figure 30).


Figure 30: UV-Vis spectra of bis-porphyrin-DMN 36 and 6B-porphyrinDMN 32 in dichloromethane

A concentration $2 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~mol} / 1$ for UV-Vis absorption and steady state fluorescence spectroscopy was found to be adequate for the bis-porphyrin compounds; solutions of the mono-porphyrin adducts were used roughly four times more concentrated ( $8-9 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{l}$ ). Excited at a wavelength of 400 nm the bis-porphyrin-DMN 36 showed a fluorescence band with two maxima at 660.5 nm and 664.5 nm (Figure 31). The fluorescence spectrum of the mono-adduct, the 6B-p orphyrin-DMN 32, showed only one emission maximum at 662.5 nm . Conclusions on the cause of the two maxima must be derived carefully as the level of background noise was high.


Figure 31: Absorption and emission spectrum of bis-porphyrin-DMN 36

Quenching of the fluorescence band for the corresponding TCNQ bichromophoric systems would count as evidence for a non-radiative decay of the excited state. A pathway for such a non-radiative decay could be provided by an ET transfer process from the porphyrin donor to the TCNQ acceptor.

For the ring-expanded system, only the 6B-p orphyrin-2B-dione-DMN 37 (the mono-adduct) was found in low yield when the corresponding ring-expanded tetraone $\mathbf{3 0}$ was reacted with two equivalents of 'diaminoporphyrin' (Scheme 18).
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Scheme 18: Condensation of the ring-expanded tetraone 30. (a) 2 eq. 'diaminoporphyrin', DCM, $120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, pressure tube, 5 d, $15 \%$ (b) not successful to date.

Unlike the non-ring-exp anded 6B-porphyrin-2B-dione-DMN 34, the methy lene protons of the 6B-mono-adduct 37 were not significantly shifted upfield ( $0.60 \mathrm{ppm}, 0.48 \mathrm{ppm}$ ). Due to the kinked nature of the porphyrin attachment, the methylene proton is no longer shielded by the newly formed aromatic system. Together with the carbonyl signals for the 2B-dione ( $191.6 \mathrm{ppm}, 191.7 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) they confirmed the synthesis of the 6B-mono-adduct 37 (not the 2B-mono-adduct). Mass spectrometry (MALDI) provided further evidence for the mono-addition $\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}=1631\right)$.

The synthesis of the kinked bis-porphyrin-DMN $\mathbf{3 8}$ was not successful under the chosen conditions. Nevertheless, the successful synthesis of 6B-porphyrin-DMN 32, 2B-porphyrinDMN 33, and bis-porphyrin-DMN 36 remains a major achievement in the synthesis of the initially envisaged series of target compounds. This series of dyads is not expected to exhibit electron transfer from a locally excited porphyrin(s) to the dimethoxy naphthalene acceptor. In order to achieve electron transfer the acceptor strength had to be increased.

## B.2.4 From Energy Transfer to Electron Transfer

A conversion of the dimethoxynaphthalene (DMN) into the strong electron acceptor tetracy anonaphthoquinodimethane (TCNQ) ${ }^{24,164}$ increases the driving force for electron transfer. The Rehm-Weller equation ${ }^{19,20}$ (Equation 10, page 10) provides the relationship between the driving force for ET $\left(\Delta G^{0}\right)$ and the redox potentials ( $E_{o x}$ and $E_{\text {red }}$ ) of the chromophores. In a polar solvent and at a relatively large donor-acceptor separation the driving force can be calculated according to Equation 14.

$$
\Delta G^{\circ}=E_{o x}(D)-E_{r e d}(A)-E_{00}
$$

Equation 14: Rehm-Weller equation for large donor-acceptor separation. $E_{00}$ is the zero-zero electronic excitation energy to the first excitedsingletstate $\left(\mathrm{D}^{*}\right) ; E_{o x}(\mathrm{D})$ and $E_{\text {red }}(\mathrm{A})$ are the oxidation and reduction potentials of donor and acceptor, respectively

If only the acceptor is changed, the zero-zero electronic excitation energy ( $E_{00}$ ) and the oxidation potential of the porphyrin donor $\left(E_{o x}(\mathrm{D})\right)$ remain the same. The change in driving force is then directly reflected in the change of the reduction potential of the acceptor $\left(E_{\text {red }}(\mathrm{A})\right)$. The known redox potentials of 4B-DMN 39 and 2B-TCNQ 40 are -1.10 eV and -0.10 eV , respectively (Figure 32). ${ }^{164,165}$

$E_{\text {red }}(39)=-1.10$

$E_{\text {red }}(40)=-0.10 \mathrm{eV}$

$E_{o x}(41)=1.0 \mathrm{eV}$

Figure 32: Redox potentials of related acceptor and donor chromophores

The $E_{00}$ value evaluated from the absorption spectrum of the bis-porphyrin-DMN 36 (Figure 30, page 66) is roughly 1.9 eV , which corresponds well to the value rep orted for the known 2B-porphyrin $41(1.91 \mathrm{eV}) .{ }^{113}$ The oxidation potential of the latter compound is 1.00 eV .

Assuming solvent independence, the driving forces for electron transfer ( $\Delta G^{9}$ ) are estimated using the Rehm-Weller equation (Equation 14) to be +0.2 eV for the porphyrin-DMN species and -0.8 eV for the porphyrin-TCNQ dyads, which confirms that a change of the acceptor from DMN to TCNQ makes the electron transfer process thermody namically accessible.

The conversion of dimethoxynaphthalene (DMN) to tetracyanonaphthoquinodimethane (TCNQ) was achieved via the corresponding hydroquinone and naphthoquinone. Demethylation of the dimethyl ethers (of DMN) was achieved using boron tribromide ${ }^{43,113,166,167}$ The resulting hydroquinones (only the 6B-porphyrin-HNQ 42 (not depicted) was isolated) oxidised readily with lead dioxide ${ }^{113,168}$ to the corresponding naphthoquinones shown in Scheme 19. The y ields for these first two steps were found to be high.


Scheme 19: From DMN to TCNQ. (a) $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}, \mathrm{DCM},-45{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{RT}$, over night; (b) $\mathbf{P b O}_{2}, \mathbf{D C M}, \mathrm{RT}, 30 \mathrm{~min}$; (c) malononitrile, $\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}, \mathrm{DCM}, \beta$-alanine, pyridine

The procedure for the subsequent $\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}$-reaction was first developed by Wasielewski et al. ${ }^{24}$ and gave variable y ields between $29 \%$ and $58 \%$ (Table 8).

Table 8: Yields from DMN to TCNQ

| Reactant | $\mathbf{n , ~ x , ~ y ~}$ | Yield (a) $\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{b})$ | Yield (c) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 6B-porphyrin-DMN 32 | $0,1,0$ | $93 \%$ | $58 \%$ |
| 2B-porphyrin-DMN 33 | $0,0,1$ | $95 \%$ | $31 \%$ |
| bis-porphyrin-DMN 36 | $0,1,1$ | $83 \%$ | $29 \%$ |

The highest y ield for the $\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}$-reaction was obtained for 6B-porphyrin-NQ 43. Significantly lower yields where found for systems with the porphyrin moiety only $2 \sigma$-bonds away from the reacting naphthoquinone $(\mathbf{4 4}, \mathbf{4 5})$. Steric or electronic influences on yields could not be excluded, but due to the small number of experiments they also couldn't be confirmed.

For all three TCNQ systems 46, 47, and $48{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$, COSY, and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (including DEPT90 and DEPT135) spectra were obtained and signals assigned where possible. Their mass spectra (MALDI) matched their calculated molecular weight, melting points were above $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. As an example the proton and carbon NMR spectra of the bis-porphyrin-TCNQ target 48 are given (Figure 33 and Figure 34). A more detailed discussion of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum including a number of expansions is given in Error! Reference source not found. 'Error! Reference source not found.' in the Appendix of this thesis on page Error! Book mark not defined.


Figure 33: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum ( $\mathbf{3 0 0} \mathrm{MHz}$ ) of bis-porphyrin-TCNQ 48

The signals for the four inner protons of the two porphyrins show as far upfield as -2.61 and -2.62 ppm , respectively. The two methylene bridge protons show as doublets at -0.84 and -0.68 ppm with a coup ling constant of 12.1 Hz . Very significant is the chemical shift for the two bridge head protons next to the TCNQ acceptor. Their singlet is found at $5.46 \mathrm{ppm}(5.17$ for DMN, 5.21 for NQ). Stronger evidence for the successful $\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}$ reaction is found in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectrum (Figure 34).


Figure 34: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectrum (75.5 MHz) of bis-porphyrin-TCNQ 48

The carbonyl signal at $\delta_{\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{o}}: 180.8 \mathrm{ppm}$ of the starting material (bis-porphyrin-NQ) is absent and a peak for the four CN resonances is present, appearing at 113.2 ppm . The two $=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}$ resonances showed at 82.9 ppm .

The above-described interaction between the two porphyrin units (B.2.3, page 60), evident in the upfield shift of the inner porphyrin-protons, was found in the naphthoquinone (NQ) and tetracy anonaphthoquinodimethane (TCNQ) systems as well. Compared to the monoporphyrin systems peaks shift upfield by 0.2 to 0.3 ppm (Figure 35).


Figure 35: Upfield shift of inner-proton resonance in bis-porphyrinsystems compared to the 6B-and 2B-mono-porphyrin dyads

Throughout the series of bis-porphyrin-adducts (DMN, NQ, TCNQ) the two porphyrins seem indeed 'special'. Despite the large inter-porphyrin separation ( $12 \AA, \mathrm{AM} 1$ ) they exhibit some interaction, as is characteristic of the two porphyrins of the 'special pair' in photosynthetic reaction centres, which are only separated by $\sim 6.6 \AA$.

The metallation of one 'special pair'-p orphyrin or the introduction of different metals into the porphyrin moiety would give access to a study of inter-porphyrin (energy) transfer processes in conjunction with the projected electron transfer to the TCNQ acceptor. A statistical metallation of the bis-adducts is believed to result in a challenging separation problem. However, isolation of the mono-porphyrin-mono-dione intermediates $\mathbf{3 4}$ and $\mathbf{3 5}$ in the biscondensation reaction and a subsequent condensation with a metallated 'diaminoporphyrin' should result in one well-defined bis-adduct with different porphyrin moieties. This concept was applied to the earlier isolated (page 64) 6B-dione-2B-porphyrin-DMN 35 (Scheme 20).


Scheme 20: Attempted synthesis of a 6B-[porphyrinato]zinc(II)-2B-porphyrin-DMN 49. (a) de-oxygenated DCM, dark pressure tube, $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 21 d

Unfortunately the reaction conditions (DCM, pressure tube, $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 21 days) proved to betoo harsh to keep the zinc(II) in place and a mixture of 6B-[porphyrinato]zinc(II)-2B-porphyrinDMN 49 and 6B-porphyrin-2B-[porphyrinato]zinc(II)-DMN 50 was isolated from the reaction mixture. In principle stronger bound metal-ions should stand those reaction conditions and give controlled access to mono-metallated bis-adducts. In order to go ahead with this concept, more mono-porphyrin adduct is required.

With the successful synthesis of the three donor-DMN and three donor-TCNQ systems the synthesis of the non-ring-expanded dy ads had been completed. For the TCNQ dyads a driving force for electron transfer ( $\Delta G^{0}$ ) had been calculated to be roughly -0.8 eV (Equation 14). Assuming a typical reorganisation energy of $1 \mathrm{eV}, 22,111,113$ the barrier for charge separation in polar solvents ( $\Delta G^{*}$ ) can be estimated according to Equation 15 to be 0.01 eV .

$$
\Delta G^{*}=\frac{\left(\lambda+\Delta G^{0}\right)^{2}}{4 \lambda}
$$

Equation 15: Gibbs free energy of activation (Equation 3, page 7)

Experimental evidence for non-radiative decay (such as electron transfer) was found in the emission spectra of the porphyrin-TCNQ dyads. Comparison with the corresponding DMN
bichromophores revealed strong fluorescence quenching, in fact $>94 \%$. Figure 36 compares the emission spectra of 6B-porphyin-DMN 32 and 6B-porphyrin-TCNQ 48.


Figure 36: Fluorescence spectra of 6B-porphyrin-DMN 32 and 6B-porphyrin-TCNQ; 94 \% quenching

The assumption that electron transfer takes place seems reasonable considering the above mentioned results. But time-resolved photophysical experiments will have to confirm the existence of a charge-separated state and establish electron transfer characteristics, such as electron transfer rates $\left(k_{E T}\right)$ and the lifetime of the charge-sep arated state $\left(\tau_{C R}\right)$.

The lifetime of the charge-separated species is expected to be short (A.4.2 'Multichromophoric Concept', page 22) but should be significantly prolonged by attachment of a third chromophore another six $\sigma$-bonds away. The synthesis of such triads and the problems that accompanied their construction are described in the following chapter B.3.

## B. 3 Synthesis of the Series of Triads

The synthesis of the series of triads is an extension to the above-described work on the dyads. The TCNQ acceptor of the dyads is replaced by a naphthoquinone primary accep tor linked via a norborny logous bridge, $6 \sigma$-bonds in length, to the TCNQ secondary electron acceptor. The donor component consist either of one single porphyrin $(x=0)$ or one 'special pair', with two porphyrins $\operatorname{kinked}(n, x=1)$ or non-kinked $(n=0, x=1)$ in respect to each other (Figure 37). Each of these three target molecules comes in two stereoisomeric forms: a w-shaped form where the methano bridges of donor component and bridge are syn to each other or a y-shaped form where they are anti. The mono-porphyrin trichromophores ( $\mathrm{x}=0$ ) are either u -shaped (anti) or s-shaped (syn).


Figure 37: Two-times three targets in the triad synthesis (w/u- and y/sshaped) and the AM1 calculated structures of anti and syn bis-porphyrin-NQ-TCNQ

After excitation of the porphyrin chromophore two subsequent electron transfer "hops" are envisaged. The first electron transfer is to occur from the excited porphyrin moiety to the naphthoquinone followed by a second electron transfer process from the naphthoquinone to the strong electron acceptor TCNQ (Figure 38).


Figure 38: Envisaged sequence of ET processes for the series of triads

Application of the Rehm-Weller equation (Equation 14) for polar solvents and large interchromophoric separation allows an estimation of the thermodynamic accessibility of the electron transfer pathways. Insertion of the redox potentials given below (Figure 39) into the Rehm-Weller equation gave a driving force $\left(\Delta G^{0}\right)$ of roughly -0.4 eV for the first electron transfer and -0.7 eV for the consecutive electron transfer step.

(a): $E_{o x}=0.8 \mathrm{eV}$

(b): $E_{\text {ed }}=-0.82 \mathrm{eV}$

(c): $E_{\text {red }}=-0.14 \mathrm{eV}$

Figure 39: Redox potentials of relevant donor and acceptor chromophores ${ }^{113,164,169}$

These calculations predict that electron transfer is thermodynamically accessible. The estimated Gibbs free energy of activation ( $\Delta G^{*}$, Equation 3, page 7) is small $(0.09 \mathrm{eV}$ for $\mathrm{ET}_{1}, 0.02 \mathrm{eV}$ for $\mathrm{ET}_{2}$ ) that electron transfer should not be inhibited for kinetic reasons.

The synthesis of the triads follows the same methodology as applied in the dyad synthesis. A retrosynthetic approach sees the naphthoquinone unit segmented into an exocyclic 1,3-diene and a benzoquinone. The benzoquinone-bridge-TCNQ segment can be split into a bridge component and the acceptor precursor. The synthesis of these components is described in B.3.2 (page 116) and B.3.3 (page 120), respectively. The donor component can be split into porphyrindione, benzenetetramine and a dione or (non-) ring-expanded tetraones (Figure 40).




Figure 40: Retrosynthetic approach for the triad synthesis

The synthesis of 'diaminoporphyrin' is described in $\mathbf{B . 5}$ (page 135), benzenetetramine (as its tetrahydrochloric salt) is a commercial product, and the synthesis of the dione and the tetraones is described below (B.3.1).

## B.3.1 Synthesis of the Donors

The donor component of the triads is based on the same carbon backbone used for the synthesis of the dyads. The synthesis of the mono-porphyrin donor started with norbornene (instead of norbornadiene, Scheme 21) then followed well-established methods within our group. ${ }^{148,170,171}$ A Diels-Alder cycloaddition with 1,2,3,4-tetrachloro-5,5-dimethoxycyclopentadiene ${ }^{149}$ gave the tetrachloro-adduct $\mathbf{5 4}^{172,173}$ in $52 \%$ yield. Reductive dechlorination gave 55, deketalisation and thermal loss of carbon monoxide yielded the known 1,3-cy clohexadiene derivative $\mathbf{5 7}{ }^{174}$, which underwent another Diels-Alder reaction with dimethyl fumarate (in contrast to the cycloaddition of 1,4-naphthoquinone in the dyad synthesis) to give $\mathbf{5 8} .{ }^{175}$ The overall y ield of steps Scheme 21 (b) to (e) was $58 \%$.








Scheme 21: Synthesis of the triad carbon back bones. (a) 0,toluene, reflux, 40 h, 52 \% ; (b) Na, THF / i-PrOH, reflux, 16 h; (c) HCOOH, THF, RT, 16 h; (d) toluene, reflux, 2 h ; (e) dimethyl fumarate, toluene, reflux, 18 h ; (f)dimethyl fumarate, toluene, reflux, $87 \mathrm{~h}, 82 \%(n=0) ; 18 \mathrm{~h}, 61 \%(n=1)$

The Diels-Alder reaction of dimethyl fumarate with the cyclohexadienes $\mathbf{5}$ and $\mathbf{9}$ known from the dyad synthesis gave the (non-) ring-expanded trans-diesters in $82 \%(\mathbf{5 9 )}$ and $61 \%(\mathbf{6 0})$, respectively. For the 2B-ene-trans-diester $\mathbf{5 8}$ and for the non-ring-expanded compound $\mathbf{5 9}$ the cyclo-addition resulted in a mixture of two enantiomers. The ring-expanded system $\mathbf{6 0}$ y ielded the four stereoisomers shown in Figure 41.


Figure 41: Stereoisomeric forms of the ring-expanded trans-diester 60

Whereas enantiomers possess the same spectra, diastereomers do not. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectra of the diastereomeric mixtures of the ring-expanded series were therefore found to be complex. Signals were assigned with the support of COSY, DEPT90, and DEPT135 experiments.

The proposed pathway for the introduction of a $\alpha$-diketone, the porphyrin moiety, and an exocyclic 1,3-diene was as outlined in Scheme 22, with the conversion of the trans-diester into the exocy clic 1,3-diene being a well established procedure within our group. ${ }^{148,170}$


Scheme 22: Proposed synthetic pathway for mono-dione. (a) Sharpless bishydroxylation; (b) TEMPO reaction; (c) condensations; (d) reduction; (e) tosylation; (f) dehydrotos ylation

The 'dyad methodology': a Sharpless bis-hydroxylation (Scheme 22 (a)) followed by a TEMPO reaction (Scheme 22 (b)) was suggested for the introduction of the $\alpha$-diketofunctionality. Two subsequent condensations were planned to introduce the porphyrin chromophore (Scheme 22 (c)). For the conversion of the trans-diester into an exocy clic diene, a reduction to the bis-alcohol (Scheme 22 (d)), tosylation (Scheme 22 (e)), and dehydrotosylation (Scheme 22 (f)) were envisaged. This sequence was to be tested for the 2B-ene-trans-diester 58 and then to be applied to the (non-) ring-expanded special pair precursors.

## B3.1.1 Sharpless Bis-hydroxylation Reaction

The initial step of the above-outlined reaction sequence (Scheme 22 (a)) is an $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$-catalysed Sharpless bis-hydroxylation reaction with $N$-methylmorpholine- $N$-oxide (NMO) as the oxidant to recover $\mathrm{OsO}_{4} .{ }^{155}$ Applied to the 2B-ene-trans-diester $\mathbf{5 8}$ two major products were isolated: the desired 2B-diol-trans-diester 61 and the mono-ring-closed lactone 62 with only one hydroxyl and one ester group present (Scheme 23).


Scheme 23: Products of the Sharpless bis-hydroxylation and selected ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ chemical shifts. (a) $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}\left(\mathbf{2} \%\right.$ ), NMO (3 eq.), $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 1,4$-dioxane, RT, 16 h , 50 \%

The overall yield for both products was about $50 \%$, with the diol being the major one. The diol, however, easily converted into the lactone when exposed to mild acidic conditions as found in tap water or on silica gel used for chromatography. To confirm the conversion in an acidic medium, $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and acidic chloroform- $\mathrm{d}_{1}$ were added to a NMR sample containing the diol. The ring-closure proceeded immediately and in quantitative yield. The proposed mechanism for the acid-catalysed internal ring closure is shown in (Scheme 24).


Spurred by curiosity, a double ring-closure was attempted for the 2B-ene-cis-diester 63 under Sharpless bis-hydroxy lation conditions. The endo-cis-diester was accessible via Diels-Alder reaction between 57 and dimethyl maleate (Scheme 25 (a)). The methylene proton facing the new etheno bridge $(\delta=2.13 \mathrm{ppm})$ confirmed the endo attack. The subsequent $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$ conditions, however, did not result in the formation of the dicarbolactone 64. Instead a mixture of starting material and the trans-diester 58 was found when reacted at $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Scheme 25 (b)).


Scheme 25: Attempted synthesis of a double lactone. (a) Dimethyl maleate, toluene, reflux, 4 d, $41 \%$; (b) $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$, NMO, 1,4-dioxane, $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10 \mathrm{~d}$

Possibly steric hindrance is responsible for the reaction not proceeding in the desired way. On one side the double bond is protected by a methano bridge and the two ester groups syn to the double bond seem to protect it from the other side and thereby preventing the formation of the
osmate ester and the subsequent hydrolysis to the diol. Figure 42 shows the different accessibilities of the double bond in the trans- and cis-diester.



Figure 42: AM1-optimised structures for the 2B-ene-trans-diester 58 and2B-ene-cis-diester 63

Higher temperature $\left(80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ did not change the accessibility of the double bond, but led to an epimerisation of the carbon centres bonded to the ester groups. Such an isomerisation had been observed before ${ }^{176}$ and proceeded most likely via deprotonation and re-protonation at the carbon atom next to the ester group. The cis- to trans-diester ratio determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectroscopy was found to be 2:3 after chromatography. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum is given below (Figure 43) and compares well with the spectra for the 2B-ene-cis-diester 63 and the 2B-ene-trans-diester 58.


Figure 43: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum ( $\mathbf{3 0 0} \mathbf{M H z}$ ) of a mixture (2:3) of 2B-ene-cisdiester 63 and 2B-ene-trans-diester 58 as found after attempted $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}{ }^{-}$ reaction at $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

One possibility to overcome (and avoid rather than reverse) the unwanted acid-catalysed lactonisation is to use functional groups that are stable to acids and do not undergo this type of internal transesterification. As the ester groups were to be converted into tosylates at a later stage of the reaction sequence (Scheme 22 (d), page 81), the 2B-ene-trans-ditosy late $\mathbf{6 6}$ was exposed to Sharpless bis-hydroxylation conditions next. The conversion of esters into tosylates followed standard procedures as outlined in Scheme 26. ${ }^{177}$


Scheme 26: Conversion of ester groups into tosylates. (a) $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$, THF, reflux, $20 \mathrm{~h}, 99$ \% ; (b) TsCl, pyridine, $-23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 8 \mathrm{~d}, 94 \%$

The $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$ reaction, however, gave exclusively the ring-closed product 67 . Under the basic reaction conditions the formed diol was possibly deprotonated and with one positive polarised carbon atom within its reach, the alkoxy group underwent an intramolecular nucleophilic
attack to form the cyclic ether 67. The tosyl-group acted as a leaving group in this process (Scheme 27).


Scheme 27: Proposed mechanism of the base-catalysed cyclic ether formation. (a) $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}(\mathbf{2} \%$ ), NMO (3 eq.), 1,4-dioxane, RT, $23 \mathrm{~h}, 71 \%$

The same result was found when the tosyl groups were replaced by mesyl groups as shown in Scheme 28.


Scheme 28: Cyclic ether formation with a mesyl functionality acting as
leaving group. (a) $\mathbf{O s O}_{4}(\mathbf{2} \%$ ), NMO (3 eq.), 1,4-dioxane, RT, $23 \mathrm{~h}, 77$ \%

From the mono-ring-closed product 69 an x-ray structure was obtained (Figure 44), which suggests intramolecular hydrogen bonding between the vicinal hydroxy group and the ether oxygen. Its cry stallographic data can be found in E 'Appendix', page 268.


Figure 44: X-ray structure of mono-ring-closed mesyl derivative 69

A double ring-closure was achieved when the 2B-ene-cis-ditosylate 72 and the 2B-ene-cisdimesylate 73 were exposed to the $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$-conditions. The cis-derivatives were accessible via the cis-diol 71, which was derived from the cy clic anhydride 70 by reduction with $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ (Scheme 29).


Scheme 29: (a) Toluene, reflux, 15 h, 53 \% ; (b) $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$, THF,RT, $3 \mathrm{~h}, 94$ \% ;
(c) $\mathrm{TsCl}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Ts})$ or $\mathrm{MsCl}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Ms})$, pyridine, $-18{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 6 \mathrm{~d}, 85 \% \quad(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Ts})$ or
$67 \%(X=M s) ;(d) \mathrm{OsO}_{4}, \mathrm{NMO}, \mathrm{RT}, 6 \mathrm{~d}, 21 \%(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Ts})$ or $24 \%(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Ms})$

The Diels-Alder cycloaddition between 57 and maleic anhydride gave the endo-product 70, evident in the chemical shift ( 2.00 ppm ) of the methylene proton facing the etheno bridge (Scheme 29 (a)). Tosylation and mesylation of the 2B-ene-cis-diol 71 gave good yields (Scheme 29 (c)) and the low yields given for the final $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$-reaction (Scheme 29 (d)) arenot
corrected on recovered starting material (37-50 \%). Relevant ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ data for the mono and double ring-closed products are given in Figure 45.


Figure 45: Carbon chemical shifts for the mono and double cyclic ethers

Both tosylates and mesylates are such good leaving groups that unwanted ring-closure follows the $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$ reaction in the basic medium. Employing weaker leaving groups would result in two extra steps in the synthesis, but was most likely the easiest way to overcome the ring-closure problems. Two functionalities chosen were the chloro and acetoxy groups.

Reaction of the 2B-ene-trans-diol 65 with acetic anhydride (Scheme 30 (a)) gave the diacetoxy system 75 in $75 \%$ yield; reaction with freshly distilled thionyl chloride (Scheme 30 (c)) y ielded the 2B-ene-trans-bis(chloromethyl) compound 76 in $50 \%$.


Scheme 30: (a) Acetic anhydride, pyridine, RT, $18 \mathrm{~h}, 75$ \% ; (b) OsO ${ }_{4}$, NMO, 1,4-dioxane, RT, 3 d, 45 \% (c) thionyl chloride, pyridine, $70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}, 50 \%$;(d) $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$, $\mathrm{NMO}, 1,4$-dioxane, RT, 3 d, 57 \%

The subsequent $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$ reactions carried out on these compounds gave the desired 2B-diol-trans-diacetate $\mathbf{7 7}$ in $45 \%$ (Scheme 30 (b)) and the less-desirable ring-closed product $\mathbf{7 8}$ in $57 \%$ y ield (Scheme 30 (d)). One thing both reactions had in common was the formation of a substantial amount of a bright yellow side product. These side products were identified as the related diones and were obtained in $28 \%(\mathbf{7 9}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{OAc})$ and $12 \%(\mathbf{8 0}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl})$ y ield, respectively (Scheme 31).


Scheme 31: Formation of diones 79 and 80 under Sharpless bis-hydroxylation conditions

The strongest evidence for the dione formation was the presence of carbonyl signals and the absence of CHOH signals in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectra of $\mathbf{7 9}$ and $\mathbf{8 0}$. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrashowed 18 (80) and 24 (79) protons only. Characteristic chemical shifts are given in Figure 46.


Figure 46: Selected ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR chemical shifts of the 2B-diones 79 and 80 formed under Sharpless bis-hydroxylation conditions

Beside the characterisation by NMR spectroscopy and mass spectrometry an x-ray structure was obtained for the 2B-dione-trans-diacetate 79. It is shown in Figure 47 and parameters are given in E'Appendix', page 260.


Figure 47: X-ray structure for the 2B-dione-trans-diacetate 79

The one-step oxidation from olefins to diones was observed when stoichiometric amounts of $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$ and hydrogen peroxide as oxidant were used. ${ }^{178,179}$ Under Sharpless bis-hydroxylation conditions the one-step 'bis-ketonisation' appears not to have a literature precedent. However, there are examples of ketol formation under similar conditions, ${ }^{180,181}$ and yellow 'impurities' have been observed before. ${ }^{182}$ Nevertheless, the yields observed in this work go far beyond those normally attributed to 'impurities' and a further investigation was warranted.

## B.3.1.2 Modified Sharpless Bis-hydroxylation

One reason for the investigation of the one-step oxidation of olefins to diones under Sharpless bis-hydroxy lation conditions (apart from scientific interest) was the possible avoidance of expected solubility problems with the formation of the ring-expanded and non-ring-expanded tetraols. In addition to that, one synthetic step could be saved, if conditions were possibly altered in a way that the dione/tetraones become the major products. In order to achieve this goal a variety of reaction conditions were tested for the 'bis-ketonisation' of the 2B-ene-transdiacetate 75. A minimum amount of 1,4 -dioxane, $2 \% \mathrm{OsO}_{4}$, about 6 eq. of $N$ -methylmorpholine- $N$-oxide and no water additional to that contained in 1,4-dioxane increased the yield of 2B-dione-trans-diacetate 79 to $79 \%$ after three days, with only $8 \%$ of the corresponding diol 77 found. Application of these optimised conditions to other systems gave similar results (Table 9):

Table 9: $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$-reaction under optimised 'bis -k etonisation' conditions

| Olefin | Lactone | Furan | Diol | Dione |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 58 $\left(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right)$ | $50 \%(\mathbf{6 2})$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{7 6}\left(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right)$ |  | $57 \%(\mathbf{7 8})$ |  | $12 \%(\mathbf{8 0})$ |
| $\mathbf{7 5}\left(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OAc}\right)$ |  |  | $8 \%(77)$ | $79 \%(79)$ |
| $\mathbf{8 1} \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{H}$ |  |  | $12 \%(\mathbf{8 2})$ | $52 \%(\mathbf{8 3})$ |

The results shown in Table 9 indicate that the one-step conversion of olefins to diketones under modified Sharpless bis-hydroxylation conditions could be common to [2.2.2]-ring systems of the above type. To investigate its application to other systems, such as [2.2.1]- and [3.2.1]-ring systems (as they are part of the (non-) ring-expanded special pair precursors), a few model systems were tested (Scheme 32). However, none of the tested models showedany evidence for the formation of a keto-functionality but gave the according cis-diols $\mathbf{8 4}, \mathbf{8 6}, 88$ only.
[2.2.1]-systems:

[3.2.1]-system:



Scheme 32: Application of the optimised 'bis-ketonisation' conditions to [2.2.1] and [3.2.1] ring systems. (a) $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}(2 \%)$, NMO (6 eq.), 1,4-dioxane (minimum amount), RT, 3d

To evaluate the role of the catalyst and the oxidant $N$-methy lmorpholine- $N$-oxide a simple exp eriment was carried out. The 2B-diol-trans-diacetate 77 was exposed to the optimised 'bisketonisation' conditions, but in which either $N$-methylmorpholine- N -oxide or $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$ was omitted from the reaction mixture (Scheme 33). In both experiments no conversion to the dione occurred. Only upon addition of the missing ingredient, oxidation proceeded.


Scheme 33: What is the oxidising species in the 'bis-ketonisation'?

From these experiments N -methy lmorpholine- N -oxide can be excluded as the single oxidising species, which is hardly surprising. More importantly, it is necessary to have the N -methylmorpholine- $N$-oxide present. A complex formed between $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$, the diol and possibly NMO must facilate the oxidation. Such a complex could also explain the 'discriminating' behaviour. Different ring systems ([2.2.1], [2.2.2], [3.2.1]) would result in slightly different geometries of the intermediate osmate ester, which then could possibly promote or not promote the oxidation to the diones.

The observed differentiation between [2.2.2] systems on one side and the [3.2.1] and [2.2.1] systems on the other could lead to a selective 'bis-ketonisation' in the synthesis of the (non-) ring-expanded 'special pair' donors. The non-ring-expanded system contains one '[2.2.1] double bond' and one '[2.2.2] double bond', which could be oxidised in one step to the '[2.2.1] diol' and '[2.2.2] dione', respectively, under 'bis-ketonisation' conditions. The same discrimination would apply to the ring-expanded donor precursor. In principle this enables the controlled attachment of one (metallated) porphyrin, before the other diol is oxidised to a dione and a different porphyrin could be attached (Figure 48).


Figure 48: Controlled attachment of two different porphyrin moieties (A and B) through (a) selective 'bis-ketonisation' followed by (b) condensation, (c) TEMPO reaction, and (d) condensation of second porphyrin

The controlled attachment of different porphyrins would open doors to the study of energy transfer processes between porphyrins in addition to the electron transfer processes aimed at between the 'special pair' and NQ or TCNQ, respectively. This idea had been mentioned before (B.2.4, page 69), but the concept behind the attachment of two different porphyrins is not the same, as the 'discriminating bis-ketonisation' hadn't been discovered during the dyad synthesis.

## B.3.1.3 Synthesis of the Tetraones

The synthetic strategy for the above-mentioned 2B-dione-trans-diacetate 79 was applied to the synthesis of the ring-expanded and non-ring-expanded tetraones. The synthesis of the backbone, including the Diels-Alder reaction with dimethyl fumarate (Scheme 21, page 80), followed the reaction sequence outlined in Scheme 34.

(c) $\|$ (c)



Scheme 34: Synthesis of tetraones (a) $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$, THF, reflux, $20 / 24 \mathrm{~h}, \mathbf{8 4} \%$ $(\mathrm{n}=0) / 99 \%(\mathrm{n}=1) ;(\mathrm{b})$ acetic anhydride, RT, $23 / 17 \mathrm{~h}, 93 \%(\mathrm{n}=0) / 89 \%$ ( $\mathrm{n}=1$ ); (c) $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$, NMO, RT, $18 \mathrm{~h}-6 \mathrm{~d}(\mathrm{n}=0) / 50 \mathrm{~d}(\mathrm{n}=1)$; (d) TEMPO reagent, p-toluenesulfonic acid, $\mathbf{D C M}, \mathrm{RT}, 4 \mathrm{~d}, 74 \% \quad(\mathrm{n}=0) / 57 \% \quad(\mathrm{n}=1)$

The ratio of the two products isolated from the $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$-reaction (Scheme 34 (c)) can be varied by altering the amount of N -methylmorpholine- N -oxide as well as by permitting more or less time for the reaction to proceed. A "short" reaction time of 18 hours yielded the tetraol 93 in $32 \%$ and the 6B-diol-2B-dione-trans-diacetate 94 in $26 \%$. A prolonged reaction time of 6 days resulted in the exclusive formation of the 6B-diol-2B-dione-trans-diacetate 94 in $59 \%$ y ield.

As solubility problems of the tetraol were observed, the reaction time for the ring-expanded system 92 was extended dramatically, which resulted in the formation of the kinked 6B-diol-2B-dione-trans-diacetate 96 and the further oxidised kinked 6B-ketol-2B-dione 97 in $20 \%$ and $18 \%$, respectively. This result contradicted that obtained earlier for the $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$-reaction with a [3.2.1]-model ring system (B.3.1.2) but is in accordance with the observations made during the synthesis of the ring-expanded dyads. Both compounds, the kinked 6B-diol-2B-dione-trans-diacetate 96 as well as the corresponding 6B-ketol-2B-dione-system 97 were converted into the sought after ring-expanded tetraone $\mathbf{9 8}$ under TEMPO conditions (Scheme 34 (d)).

A few characteristic ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ chemical shifts are given in Table 10. The diastereomeric ring-expanded systems were not separated and therefore resulted in fairly complex NMR spectra throughout the synthesis of the tetraones (and beyond).

Table 10: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR shifts for $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$ and TEMPO reaction products in $\mathbf{p p m}$ relative to TMS

| Compound | 6B ${ }_{\text {C=C }}$ | 6B ${ }_{\text {C-OH }}$ | $6 \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}}$ | 2B ${ }_{\text {C }=\mathrm{C}}$ | 2B ${ }_{\text {C-OH }}$ | 2B ${ }_{\text {C=O }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 135.4 \\ & 135.5 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 135.2 \\ & 131.6 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 69.5 \\ & 69.5 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 64.4 \\ & 63.3 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{Sanc}^{\mathrm{HO}}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 70.0 \\ & 70.0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 197.1 \\ & 196.9 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\underbrace{\circ}_{95} \mathrm{HCH}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 202.2 \\ & 202.2 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 196.2 \\ & 196.1 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 133.1 \\ & 128.4 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 131.2 \\ & 131.6 \\ & 134.9 \\ & 135.1 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 58.4 \\ & 68.1 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 196.7 \\ & 196.9 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\underbrace{\mathrm{HO}}_{97}$ |  | 70.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 212.46 \\ & 212.50 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 196.6 \\ & 196.7 \\ & 196.8 \\ & 197.0 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\mathrm{S}_{\mathbf{2}}^{\mathrm{O}}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 196.7 \\ & 203.3 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 196.3 \\ & 196.1 \end{aligned}$ |

The NMR data are consistent with the previously obtained NMR spectra of the series of dyads (B.2.2, page 53). Characteristic for the successful oxidation of the secondary alcohol groups to ketones is the downfield shift of the relevant bridgehead protons. In the case of the tetraone 95 their corresponding signals were found at 3.12 ppm ([2.2.1]) and 2.89 ppm ([2.2.2]),
respectively. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectra of the 6B-dione-2B-dione-trans-diacetate 95 are depicted in Figure 49 and Figure 50, respectively.


Figure 49: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum ( $\mathbf{3 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z ) ~ o f ~} 95$


Figure 50: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectrum ( $\mathbf{7 5 . 5} \mathrm{MHz}$ ) of 6 B -dione-2B-dione-transdiacetate 95

## B.3.1.4 Condensations

After the synthesis of the dione 79 and the tetraones 95 and 98 had been achieved, the supposedly straightforward condensations with 'diaminoporphyrin' were amongst the penultimate steps towards the synthesis of the triad donors. It turned out, however, that very harsh conditions are required to make the condensations work.

Initial attempts to react the 2B-dione-trans-diacetate 79 with 'diaminoporphyrin' at atmospheric pressure failed completely over a range of temperatures ( $25-115{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), reaction times ( $1-28 \mathrm{~d}$ ), concentrations and solvents. Steric hindrance is responsible for this stubborn lack of reactivity. The bulky acetoxy group in the exo position reduced the accessibility of the carbonyl group in comparison with the dyad systems synthesised previously (Figure 51).





## Figure 51: Comparis on of carbonyl group accessibility in dyad 28 (left) and triad donor systems 79 (right)

The oxygen-carbon-hydrogen angle for the tetraone was calculated to be $117.3^{\circ}$ (AM1 optimised). X-Ray analysis of the dione-trans-diacetate 79 gave an oxygen-carbon-hydrogen angle of $92.8^{\circ}$ for the acetate syn to the carbonyl group and $116.1^{\circ}$ for the acetate anti to the carbonyl group. As the nucleophilic attack of the amine on the carbonyl group can occur from this side only (the other side is obstructed by the methano bridge), the different accessibilities are a reasonable explanation for the unsuccessful condensation under 'normal' conditions. This point of view was supported by the successful condensation of 'neighbour group-free' model diones and 'diaminoporphyrin' under comparably mild conditions (Scheme 35).

Scheme 35: 'Neighbour group-free' condensation reactions. (a)
'diaminoporphyrin', pyridine, $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, pressure tube, $11 \mathrm{~d}, 42 \%$; (b)
'diaminoporphyrin', pyridine, $125{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, pressure tube, $12 \mathrm{~d}, 10 \%$

AM 1 calculations give $\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$ - angles of $113.6^{\circ}$ for the [2.2.2]-dione $\mathbf{8 3}$ and $131.7^{\circ}$ for the [2.2.1]-dione 87, a compound which was kindly provided by Nigel Lokan. Despite the better accessibility of the carbonyl groups in $\mathbf{8 7}$, the y ield was found to be lower than for the dione 83. Not surprisingly though, as similar differences in yields were observed for the condensations of 'diaminoporphyrin' with the 2B-dione-DMN 26 (a [2.2.2]-system) and the 6B-dione-DMN 23 (a [2.2.1]-sy stem), as well as the according tetraone 28 (B.2.3, page 60). Such differences were attributed to the slightly different geometries of [2.2.2] and [2.2.1] systems. [2.2.2] systems were found to be more suitable for the condensation with 'diaminoporphyrin' than [2.2.1]-systems. At least as long as the accessibility of the dione wasn't significantly limited by bulky neighbour groups like the ones faced in this triad donor synthesis.

A little less spacious than an acetoxy group would be a hydroxy group. Mild conditions $\left(\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ converted the acetoxy functionality back into the alcohols but once formed, the hydroxy group syn to the ketone underwent an intramolecular nucleophilic attack on the carbonyl carbon to give the hemi-acetal 101 in the basic reaction medium (Scheme 36). Carbon NMR-spectroscopy proved to be very useful for the characterisation of the product.


Scheme 36: Mild transesterification and subsequent hemi-acetal formation.
(a) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 18 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{RT}, \mathbf{3 2} \%$

The same product was found previously in an attempt to streamline the overall synthesis of the donor component. After the discovery of the 'bis-ketonisation' the one-step oxidation of the 2B-ene-trans-diol 65 to the according dione was attempted (Scheme 37). If successful, the two step acetoxy detour could have been avoided, but, as mentioned before, the hemiacetal 101 was formed under the basic modified Sharpless 'bis-ketonisation' reaction conditions as well.


Scheme 37: 'Bis-ketonisation' and subsequent hemi-acetal formation. (a) $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}(\mathbf{2} \%$ ), NMO (5 eq.), 1,4-dioxane, RT, 5d, $55 \%$

An acidic environment should ring open the hemi-acetal, but the basic reaction conditions of the following condensation reaction would reverse this process. Therefore focus moved back to the search for reaction conditions that would allow a successful condensation despite the bulky acetoxy groups in close proximity to the reacting carbonyl group.

The application of high pressure was the next approach taken. The initial step of the condensation is the formation of one tetrahedral adduct between dione and diamine, subsequently followed by the loss of two water molecules (Scheme 38).


Scheme 38: Formation of the initial tetrahedral adduct and subs equent loss of two water molecules

The formation of the tetrahedral adduct is most likely the rate determining step. As the molar volume of this tetrahedral adduct is smaller than the sum of the partial molar volumes of the two reactants, the activation volume $\Delta V^{*}$ becomes negative according to Equation 16.

$$
\Delta V^{*}=V^{*}-\Sigma V
$$

Equation 16: $\Delta V^{*}$ : activation volume; $V^{*}$ : molar volume of transition state; $\Sigma V$ : sum of partial molar volumes of reactants

If the activation volume $\Delta V^{*}$ of a liquid phase reaction is negative, the application of pressure is expected to accelerate (or enable) this reaction. The effect of pressure on the rate constant of a liquid phase reaction $(k)$ is defined by the Equation 17.

$$
\Delta V^{*}=-R T d(\ln k) / d p
$$

## Equation 17: Reaction volume - pressure - rate constant

The required high-pressure equipment was made available by Prof. David Young and operated by Annette Neuendorf at Griffith University, Queensland. His group's machine features a maximum working volume of 77 mL , pressures up to 20 kbar , and temperatures up to $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Figure 52).


Figure 52: High pressure apparatus at Griffith University, Queensland

Amongst the first model reactions carried out was the condensation of the 2B-dione-transdiacetate 79 and phenylenediamine in pyridine at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 15 kbar . This reaction was previously attempted twice for a period of 6 days at atmospheric pressure in refluxing ethanol and pyridine. Both reactions gave mostly starting material and only a small amount of the desired adduct. At high pressure, however, the desired product $\mathbf{1 0 2}$ was obtained in high y ields after three days in a pyridine solution (Table 11 (1-3)). The formation of the desired adduct was evident in the significant ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR upfield shift of the methy lene proton facing the aromatic system (Scheme 39).


Scheme 39: Condensation successful under high pressure. (a) phenylenediamine, pyridine, $40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $15 \mathrm{kbar}, 3 \mathrm{~d}, 72 \%$

Encouraged by this result the condensation with benzenetetramine (its tetrahydrochloric salt) was attempted in pyridine. At atmospheric pressure and $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ no product was isolated after 5 days. An equally disappointing result was obtained after 3 days at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 15 kbar.

Increasing temperatures, however, led to a conversion of the 2B-dione-trans-diacetate 79. Unfortunately, the product formed was not the desired one.

Under the chosen conditions (pyridine, $50-100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 15 \mathrm{kbar}, 2-3 \mathrm{~d}$ ), the reaction proceeded at the carboxyl functionality of the acetoxy group rather than at the carbonyl functionality. The resulting product was the hemi-acetal $\mathbf{1 0 1}$ (Scheme 40) that was formed previously under different reaction conditions (Scheme 36, Scheme 37).


## Scheme 40: Nucleophilic attack on acetoxy, not ketone group in pyridine at 15 kbar and high temperatures

Throughout the condensation experiments it was taken great care that the pyridine used as solvent was anhydrous. This fact excludes the possibility that a classic hydrolysis took place in the basic reaction medium. The condensation has to proceed first before water becomes available. As no condensation adduct was observed the involvement of the strong base benzenetetramine was proposed as indicated above in Scheme 40.

A mechanism for this unwanted ring-closure in anhydrous pyridine is proposed in Scheme 41. Whereas for the hydroxy group anti to the dione the keto functionality is out of reach, the hydroxy group syn to the dione can perform an intramolecular nucleophilic attack on the carbonyl carbon and form the observed ring-closed hemi-acetal 101.


Scheme 41: Proposed mechanism for loss of acetoxy groups under high pressure in anhydrous pyridine

Table 11 summarises the results obtained from the condensation between the 2B-dione-transdiacetate 79 and phenylenediamine (1-3) or benzenetetramine (4-8).

Table 11: Summary for condensations between the 2B-dione-trans-diacetate 79 and phenylenediamine ( $\mathrm{X}=\mathbf{H}$ ) or benzenetetramine ( $\mathrm{X}=\mathbf{N H}_{\mathbf{2}}$ )

| No. | $\mathbf{X}$ | Time | Solvent | T $\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}\right]$ | $\mathbf{p}[$ kbar $]$ | Result |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| 1 | H | 6 d | ethanol | 78 | 0.001 | s.m., some $\mathbf{1 0 2}$ |
| 2 | H | 3 d | pyridine | 115 | 0.001 | s.m., some $\mathbf{1 0 2}$ |
| 3 | H | 3 d | pyridine | 40 | 15 | Yield: $72 \%(\mathbf{1 0 2})$ |
| 4 | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | 5 d | pyridine | 80 | 0.001 | s.m., no product |
| 5 | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | 3 d | pyridine | 80 | 5 | s.m., no product |
| 6 | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | 3 d | pyridine | 25 | 15 | s.m., no product |
| 7 | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | 3 d | pyridine | 50 | 15 | s.m., some $\mathbf{1 0 1}$ |
| 8 | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | 2 d | pyridine | 100 | 15 | mostly $\mathbf{1 0 1}$ |

Whereas the reaction with benzenetetramine did not proceed in the desired way, the condensation with the weaker base phenylenediamine did under comparable reaction
conditions. This fact gave rise to the prediction that the reaction with 'diaminoporphyrin' 153, a weaker base too, would proceed at the carbonyl groups (Scheme 42) and give the condensation product $\mathbf{1 0 3}$.


Scheme 42: Envisaged reaction of the 2B-dione-trans-diacetate 79 with the weak er base 'diaminoporphyrin' 153

Numerous experiments were carried out and Table 12 summarises the results obtained for condensations at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, variable pressure and two different solvents.

Table 12: Summary for condensations between 2B-dione-trans-diacetate 79 and 'diaminoporphyrin'

| No. | Time | Solvent | T $\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}\right]$ | $\mathbf{p}[\mathbf{k}$ bar $]$ | Result |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| 1 | 21 d | pyridine | 80 | 0.001 | s.m., no product |
| 2 | 3 d | pyridine | 80 | 5 | s.m., no product |
| 3 | 3 d | pyridine | 80 | 15 | Yield: $49.6 \%(\mathbf{1 0 4})$ |
| 4 | 3 d | DCM | 80 | 15 | Yield: $63.0 \%(\mathbf{1 0 3})$ |

Neither at atmospheric pressure nor at 5 kbar products were formed. After increasing the pressure to 15 kbar , the 2B-porphyrin-trans-diol $\mathbf{1 0 4}$, not the sought after diacetate $\mathbf{1 0 3}$, was isolated in $50 \%$ y ield (Scheme 43).


Scheme 43: Loss of acetoxy groups in pyridine. (a) 'Diaminoporphyrin', pyridine, $15 \mathrm{kbar}, 8{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~d}, 50$ \%

With the condensation being successful the solvent ceased to be anhy drous and the hy drolysis of the diacetate proceeded with the base pyridine present. The mechanism is given in Scheme 44. The depicted mono-hydrolysed 2B-porphyrin-trans-ol-acetate $\mathbf{1 0 5}$ was isolated as a side-product in this reaction.


Scheme 44: Hydrolysis of 2B-porphyrin-trans-diacetate 95 in pyridine. Double hydrolysis results in the formation of the main product 2B-porphyrin-trans-diol 96

The isolation of the mono-hydroxy derivative $\mathbf{1 0 5}$ excludes the presence of excess water and may be seen as evidence for the anhydrous nature of the pyridine used for the condensation reactions. The NMR spectra revealed the presence of one acetoxy group only and the MALDI mass spectrum confirmed the findings. Only one peak at $\mathrm{M}^{+}=1466$ was observed which is in accordance with the calculated molecular weight ( $\mathrm{MW}=1466$ ) of the 2B-porphyrin-trans-olacetate 105. Its ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum is depicted in Figure 53.


Figure 53: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectrum ( $\mathbf{7 5 . 5} \mathbf{~ M H z ) ~ o f ~ t h e ~ m o n o - h y d r o l i s e d ~ 2 B - ~}$ porphyrin-trans-ol-acetate 105

The key signals of the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectrum are the ones for the carboxyl carbon of the acetoxy group at 170.8 ppm , the $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ adjacent to the acetoxy group at 66.7 ppm , and the carbon signal for the primary alcohol at 64.4 ppm .

The loss of one or both acetoxy groups at this stage was certainly not intended, but it didn't comprise a problem either as it consequently saved the removal of the acetoxy groups in the next synthetic step. The use of molecular sieves might have circumvented the hydrolysis in pyridine but was not attempted. Instead the solvent was changed.

Whereas pyridine is required as a solvent for the condensations with benzenetetramine (to generate the free base from its tetrahy drochloric salt, $\mathbf{B} .2 .3$ ), it is not essential for the reaction with 'diaminoporphyrin'. And indeed, a change of the solvent to dichloromethane kept the acetoxy groups intact, simplified the work-up procedure and yielded the 2B-porphyrin-transdiacetate $\mathbf{1 0 3}$ in $63 \%$.

The successful condensation with 'diaminoporphyrin' is easily proven by the strong upfield shift of the methylene proton resonance. The chemical shifts for the protons facing the aromatic system were found at $-0.51 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathbf{1 0 3})$ and $-0.43 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathbf{1 0 4})$, respectively (Figure 54).



Figure 54: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR chemical shifts of the methylene-bridge protons for the

## 2B-porphyrin-trans-diacetate 103 and the 2B-porphyrin-trans-diol 104

The application of high pressure was obviously a good approach to circumvent the lack of reactivity. The optimised high-pressure conditions $\left(80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 15 \mathrm{kbar}, 3\right.$ days, and high concentrations in either pyridine or dichloromethane) were then applied to the tetraones 95 (non-ring-expanded) and 98 (ring-exp anded), respectively.

The condensation proceeded at the more accessible 6B-dione first. The water released by this reaction led expectedly to the hydrolysis of the acetoxy groups in pyridine (see mechanism above, Scheme 44). Unfortunately the hydroxy group syn to the 2B-dione attacked the carbonyl group internally to form the corresponding hemi-acetal before a second 'diaminoporphyrin' could undergo condensation. As a consequence in pyridine, only the 6B-mono-adducts $\mathbf{1 0 6}$ (non-ring-expanded) and 107 (ring-exp anded) were isolated (Scheme 45).


Scheme 45: 6B-mono-condensations only between 'diaminoporphyrin' 153 and tetraones 95 and 98 in pyridine. (a) $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 15 \mathrm{kbar}, \mathbf{3 d , 2 2 \%}$ (106),25 \% (107)

The hemi-acetals $\mathbf{1 0 6}$ and $\mathbf{1 0 7}$ were identified by NMR spectroscopy. The loss of the acetoxy groups was obvious from the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectra. Integration confirmed the attachment of one porphyrin only. Evidence for the ketone, hemi-acetal and alcohol was easily derived from the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectra. Relevant chemical shifts are given in Figure 55.


Figure 55: Selected ${ }^{13}$ C-NMR chemical shifts for the 6B-porphyrin-hemiacetals 106 and 107 formed in pyridine

Under the same conditions, but in dichloromethane, the non-ring-expanded tetraone 95 yielded the 6B-porphyrin-2B-dione-trans-diacetate $\mathbf{1 0 8}$ as well as the bis-porphyrin-transdiacetate 109 (Scheme 46). The fact that no 6B-dione-2B-porphyrin-trans-diacetate was detected supported the earlier expressed view that the 6B-dione is more accessible and the
condensation therefore proceeds at this end of the molecule first. Again, a change of solvent kept the acetoxy groups intact and enabled the attachment of a second porphyrin moiety.


Scheme 46: Condensation between the non-ring-expanded tetraone 89 and
'diaminoporphyrin' in dichloromethane. (a) 'Diaminoporphyrin', $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 15$ kbar, 3 d, 35 \% (108), 9 \% (109)

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum for the 6B-porphyrin-2B-dione-trans-diacetate $\mathbf{1 0 8}$ showed a doublet for each methy lene-bridge proton at -0.76 ppm and $0.16 \mathrm{ppm}(J=14.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$. The methylenebridge protons of the bis-porphyrin adduct $\mathbf{1 0 9}$ were observed at -0.96 ppm and -0.69 ppm $(J=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$, respectively. The inner protons of the porphyrin moieties gave a singlet at -2.60 ppm . Compared to the 6B-porphyrin-2B-dione-trans-diacetate $\mathbf{1 0 8}(-2.34 \mathrm{ppm})$ and the 2B-porphyrin-trans-diacetate $\mathbf{1 0 3}$ ( -2.38 ppm ) the signals were significantly shifted upfield, proving some degree of interaction and therefore the desired 'special pair' character of the bisadduct 109. Its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum is given in the following Figure 56.


Figure 56: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum $(\mathbf{3 0 0} \mathbf{M H z})$ of bis-porphyrin-trans-diacetate 109

In agreement with the calculated molecular weights, the molecular peaks in the MALDI mass spectra for both compounds were observed at $\mathrm{MH}^{+}=1602(\mathbf{1 0 8})$ and $\mathrm{MH}^{+}=2763(\mathbf{1 0 9 )}$, respectively. Figure 57 shows both spectra combined.


Figure 57: MALDI mass spectra of 6B-porphyrin-2B-dione-trans-diacetate 108 and bis-porphyrin-trans-diacetate 109

With the successful synthesis of these giant systems it has been shown that the application of high pressure and the optimisation of other parameters such as temperature, reaction time, concentration, and solvent finally results in the desired condensation products in reasonable y ields.

The condensation of the ring-expanded tetraone $\mathbf{9 8}$ with 'diaminoporphyrin' has not been repeated in dichloromethane yet. However, the concept successfully applied to the non-ringexpanded tetraone 95 is expected to give the kinked bis-porphyrin-trans-diacetate with equally good results.

A summary of the findings obtained from the high-p ressure work included in this thesis is given in Scheme 47.





Diam inoP: 'diam inoporphyrin'

## Scheme 47: Summary of high-pressure findings

To enable attachment of the bridge-acceptor component via Diels-Alder methodology the acetoxy groups had to be converted into 1,3 -exocyclic dienes. They can then act as an enophile in a Diels-Alder reaction. The applied procedure for the conversion, first developed by Butler and Snow ${ }^{177}$ is described in the following section B.3.1.5 'From Acetates to Exocy clic Dienes'.

## B3.1.5 From Acetates to Exocyclic Dienes

The condensation of the 2B-dione-trans-diacetate 79 and 'diaminoporphyrin' 153 in dichloromethane left the acetoxy groups intact. Mild conditions were applied $\left(\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{MeOH}\right)$ to convert the acetoxy groups into the primary alcohols (Scheme 48 (a)). The conversion of the alcohols into tosy lates proceeded in pyridine with tosyl chloride at $-18^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over a period of 7 days (Scheme 48 (b)). Before the de-tosylation with potassium tert-butoxide in
dimethy lformamide (DMF) (Scheme 48 (d)) was carried out, the free-base porphyrin 103 was metallated with zinc(II) acetate in methanol and dichloromethane (Scheme 48 (c)). All synthetic steps gave high to very high yields.


Scheme 48: From acetate to diene. (a) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{THF}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, RT, 18 h , 60 \% ; (b) Ts Cl, pyridine, DCM, freezer, 7 d, $99 \%$; (c) $\mathrm{ZnOAc}, \mathrm{MeOH}$, DCM, RT, $18 \mathrm{~h}, 93$ \% ; (d) KO ${ }^{t}$ Bu, DMF, RT, 3 h, 93 \%

With the removal of the trans-tosyl groups (Scheme 48 (d)) $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{S}}$-symmetry returned and obtained NMR spectra were significantly simplified. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{1 1 2}$ is given in Figure 58.


Figure 58: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum ( $\mathbf{3 0 0} \mathbf{M H z \text { ) of 2B-porphyrin-dimethylidene } 1 1 2}$

No resonances for the inner $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ porphyrin protons were found, proving that the zinc is stable to the basic tosylation and dehydrotosylation reaction conditions. The two norbornane doublets close to the giant signal for 72 tert-butyl protons at 1.48 ppm and 1.26 ppm , respectively, were assigned with the assistance of a COSY experiment. Aside from being coupled to each other, the two protons at $1.48 \mathrm{ppm} \operatorname{syn}$ to the methano bridge couple strongly with the bridge head protons at 2.29 ppm . The two protons trans to the methano bridge show a weak W-type coupling with the methy lene proton facing the porphyrin moiety at -0.37 ppm (Figure 59).


Figure 59: Vicinal and long-range ( $\mathbf{W}$-type) couplings toassistassignment of signals hidden under the giant tert-butyl signals of 2B-porphyrindimethylidene 112

The assignment of signals in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{1 1 2}$ was assisted by DEPT 135 and DEPT90 experiments. The olefinic ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ signals, further evidence for the successful synthesis of the exocyclic dienes were found at $143.2 \mathrm{ppm}\left(2 \underline{\mathrm{C}}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ and $107.8 \mathrm{ppm}\left(2=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, respectively. The spectrum is given in Figure 60. The MALDI mass spectrum showed a peak at $\mathrm{MH}^{+}=1452$, which corresponds to the molecular weight of $\mathbf{1 1 2}(\mathrm{MW}=1451.4)$.


Figure 60: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectrum $(75.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$ of the 2 B -porphyrin-dimethylidene 112

With the successful conversion of the 2B-porphyrin-trans-diacetate $\mathbf{1 0 3}$ into the 2B-porphyrin-dimethylidene $\mathbf{1 1 2}$ the synthesis of the first triad donor component had been completed and the synthetic path was established for the non-kinked 'special pair'-transdiacetate $\mathbf{1 0 9}$ and its kinked analogue to follow.

Once synthesised, such donor components with exocyclic dienes are predestined to act as enophiles in the Diels-Alder reaction with the bridge-acceptor component. The synthesis of the norborny logous bridge, the acceptor precursor, and the linkage of the two to give the bridge-acceptor dienophile is described in the sections below (B.3.2, B.3.3, and B.3.4).

## B.3.2 Synthesis of the Bridge

For the reasons given in section A.6.4, page 41 the type of bridge chosen was a 'norbornylogous' bridge, six $\sigma$-bonds in length. One side of the bridge had to consist of a protected dieneophile for the attachment of the above-described donor components. The other side had to connect via an exocyclic-1,3-diene to the acceptor precursor, a benzoquinone derivative (Figure 61).


Figure 61: Norbornylogous bridge designed for the attachment of donor and acceptor moieties via Diels-Alder methodology

The synthesis of this bridge is outlined in $\mathbf{S c h e m e} 49$. Steps (a) to (g) followed a procedure developed by Antolovich et al. ${ }^{165,183}$ The reaction of benzoquinone with cy clopentadiene gave the Diels-Alder adduct $\mathbf{1 1 3}^{184,185}$, which was converted into the known 5,8-dimethoxybenzonorbornadiene $\mathbf{1 1 4}^{186,187}$ by treatment with sodium hydride and methyl iodide (Scheme 49 (b)). The norbornane framework was extended using tandem Mitsudo-Smith methodology. ${ }^{188-190}$ The $\mathrm{RuH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{3}{ }^{191}$ - catalysed $\left[\pi_{2}+{ }_{\pi} 2_{\mathrm{s}}\right.$ ] thermal cycloaddition of DMAD and 114 (Scheme 49 (c)) y ielded the diester 115, which underwent a thermal $\left[n_{2} 2_{s}+\right.$ ${ }_{\sigma} 2_{s}+{ }_{\sigma} 2_{\mathrm{s}}$ ] cycloaddition reaction with quadricyclane (Scheme 49 (d)). This methodology is well established within our group. $43,118,148,170,183,192,193$


Scheme 49: Synthesis of the bridge component. (a) $\mathrm{EtOH}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}, 61 \%$;(b) 1) $\mathbf{N a H}, 2$ ) MeI, THF, RT, $18 \mathrm{~h}, 52$ \% ; (c) DMAD, $\mathrm{RuH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{3}{ }^{191}$, toluene, reflux, $24 \mathrm{~h}, 45 \%$; (d) quadricyclane, toluene, reflux, $6 \mathrm{~d}, 75 \%$;(e)
$\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$, THF, reflux, $20 \mathrm{~h}, 87 \%$; (f) Mesyl chloride, pyridine, freezer, 70 h ; (g) $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$, THF, reflux, $3 \mathrm{~d}, 52 \%$; (h) - (k) see Scheme 50, page 118

A $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ reduction (Scheme 49 (e)), bismesylation (Scheme 49 (f)) and another $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ reduction (Scheme 49 (g)) converted the ester into methyl groups in $45 \%$ yield. An incomplete bismesylation reaction followed by the $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ reduction led to the monohy droxymethyl-mono-methyl derivative 124 (Figure 62).


Figure 62: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ chemical shifts of the mono-hydroxymethyl-mono-methyl side product 124

For the conversion of the norbornene-system into the exocyclic 1,3-diene $\mathbf{1 2 3}$, methods developed by Stille et al. ${ }^{194,195}$ as well as Butler and Snow ${ }^{177}$ were applied. The application of their methodologies to norborny logous systems such as $\mathbf{1 1 9}$ is well established within our group (Scheme 50). ${ }^{193,196,197}$


Scheme 50: Synthesis of the bridge component, part 2. (a) $\mathbf{C u C l}_{2}, \mathbf{N a O A c}$, CO, Pd/C, MeOH, THF, RT, $97 \mathrm{~h}, 63$ \% ; (b) $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$, THF, reflux, 15 h , 98 \% ; (c) tos yl chloride, pyridine, freezer, 6 d, 66 \% ; (d) KO ${ }^{t}$ Bu,DMF, RT, 18 h, 91 \%

First synthetic step of the conversion is the palladium-catalysed bismethoxycarbonylation with carbon monoxide and methanol (Scheme 50 (a)), that Stille and coworkers have reported for a number of olefins ${ }^{194}$, including norbornene ${ }^{195}$. Originally catalytic amounts of palladium(II) chloride and a stoichiometric amount of copper(II) chloride at 2-3 atm were employed. Later increased amounts of copper(II) chloride were found to improve yields. Further improvements to the reaction were achieved by replacing palladium(II) chloride with $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$, as suggested by Vogel et al.. ${ }^{198}$ Yamada et al. ${ }^{199}$ reported the bismethoxycarbonylation of the endo-anhydride of norbornene in high yields and at atmospheric pressure. These improved reaction conditions were applied by Kate Joliffe ${ }^{197}$ to the bismethoxy carbonylation of the DMB-6B-ene 119. Due to poor solubility in methanol, THF was used as a co-solvent. The mechanism proposed by Kate Joliffe ${ }^{195,197}$ for this reaction in shown in Scheme 51.




Scheme 51: Proposed mechanism for Pd-catalysed bis methoxycarbonylation

In an initial step a palladium(II) species forms a $\pi$-complex with the double bond. As a result of electronic and torsional factors the complexation occurs on the exo-face only. Carbon monoxide and a methoxy group then complex to the palladium. Insertion of this CO-ligand into the Pd-methoxy bond gives the acetoxy-substituted complex. This acetoxy ligand then inserts into the dative olefin-palladium bond to give the $\sigma$-bonded palladium complex. A second complexation of carbon monoxide and a methoxy group followed by insertions result in the formation of the cis-diester $\mathbf{1 2 0}$ as well as $\mathrm{Pd}^{0}$, which is re-oxidised by copper(II) chloride. The preserved $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{S}}$-sy mmetry was evident in the simplicity of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum. The doublet with a coupling constant of 2.1 Hz observed for the methylene bridge protons is typical of long-range W-type coupling and proved the exo-nature of the bismethoxy carbony lation (Figure 63).


Figure 63: W-type coupling of endo-protons with one methylene bridge proton in 120

The conversion of the cis-diester $\mathbf{1 2 0}$ into the exocy clic 1,3-diene $\mathbf{1 2 3}$ followed the Butler and Snow methodology ${ }^{177}$ as applied in the triad donor synthesis (B.3.1.3, page 93). Reduction of the diester $\mathbf{1 2 0}$ with lithium aluminium hydride in THF yielded the diol $\mathbf{1 2 1}$ in $98 \%$. Cis-
diols are known to form furan derivatives in reactions with tosyl chloride under basic conditions. By using five equivalents of tosyl chloride at $-23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ this side reaction was avoided and the known cis-ditosylate $122{ }^{193}$ was obtained (Scheme 52).


Scheme 52: (a) Tosyl chloride, pyridine, freezer, 6 d, 66 \% ; (b) KO'Bu,DMF, RT, $18 \mathrm{~h}, 91$ \%

Detosylation with potassium tert-butoxide in DMF gave the desired DMB-6B-exocy clic-1,3diene $\mathbf{1 2 3}$ in $91 \%$ yield. In principle a whole variety of dienophiles can be attached to this diene, making the component-wise synthesis of the trichromophores the sought-after versatile method. In this thesis the following precursor of an electron acceptor was the only dienophile attached.

## B.3.3 Synthesis of the Acceptor Precursor

The synthesis of known $p$-benzoquinonenorbornane $\mathbf{1 2 9}^{200}$ as the acceptor precursor was carried out using well-established literature procedures.


Scheme 53: Synthesis of acceptor precursor. (a) benzoquinone, 1,3cyclohexadiene, EtOH, $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2.5 \mathrm{~h}, 57 \%$; (b) NaH, THF, MeI, RT, 64 h, $64 \%$; (c) Pd/C, H2, EA, RT, $10 \mathrm{~h}, 95 \%$; (d) BBr ${ }_{3}, \mathrm{DCM}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{RT}, 20 \mathrm{~h}$, $88 \%$; (e) $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{MgSO}_{4}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{RT}, 3.5 \mathrm{~h}, 79 \%$

The Diels-Alder cy cloaddition of 1,3-cy clohexadiene to $p$-benzoquinone ${ }^{184}$ (Scheme 53 (a)) gave the adduct $\mathbf{1 2 5}$, which was converted into the dimethoxybenzene derivative $\mathbf{1 2 6}$ using sodium hydride followed by methyl iodide in anhydrous THF (Scheme 53 (b)). Catalytic hy drogenation ( $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ ) (Scheme 53 (c)) gave the saturated adduct $\mathbf{1 2 7}$, which was treated with boron tribromide in anhydrous dichloromethane (Scheme 53 (d)) to give the hydroquinone 128. ${ }^{43,113,166,167,201}$ The desired acceptor precursor 129 was obtained in high yield through silver(I) oxide oxidation ${ }^{200,202-205}$ under anhydrous and inert atmospheric conditions (Scheme 53 (e)).

## B.3.4 Assembling the Triads

With the acceptor precursor $\mathbf{1 2 9}$, the bridge $\mathbf{1 2 3}$, and at least the 2B-porphyrin-dimethylidene 112 as donor component in hand, the ingredients were synthesised to assemble the first giant trichromophoric target molecule. Diels-Alder methodology was to be used to fuse the different components together. Donor and bridge feature exocyclic 1,3-dienes. The acceptor precursor consists of a 1,4-benzoquinone as a suitable dienophile. The dimethoxybenzene end of the bridge can be seen as a protected benzoquinone, which can undergo a cycloaddition after deprotection (Scheme 54).


$\mid 4$ steps


Scheme 54: Assembling the triads using Diels-Alder methodology

Initial steps included the Diels-Alder cycloaddition of the bridge $\mathbf{1 2 3}$ and the acceptor precursor $\mathbf{1 2 9}$ in 5M lithium perchlorate-diethyl ether ${ }^{193,206}$, the aromatisation of the DielsAlder adduct 130 with 2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicy ano-1,4-benzoquinone (DDQ) and the subsequent
conversion of the naphthoquinone (NQ) derivative $\mathbf{1 3 1}$ into the tetracy anonaphthoquinodimethane (TCNQ) system 132 (Scheme 55).


Scheme 55: Fusing bridge and acceptor. (a) 5M ethereal $\mathrm{LiClO}_{4}$, RT, 3 d , 98 \% ; (b) DDQ, 1,4-dioxane, reflux, 48 h, 66 \% ; (c) malononitrile, pyridine, $\mathbf{T i C l}_{4}$, DCM, reflux, 15 minutes, 88 \%

The yields obtained for all three steps were satisfy ing and in accordance with those reported previously by Daniel Rothenfluh ${ }^{169}$. The high yields repeatedly achieved for the $\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}{ }^{-}$ catalysed Knoevenagel reaction ${ }^{164,207,208}$ were particularly pleasing. Oxidative cleavage of the methyl ethers with silver(II) oxide gave the according 1,4-benzoquinone 133 169,209 (Scheme 56).


Scheme 56: Deprotection of dimethoxybenzene. (a) AgO, 6M HNO 3 , THF, RT, 15 min, 69 \%

With the completed synthesis of both the 2B-porphyrin-dimethylidene $\mathbf{1 1 2}$ as the first donor component and the bridge-acceptor block 133, the stage was set for the final two steps, a Diels-Alder cy cloaddition and a subsequent aromatisation, in the 48-step synthesis of the 2B-porphyrin-NQ-TCNQ triad 135 (Scheme 57).



Scheme 57: Final two steps of 2B-porphyrin-NQ-TCNQ triad 135
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$, and MALDI mass spectra indicate a successful linkage of the two giant components and their subsequent aromatisation. However, the purity of the isolated
compounds did not meet standards. Repeated column chromatography and size-exclusion chromatography to separate the s-shaped syn-isomer from the u-shaped anti-isomer or their mixture from other impurities failed to lead to satisfying results. If the observed NMR spectra would be comp lex due to the mixture of the two isomers only, the MALDI mass spectrum of the same sample would have shown just one signal but did not (Figure 64). However, a peak at $\mathrm{MH}^{+}=2075$ was assigned.


Figure 64: MALDI mass spectra obtained for the proposed 2B-porphyrin-NQ-TCNQ triad 135

This peak is in reasonable accordance with the calculated molecular weight of the giant trichromophore 135 (MW = $2072.1 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$ ). Its spiky nature allows for discussion of accuracy $( \pm 1)$ in the peak assignment and the detected species could well be $(\mathbf{1 3 5}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$or even $(\mathbf{1 3 5}+2 \mathrm{H})^{+}$. An incomplete aromatisation with 2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicyano-1,4-benzoquinone (DDQ) would lead to a compound with a molecular weight of $2074.1 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$ for a perfect match. However, I do not consider this likely with the excess DDQ used for the aromatisation.

Further evidence for a successful linkage can be found in the NMR spectra. They showed neither the methylidene protons or the olefinic carbons of the 2B-porphyrin donor component nor the aromatic protons of the benzoquinone unit of the bridge-accep tor block, but displayed proton signals at chemical shifts as anticipated for the 2B-porphyrin-NQ-TCNQ triad 135 (Figure 65).


Figure 65: Anticipated ${ }^{1} H-N M R$ shifts of the proposed 2B-porphyrin-NQTCNQ triad 135 (pictured: 135-syn)

The proton signal of the central naphthoquinone unit, expected at $\sim 7.8 \mathrm{ppm}$, was not clearly identified due to the proton resonances of the aromatic porphyrin substituents exhibiting a similar chemical shift. All other anticipated chemical shifts given in Figure 65 were found in the average spectrum. More convincing evidence was derived from the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectrum, which is shown in Figure 66.

Unfortunately the carbon resonance of the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ group of the naphthoquinone was not observed, despite 40,000 scans. Selected ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR shifts extracted from the above-p ictured spectrum are given in Figure 67. Further purification/separation attempts to obtain a better ${ }^{1}$ H-NMR spectrum led to even smaller amounts of triad $\mathbf{1 3 5}$, making the acquisition of good quality ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectra an even more difficult task.


Figure 66: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectrum $(75.5 \mathrm{MHz})$ of the proposed 2B-porphyrin-NQ-TCNQ triad 135


Figure 67: Selected ${ }^{13}$ C-NMR chemical shifts of the proposed 2B-porphyrin-NQ-TCNQ triad 135 (pictured: 135-syn)

The observations described above are the results of two experiments each performed under equal Diels-Alder and aromatisation conditions. The conditions chosen were similar to the ones successfully applied to related systems. ${ }^{169,210}$ In order to investigate the difficulties experienced, more 2B-porphyrin-dimethy lidene $\mathbf{1 1 2}$ is required. Access to the high-pressure equipment in Queensland will give more 2B-porphyrin-trans-diacetate $\mathbf{1 0 3}$ and subsequently the starting material 112. As an alternative to the usage of 2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicyano-1,4benzoquinone (DDQ) as the aromatising agent, an excess of the quinone ${ }^{210}$ or lead dioxide ${ }^{196}$ should be attempted. A change of the reaction procedure might reduce the amount of impurities and subsequently minimise purification problems.

In summary, the characterisation of the 2B-porphyrin-NQ-TCNQ target 135 was not satisfactory despite considerable purification/separation efforts. However, data have been presented that strongly indicate the successful synthesis of the first giant trichromophore. A methodology for the synthesis of the series of trichromophoric systems has been developed with only the last step requiring optimisation.

For a more comprehensive summary of the achievements on the synthesis of a series of dyads and triads see chapter C 'Summary \& Conclusion', starting page 139. The following section B. 4 describes the scope of a small side project that outlines the synthetic efforts towards an all-trans ring-expanded dyad.

## B. 4 Synthesis of an all-trans Ring-EXPANDED Dyad

The dyad described in this section was initially not part of the overall concept of the series of bichromophoric and trichromophoric targets. Nevertheless it comprises another systematic addition to target pool. Instead of a cisoid linkage of the porphyrin chromophores to the bridge, the porphyrin in this additional target is connected in an all-trans arrangement (Figure 68). A norborny logous bridge, six $\sigma$-bonds in length, separates the porphyrin donor from the electron acceptor TCNQ.



Figure 68: 6B-porphyrin-TCNQ 46 and the comparable all-trans-analogues

In an all-trans-arrangement through-bond (TB) coupling is maximised ${ }^{115,211}$ and electrontransfer rates are expected to be higher than in equivalent systems possessing cis-linkages. The prediction has received experimental verification ${ }^{44,212}$ and according results are expected for the comparison of the 6B-p orphyrin-TCNQ 46 and the all-trans 6B-porphyrin-TCNQ136, which has been synthesised previously within the Paddon-Row group.

More interesting could be the comparison of this previously synthesised dyad with the new all-trans ring-expanded 6B-porphyrin-TCNQ target 137. Experimental verification of the (relative) parity rule ${ }^{10,211,213,214}$ and, in this context, further insight into the influence of constructive and destructive interference on the ET process is sought after.

For the electron transfer process through a norborny logous bridge, six $\sigma$-bonds in length, a range of different pathways exist. Each of them is weighted differently regarding its contribution to the overall coupling between the donor (porphyrin) and the acceptor (TCNQ). Figure 69 shows the most important pathways through such a bridge. Pathways of the same
parity thereby lead to constructive interference, different parity results in destructive effects on the overall coupling and consequently the electron-transfer rate.

Main Relay Pathways (2)

Cross-Talk Pathways (12) Cross-Talk Pathways (12)







Figure 69: Electron transfer pathways for the non-ring-expanded (left) and ring-expanded norbornylogous bridge

For the non-ring-expanded bridge (Figure 69 left) the two main relays work constructively but all other cross-link and cross-talk pathways contribute destructively towards the overall coupling. The main relays of the ring-expanded bridge (six or seven $\sigma$-bonds in length) interfere destructively but nine cross-link and cross-talk pathways shown (Figure 69 right) contribute constructively towards the six bond main relay.

To experimentally probe the influence of constructive and destructive interference is the motive behind the synthesis of the ring-expanded target. The addition of a double ringexpanded species, incorporating a so-called 'superbridge', ${ }^{214}$ would nicely complete the series in the future (Figure 70). In a superbridge the main relays are of the same parity (here six or eight $\sigma$-bonds in length) and so are all cross-link and cross-talk pathways of the type shown in Figure 69.


Figure 70: Constructive interference to a large extent: a 'superbridge'!

The attempted synthesis of the all-trans mono-ring-exp anded 6B-porphyrin-TCNQ dyad 137 started with the known diester-DMN system 138. ${ }^{165,183}$ Following our standard procedures, the ester groups were converted into methyl groups via the respective hydroxymethyl and mesyloxymethyl groups (Scheme 58 (a) - (c)).43,118,148,170, 183,192,193

The ring-expansion of $\mathbf{1 4 1}$ with in-situ generated dichlorocarbene (Scheme 58 (d)) gave similar yields to those found for the ring-expansion of the dyad and triad carbon backbone (Section B.2.1, page 51).

The reductive dechlorination of $\mathbf{1 4 2}$ with sodium (Scheme 58 (e)) led to de-aromatisation of the dimethoxynaphthalene unit in $\mathbf{1 4 3}$, which was subsequently re-aromatised with DDQ (Scheme 58 (f)).


Scheme 58: Synthesis of the all-trans ring-expanded 6B-porphyrin-DMN 147.
(a) $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$, THF , reflux, $18 \mathrm{~h}, 98 \%$; (b) $\mathbf{M s C l}$, pyridine, $-18{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~d}, 76 \%$;
(c) $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}, \mathrm{THF}$, reflux, 2 d, $51 \%$; (d) $\mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{CCO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}, \mathrm{NaOMe}, \mathrm{PE}, \mathrm{RT}, 3$ d, 33 \% ; (e) Na, i-PrOH, THF, reflux, 1 day, 54 \% ; (f) DDQ, DCM, RT, 22 h, $82 \%$; (g) OsO ${ }_{4}$, NMO, 1,4-dioxane, RT, 2 d, $55 \%$; (h) TEMPO reagent, $p$ toluenes ulfonic acid, DCM, RT, 3 d, 42 \% ; (i) 'diaminoporphyrin', pyridine, $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5 \mathrm{k}$ bar, 1 day, 12 \%

The successful ring expansion, reductive dechlorination and aromatisation are evident in the NMR spectra of the all-trans ring-expanded 6B-ene-DMN 144. The ${ }^{1}$ H-NMR spectrum showed two distinct singlets for the methyl groups at 0.89 ppm and 0.91 ppm as well as two singlets for the methoxy groups at 3.98 ppm and 3.99 ppm , respectively. The olefinic protons show as a doublet of triplets at 5.31 ppm (next to $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ring-expansion) and a doublet of doublets at 5.87 ppm. Selected ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ chemical shifts are given in Figure 71.


Figure 71: Selected ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$-NMR data of the all-trans ring-expanded 6B-eneDMN 144

The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectrum (Figure 72) displayed the olefinic carbon signals at 134.8 ppm and 124.6 ppm (next to $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ring-expansion), respectively. The quaternary carbon atoms of the bridge showed at 44.0 ppm and 43.3 ppm , allowing no doubt in the success of the reaction sequence.


Figure 72: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectrum $(75.5 \mathrm{MHz})$ of the all-trans ring expanded6B-ene-DMN 144

The Sharpless bis-hydroxylation on the all-trans ring-expanded 6B-ene-DMN 144 (Scheme 58 (g)) gave the corresponding diol 145 and subsequent TEMPO reaction (Scheme 58 (h)) afforded the all-trans ring-expanded 6B-dione-DMN system 146.

The condensation with 'diaminoporphyrin' was carried out in pyridine at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 5 kbar (Scheme 58 (i)). After 1 day the sought after all-trans ring-expanded 6B-p orphy rin-DMN 147 was isolated in $12 \%$ yield and characterised by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectroscopy (Figure 73).


Figure 73: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum ( $\mathbf{3 0 0} \mathbf{M H z ) ~ o f ~ t h e ~ r i n g - e x p a n d e d ~ a l l - t r a n s - 6 B - ~}$ porphyrin-DMN 147

Due to the kinked nature of the condensation adduct the two quinoxaline protons showed as two singlets at 8.58 ppm and 8.52 ppm , respectively. Two distinct singlets were also found for the methoxy ( 3.98 ppm and 3.95 ppm ) and methyl signals ( 1.18 ppm and 1.08 ppm ). As the condensation was carried out on a small scale, only 3 mg of the all-trans dyad 147 were obtained, not enough to run a ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ experiment within a reasonable time, but enough to obtain a MALDI mass spectrum (Figure 74). It is in good agreement with the calculated molecular weight of $\mathbf{1 4 7}(\mathrm{MW}=1602.3 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol})$.


Figure 74: MALDI mass spectrum of the all-trans ring-expanded 6B-porphyrin-DMN 147

Due to the small amount of $\mathbf{1 4 7}$ the conversion of the DMN unit into the strong electron acceptor TCNQ was not pursued any further. It is expected that the procedure successfully applied to the series of dyads (B.2.4, page 69) will be equally applicable to the all-transsystem.

## B. 5 Synthesis of the 'Diaminoporphyrin'

The synthesis of known 5,10,15,20-tetrakis-(3,5-di-tert-butylphenyl)- $2^{3}$ - $2^{4}$-diamino-quinoxalino[2,3-b]porphyrin ('diaminoporphyrin') 153 followed the methodology partly developed by Crossley et al. ${ }^{215-218}$ Outlined in Scheme 59 is the synthesis of the precursor 5,10,15,20-tetrakis-(3,5-di-tert-butylphenyl)porphyrin-2,3-dione ('porphyrindione') 152, which undergoes a condensation reaction with benzenetetramine to give the sought after 'diaminoporphyrin' 153


Scheme 59: Synthesis of porphyrindione. (g) $\mathbf{N O}_{2}$, light petroleum, RT; (h)
$\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}, \mathrm{RT}, 78 \%$; (i) $\mathrm{SnCl}_{2}, \mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{DCM}, \mathrm{RT}, 2 \mathrm{~d}, 76 \%$; (j) $\mathrm{O}_{2}$, hv, RT, 6d, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(\mathbf{3 M}), 2 \mathrm{hr}, 86 \%$

Steps (Scheme 59 (a)) to (Scheme 59 (f) were carried out by Stephen R. Davies. The yields he obtained were in accordance with the above mentioned references. The nitration of 148 (g) followed by de-metallation (Scheme 59 (h)) with concentrated sulfuric acid yielded the 2-nitro-p orphyrin 150 in 78 \% yield. The reduction (Scheme 59 (i)) to the 2-amino-derivative 151 occurred with Tin(II)chloride in 76 \% yield. The photo-oxidation (Scheme 59 (j)) was carried out in dichloromethane, using the sun as the source of light and atmospheric oxy gen as the oxidant. After six days sulfuric acid ( 3 M ) was added to hydroly se the intermediate imino-
oxo-porphyrin (Scheme 60) to give the 'porphyrindione' 152. Yields for the two-step reaction were improved to $86 \%$.

The photo-oxidation reaction proceeds via the formation of singlet oxygen. Singlet oxygen is produced by energy transfer from an excited sensitiser to ground state oxy gen. ${ }^{219}$ Porphyrins, especially free-base porphyrins, are such singlet-oxygen sensitisers. ${ }^{220}$ The overall mechanism can be described as in Scheme 60.


Scheme 60: Mechanism of photo-oxidation followed by hydrolysis
The rate determining step is the charge transfer step. ${ }^{221,222}$ The charge-transfer complex (i) can rearrange to the zwitterion (ii) which has a number of canonical forms. The zwitterion converts to the hydroperoxide (iii) through protolysis involving an amine proton. Loss of water gives the corresponding keto-imine, which then hydrolyses readily in weak acid to give the 'porphy rindione' 152.

The condensation with a $50 \%$ excess of benzenetetramine in pyridine gave the 'diaminoporphyrin' 153 in quantitative yield (Scheme 61).


Scheme 61: Synthesis of 5,10,15,20-tetrakis-(3,5-di-tert-butylphenyl)-2 ${ }^{3}-2^{4}$ -diaminoquinoxalino[2,3-b]porphyrin 153 ('diaminoporphyrin')

The eight tert-butyl groups ( 72 protons) of 'diaminoporphyrin' were certainly inconvenient when it came to ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectroscopy but aided solubility. In comparison $5,10,15,20-$ tetrakis-(3,5-di-tert-butylphenyl)porphyrin is 390 times more soluble in hexane than 5,10,15,20-tetrapheny lporphy rin. ${ }^{223}$ Where applicable proton signals hidden under the giant tert-butyl signal were detected with the aid of a COSY experiment.

With the description of the synthesis of 'diaminoporphyrin' $\mathbf{1 5 3}$ chapter B on 'Syntheses' concludes. Most of the work undertaken during the PhD period has been outlined. Experimental details of the reactions above can be found in $\mathbf{D}$ 'Exp erimental Part'. A summary of the achievements is given in the following chapter $\mathbf{C}$ 'Summary and Conclusion'.

C 'SUMMARY \& CONCLUSION'

## C Summary \& Conclusion

Eleven ambitious targets were chosen for the 'Synthesis of Advanced 'Special Pair' Models for the Photosynthetic Reaction Centre' (chapter A.7) with seven being achieved to date. Where the synthesis of the target was not successful, pathways have been established that will enable their synthesis as part of future work.

## C. 1 TheSeries of Dyads

Results obtained from the synthesis of the dyads confirmed the elegance of the strategic concept: building the carbon backbones, Diels-Alder reaction with naphthoquinone, introduction of keto functionalities, subsequent attachment of the porphyrin moieties and increase of the acceptor strength (DMN to TCNQ) worked well (Scheme 62).


Scheme 62: The dyad strategy. (a) building the back bone; (b) Diels-Alder reaction with naphthoquinone; (c) introduction of keto functionalities; (d) attachment of porphyrin moieties; (e) increase of the acceptor strength

Calculations on the free energy change $\left(\Delta G^{0}\right)$ for a photoinduced electron-transfer reaction (Equation 14) have shown that electron transfer is thermody namically not accessible for the porphyrin-DMN dyads but is accessible for the three synthesised porphyrin-TCNQ
bichromophores. Evidence for a non-radiative decay, such as electron transfer, was found in the steady state fluorescence spectra of the TCNQ systems. Comparison of the fluorescence spectra with the ones obtained from their DMN analogues revealed a $>94 \%$ fluorescence quenching (Figure 36, page 76) indicating a high efficiency of a non-radiative pathway. Time-resolved spectroscopic techniques are able to detect the presence of a charge-separated state, such as $\left[\mathrm{P}^{\bullet+}-\mathrm{TCNQ}{ }^{\bullet}\right]$, and will have to confirm that the non-radiative decay observed is the desired electron-transfer process.
${ }^{1}$ H-NMR techniques revealed an interaction between the two porphyrins of the 'special pair' dyads $(\mathbf{3 6}, \mathbf{4 5}$, and $\mathbf{4 8})$ synthesised. The interaction is evident in an upfield shift of 0.2 0.3 ppm by the inner protons (NH) of the porphyrin moieties (Figure 35). Fears that the interporphyrinic separation would be too large to achieve the 'special pair' character desired were therefore not necessarily justified (Figure 75).


Figure 75: Interaction despite 12 Å separation (AM1)

The interaction between the two porphyrins proves the success of our systematic approach towards the synthesis of 'special pair' photosynthetic mimics. Concepts to introduce differently metallated porphyrins into the 'special pair' have been outlined (Scheme 20 and Figure 48). On the basis of these systems, selective excitation of one porphyrin moiety would
allow the study of interporphyrin (through space) energy, electron, and hole-transfer processes in conjunction with the projected electron transfer to the TCNQ acceptor. The potential of our bichromophoric 'special pair' models is convincing. With the addition of the kinked bisporphyrin dyads, the targeted series of bichromophores will be completed. Problems experienced with the attachment of a second 'diaminoporphyrin' molecule should be overcome by the application of high pressure as used in the synthesis of the triad donors (B.3.1.4, page 97). The according experiment was carried out but a technical fault (the reaction vessel burst!) disabled not just the confirmation of the proposed high-pressure method but also the successful synthesis of the kinked bis-porphyrin dyads to date.


Scheme 63: High pressure to the rescue? Proposed conditions (a)DCM, 15

## C. 2 TheSeries of Triads

The series of triads comprises an extension to the successful work carried out on the synthesis of the series of dyads. Unfortunately the synthesis of the triads was not as smooth as the synthesis of the bichromophoric systems. A number of synthetic challenges, in particular with the synthesis of the triad donors, had to be met. The challenges were met and added interesting findings, such as the 'bis-ketonisation' under Sharpless 'bis-hydroxylation' conditions, to the outcome of this thesis. More importantly all problems faced were finally overcome and allowed at least the successful synthesis of the 2B-porphyrin-NQ-TCNQ trichromophore 131 within the given time limit. After alterations of functional groups and reaction conditions, the strategic plan originally developed proved to be a successful approach towards the synthesis of advanced trichromophoric photosynthetic mimics (Scheme 64).


Scheme 64: The triad strategy. (a) building the back bone; (b) Diels-Alder reaction with dimethyl fumarate; (c) introduction of keto-functionalities; (d) attachment of porphyrin moieties; (e) conversion to enophile; (f) assembling the triad

The developed procedures which led to the successful synthesis of the 2B-porphyrin-NQTCNQ giant trichromophore $\mathbf{1 3 5}$ are believed to be valid for the synthesis of its 'special pair' analogues as well. Therefore, we are confident that with this thesis a successful method has been developed for synthesising the giant kinked and non-kinked bis-p orphyrin-NQ-TCNQ triads in the near future.

Amongst the problems faced in the synthesis of the series of triads were the number of unfortunate ring-closure reactions under Sharpless bis-hydroxy lation conditions (Scheme 65 and B.3.1.1, page 82).


## Scheme 65: Ring-closure reactions under Sharpless bis-hydroxylation conditions

Those lactone and furan formations were circumvented by the introduction of acetoxy groups. No ring-closure was observed for the 2B-ene-trans-diacetate 75 exposed to the bishy droxy lation conditions but further oxidation to the corresponding dione occurred.

This finding was by all means surprising but to an equal extent welcome, as it consequently would save one synthetic step and potentially avoid anticipated solubility problems of the corresponding alcohols, if promoted from a side-reaction to the main reaction pathway. By tuning the Sharpless bis-hydroxylation conditions they became 'bis-ketonisation' conditions and the one-step formation of the 2B-dione-trans-diacetate 79 from the 2B-ene-transdiacetate 75 was achieved in $79 \%$ yield (Scheme 66).


Scheme 66: Optimised 'bis-ketonisation' conditions - 2 \% OsO $\mathbf{O}_{4} 6$ eq NMO, high concentration in 1,4-dioxane, three days at RT

Even more intriguing was the finding that the 'bis-ketonisation' was not restricted to the diacetate but worked equally well for similar [2.2.2] ring systems (Table 9, page 91). In contrast, $[2.2 .1]$ and $[3.2 .1]$ ring systems did not convincingly undergo further oxidation to the ketones under the 'bis-ketonisation' conditions applied to the [2.2.2] ring-sy stems (Scheme 32, page 91). Both the $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$ solution as well as the N -methy lmorpholine- N -oxide were found to be essential for the ketonisation to proceed. Different geometries of the intermediate [2.2.1], [2.2.2], or [3.2.1] osmate ester were put forward as an explanation for the promotion or nonpromotion of the ketonisation pathway.

Pleasant side effect of this discriminating behaviour was the conceptual possibility of a stepwise introduction of keto functionalities and consequently the (different) porphyrin moieties (Figure 76).


Figure 76: Controlled attachment of two different porphyrin moieties (A and B) through (a) selective 'bis-ketonisation' followed by (b) condensation, (c) TEMPO reaction, and (d) condensation of second porphyrin

The attachment of the porphyrin moiety, however, comprised another problem faced in the synthesis of the triad donors. The bulky acetoxy groups next to the reacting keto functionalities limited their accessibility to an extent that under 'normal' conditions, namely atmospheric pressure, no condensation occurred. The application of high pressure ( 15 kbar ) led to a conversion of the 2B-dione-trans-diacetate 79, however, the products obtained with benzenetetramine or 'diaminoporphyrin' in pyridine were not the desired ones. The reaction with benzenetetramine gave the hemi-acetal $\mathbf{1 0 1}$ and with 'diaminoporphyrin' the successful condensation was accompanied by the loss of the acetoxy groups (Scheme 67).


Scheme 67: High-pressure reactions in anhydrous pyridine

Two different mechanisms were proposed for the loss of the acetoxy groups, depending on the presence or absence of water. In the absence of water, namely a non-proceeding condensation (Scheme 67 A), a nucleophilic attack of the strong base benzenetetramine at the carboxyl group rather than the carbonyl group was proposed for the reaction in high pressure (Scheme 41, page 103). With the condensation successfully proceeding (Scheme 67 B), water is released, which is then causing the hydrolysis of the acetoxy groups in the basic medium pyridine (Scheme 44, page 105).

Basic hydrolysis was also put forward as an explanation for the formation of the 6B-porphyrin-hemi-acetals $\mathbf{1 0 6}$ and $\mathbf{1 0 7}$ in pyridine ( $\mathbf{S c h e m e} \mathbf{6 8}$ ). The condensation was foundto proceed at the more accessible 6B-dione first and the water released led to the hemi-acetal formation before the second porphyrin was attached.


Scheme 68: Hemi-acetal formation in pyridine. (a) $15 \mathrm{kbar}, 8{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~d}$

In dichloromethane the hydrolysis of the acetoxy groups and consequently the hemi-acetal formation was avoided, resulting in the sought after 2B-porphyrin-trans-diacetate $\mathbf{1 0 3}$ (Figure 54, page 107) and the bis-porphyrin-trans-diacetate 100 (Scheme 46, page 109).

With the successful attachment of the porphyrin moieties, the major obstacles in the synthesis of the triad donors had been overcome. The conversion into the 2B-porphyrin-dienophile 112 worked well (Scheme 48, page 113) and so did the synthetic procedures envisaged for the synthesis of the bridge 123 (Scheme 49, page 117), the acceptor precursor 129 (Scheme 53, page 120) and the bridge-acceptor component 133 (Scheme 55, page 122 and Scheme 56, page 122), all dep icted in Figure 77.


Figure 77: Triad components 2B-porphyrin-2,3-dimethylidene 112, bridge

## 123, acceptor precurs or 129, and the bridge-acceptor component 133

Obstacles on the synthetic pathway re-appeared when the final two steps of the 48 -step synthesis of 2B-porphyrin-NQ-TCNQ 135, a Diels-Alder reaction followed by an aromatisation with 2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicy ano-1,4-benzoquinone (DDQ), gave a "wealth" of side-products, making the purification and unmistakable characterisation somewhat difficult. Despite those difficulties evidence was presented that strongly indicate the successful synthesis of the giant trichromophore $\mathbf{1 3 5}$ (Figure 78) as the first example of the targeted series of three triads.


Figure 78: 2B-porphyrin-NQ-TCNQ 135, shown the syn isomer (AM1)

With the knowledge gained from $\mathbf{1 3 5}$ 's synthesis, the syntheses of the kinked and non-kinked bis-porphyrinic analogues, two truly advanced 'special pair' photosynthetic mimics, are expected to be straightforward. The interaction between the two 'special pair' porphyrin units is anticipated to be similar to the one found for the bichromophoric systems as AM1 calculations reveal almost identical porphyrin-porphyrin distances. Both electron transfer "hops", from the porphyrin to the naphthoquinone as well as from the naphthoquinone unit to the TCNQ are thermodynamically accessible (Figure 39, page 78). Two subsequent electron transfer processes result in a giant charge separated state, which is expected to exhibit a lifetime greater than the one anticipated for the corresponding dyad. Again, time-resolved spectroscopic methods will have to shed light onto the photophysical characteristics of the series of trichromophoric photosy nthetic models.

## C. 3 The all-trans Ring-EXPANDED Dyad

The final section of chapter B 'Syntheses' outlined the successful preparation of the all-trans ring-expanded dyad 147 (Scheme 69). In the light of the parity rule compound 147, or more importantly its TCNQ analogue 137 (Figure 79, $\mathrm{n}=1, \mathrm{~m}=0$ ), will enable an interesting study through comparison with the corresponding non-ring-expanded (Figure 79, n, m=0) and double-ring-expanded systems (Figure 79, n, m=1), either synthesised previously or suggested for synthesis.


Figure 79: Three all-trans ring-expanded 6B-porphyrin-TCNQ systems
The synthesis of the all-trans ring-exp anded 6B-porphyrin-DMN 147 started with the diesterDMN 138 and proceeded as planned: conversion of ester into methyl groups, ring-expansion, introduction of keto-functionalities, and attachment of 'diaminoporphyrin' 153 (Scheme 69).



Scheme 69: The all-trans strategy. (a) Conversion of ester intomethyl groups;
(b) ring-expansion; (c) introduction of keto-functionalities, and (d)

## attachment of 'diaminoporphyrin'

The all-trans ring-expanded 6B-porphyrin-DMN 147 was characterised by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectroscopy and MALDI mass spectrometry. For ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectroscopy the required amount of dyad was not available and the conversion of the DMN unit into the TCNQ acceptor, known from the synthesis of the series of dyads (B.2.4, page 69), was not attempted. Initially designed as a small side project, the synthesis of all-trans ring-expanded systems has proven potential to be an accessible and worthwhile project in a larger context.

The description of the synthesis of known 'diaminoporphyrin' 153, outlined in the last section of chapter B, completed the picture on the 'Sy nthesis of Advanced 'Special Pair' Models for the Photosynthetic Reaction Centre'.

In summary, the project has provided the synthetic challenges anticipated. Solutions on how to meet these challenges have been provided and will greatly benefit future work in this area. Research never ends, and so will the investigation of fascinating natural systems, such as the photosynthetic reaction centre continue.

## D 'Experimental Part'

## D Experimental Part

Chemicals were purchased from major chemical suppliers and used as received. Solvents were dried, and reagents purified where necessary using literature procedures. ${ }^{224}$ AR-grade toluene, 1,4-dioxane and iso-propanol were used. Pyridine and tetrahydrofuran was used anhydrous only. Anhydrous 1,4-dioxane and dichloromethane were used where indicated. Dichloromethane and chloroform were distilled prior to use. Celite filter aid R70 was obtained from Ajax Chemicals. Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was carried out on aluminium sheets precoated with Merck 5735 Kieselgel 60F. Column chromatography was carried out using Merck 9385 Kieselgel 60 ( $0.040-0.063 \mathrm{~mm}$ mesh). Melting points were determined with a Melt-Temp (II) apparatus and are uncorrected. Low-resolution mass spectra (MS) were obtained using either electron impact (EI) or matrix-assisted laser desorption ionisation (MALDI) mass spectrometry. The EI mass spectra were recorded on a VG Quattro mass spectrometer with a 70 eV ionising voltage and an ion source temperature of $210{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The MALDI linear time-of-flight (TOF) mass spectra were recorded either on a Finnigan Lasermat 2000 spectrometer or a Kompact Maldi III instrument in conjunction with either a 3,5-dihydroxybenzoic acid or 2-cyano-hydroxy-cinnamic acid matrix. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectra were obtained on a Bruker AC300F ( 300 MHz ) or a Bruker AM $500(500 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) spectrometer. ${ }^{1}$ H-NMR data are reported as follows: chemical shift ( $\delta$ ) measured in parts per million down or up field from TMS, proton count, multiplicity and observed coupling constants (or line separations) in Hertz. Multiplicity is reported as singlet (s), broad singlet (bs), doublet (d), doublet of doublets (dd), doublet of triplets (dt), triplet ( t ), trip let of doublets $(\mathrm{td})$, quartet (quart), quintet ( q ) or multiplet ( m ) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectra were obtained on a Bruker AC300F ( 75.47 MHz ) spectrometer. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ data is reported as follows: chemical shift ( $\delta$ ) measured in parts per million down field from TMS and assignments are given if signals were identifiable. Assignment was determined with the aid of $90^{\circ}$ and $135^{\circ}$ DEPT experiments. IR spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer Model 21. The UV-Vis absorption spectra were obtained using a CARY 5 spectrophotometer in dual-beam mode. Optically matched quartz cells (Starna) were used throughout. Crystallography data were obtained with an EnrafNonius CAD-4 diffractometer in $\theta / 2 \theta$ scan mode using nickel-filtered copper radiation ( $\lambda=$ $1.5428 \AA$ ).

## D. 1 Synthesis of the Dyads

## $1,2,3,4,10,10$-Hexachloro-1,4,4a,5,8,8a-hexahydro-endo-1,4-exo-5,8-dimethanonaphthalene (1) ${ }^{145-147}$



Hexachlorocyclopentadiene ( $119 \mathrm{~mL}, 202 \mathrm{~g}, 740 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise to refluxing norbornadiene $(100 \mathrm{~mL}, 91 \mathrm{~g}, 987 \mathrm{mmol})$ over a period of 90 minutes. The mixture was heated at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 hours with a white precipitate being formed. The yellow-orange mixture was allowed to cool down to RT and the white precipitate was filtered off, then washed with ice-cold light petroleum and dried under high vacuum. Yield: $188.64 \mathrm{~g}(516 \mathrm{mmol}, 70 \%)$ aldrin $1 . \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}($ light petroleum/ethyl acetate 3:1) $=$ 0.62 ; Melting point: $101-102{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}: 364$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=1.33(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.57(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.3), 2.73(2 \mathrm{H}$, s), $2.89(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 6.32(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=40.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 40.9$ $(2 \mathrm{CH}), 54.6(2 \mathrm{CH}), 80.0(2 \mathrm{CCl}), 105.4\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{2}\right), 130.7(2=\mathrm{CCl}), 141.1(2=\mathrm{CH}) \mathrm{ppm}$.
$(1 \alpha, 4 \alpha, 4 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 5 \alpha, 8 \alpha, 8 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 9 \beta, 9 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 10 \beta, 10 \mathrm{a} \alpha)-1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,12,12$-Decachloro-4a,8a,9,9a,10, 10a-hexahydro-1,4:5,8:9,10-trimethano-13,13-dimethoxyanthracene (2)


A solution of $\mathbf{1}(139.6 \mathrm{~g}, 382 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 5,5 -dimethoxy-1,2,3,4tetrachlorocy clopentadiene ( $67.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 100.8 \mathrm{~g}, 382 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in toluene $(130 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated at reflux in reference to literature procedures ${ }^{148,170}$ for 46 hours. The mixture was placed in the freezer to form a white precipitate, which was filtered off, washed with ice-cold light petroleum and dried under high vacuum. Yield: $171.74 \mathrm{~g}(273 \mathrm{mmol}, 71.5 \%) 2$. Melting point: 241-243 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=1.20(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 2.46(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.60(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.64(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.51$ ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$ ), $3.59(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=28.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 35.5(2 \mathrm{CH}), 51.8$ $\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 52.7\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 57.3(4 \mathrm{CH}), 80.1(2 \mathrm{CCl}), 80.2(2 \mathrm{CCl}), 104.4\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{2}\right), 114.1\left(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{OMe})_{2}\right)$, $129.0(2=\mathrm{CCl}), 130.6(2=\mathrm{CCl}) \mathrm{ppm}$.
$(1 \alpha, 4 \alpha, 4 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 5 \alpha, 8 \alpha, 8 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 9 \beta, 9 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 10 \beta, 10 \mathrm{a} \alpha)-1,4,4 \mathrm{a}, 5,8,8 \mathrm{a}, 9,9 \mathrm{a}, 10,10 \mathrm{a}-$ Decahydro-1,4:5,8:9, 10-trimethano-13,13-dimethoxyanthracene (3)


To a refluxing colourless solution of $2(42.9 \mathrm{~g}, 68.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in a mixture of tetrahy drofuran ( 250 mL ) and iso-propanol ( 200 mL ) was added sodium ( $125 \mathrm{~g}, 5.4 \mathrm{~mol}, \sim 80$ eq.) in small pieces over a period of 3 hours. ${ }^{148,170}$ After two, three and four days more iso-propanol ( $3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added to the purple
mixture. After five days the mixture was poured onto ice. The organic phase was extracted with diethyl ether ( 3 x 400 mL ). The combined organic layers were washed with H2O ( 2 x 300 mL ), half-saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(400 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(300 \mathrm{~mL})$ then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure to y ield 18 g of a dark yellow/brown oil, which was crystallised from dichloromethane/methanol to give the product as a white solid. The mother liquor was purified by column chromatography (light petroleum/ethyl acetate 4:1) to give further product and 4 g of partly dechlorinated compounds. Yield: 10.45 g $(36.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 53.6 \%)$ 3. $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}$ (light petroleum/ethyl acetate 3:1) $=0.52$; Melting point: $104{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ : 284 ; elemental analysis for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C 80.24, H 8.51, found: C 80.12, H 8.47.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=1.18(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.27(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=7.7,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.57$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.65(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.90(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 1.98(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.80$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.85(\mathrm{q}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.06(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.18(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.87(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $5.93(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=30.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 39.8(2 \mathrm{CH}), 46.6$ $(2 \mathrm{CH}), 48.5(4 \mathrm{CH}), 49.6(2 \mathrm{CH}), 51.3\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 52.0\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 53.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 132.5(2=\mathrm{CH}), 135.6$ ( $2=\mathrm{CH}$ ) ppm.

## $(1 \alpha, 4 \alpha, 4 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 5 \alpha, 8 \alpha, 8 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 9 \beta, 9 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 10 \beta, 10 \mathrm{a} \alpha)-1,4,4 \mathrm{a}, 5,8,8 \mathrm{a}, 9,9 \mathrm{a}, 10,10 \mathrm{a}-$ Decahydro-1,4:5,8: 9,10-trimethano-anthracen-13-one (4)



Following literature procedure ${ }^{148,170}$ formic acid $(90 \%, 50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to a solution of $3(4.7 \mathrm{~g}, 16.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in tetrahydrofuran $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The colourless solution was stirred at RT for 24 hours. Then Diethyl ether ( 100 mL ) and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added, and the aqueous phase was extracted with diethyl ether ( 3 x $100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(100 \mathrm{~mL}), 1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(4 \mathrm{x}$ $50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the crude product was purified by column chromatography (light petroleum/ethyl acetate $4: 1$ ). Yield: $2.56 \mathrm{~g}(10.8 \mathrm{mmol}, 65 \%) 4$ as a white solid. Melting point: $103-105{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; m/z: 238, 210 (-CO).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=1.14(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.27(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.46(1 \mathrm{H}$, d, $J=12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.66(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.91(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.00(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.24(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.82(2 \mathrm{H}$, s), $3.06(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.88(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.28(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=31.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 42.1(2 \mathrm{CH}), 45.2(2 \mathrm{CH}), 46.6(2 \mathrm{CH}), 50.9(2 \mathrm{CH}), 51.7(2 \mathrm{CH}), 53.4$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 130.5(2=\mathrm{CH}), 135.6(2=\mathrm{CH}), 199.7(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{ppm}$.
IR (KBr): 3048, 2940, 2908, 1789, 1767, 1472, 1354, 1081, 844, $747 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
$(1 \alpha, 4 \alpha, 4 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 8 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 9 \beta, 9 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 10 \beta, 10 \mathrm{a} \alpha)-1,4,4 \mathrm{a}, 8 \mathrm{a}, 9,9 \mathrm{a}, 10,10 \mathrm{a}-O c t a h y d r o-1,4: 9,10$-dimethanoanthracene (5)


A solution of $4(2.54 \mathrm{~g}, 10.66 \mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene $(85 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated at reflux for 20 minutes. The solution was allowed to cool down to RT and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. Yield: $2.20 \mathrm{~g}(10.47 \mathrm{mmol}, 97.8 \%) 5$ as a white solid. Melting point: $49-51^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}: 210$; elemental analysis for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{18}$ : $\mathrm{C} 91.37, \mathrm{H}$ 8.63, found: C 91.04, H 8.71.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=1.13(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.19(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.28$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.89(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 2.09(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.13(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 2.31(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 2.84$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 5.39(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.55(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.95(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=$ $30.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 45.4(2 \mathrm{CH}), 46.7(2 \mathrm{CH}), 47.6(2 \mathrm{CH}), 49.5(2 \mathrm{CH}), 52.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 121.5(2=\mathrm{CH})$, $128.2(2=\mathrm{CH}), 135.4(2=\mathrm{CH}) \mathrm{ppm}$.
IR (KBr): 3053, 3024, 2961, 293, 2893, 2866, $1465 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
$(1 \alpha, 4 \alpha, 4 \mathrm{a} \beta, 5 \alpha, 5 \mathrm{a} \beta, 6 \alpha, 6 \mathrm{a} \beta, 12 \mathrm{a} \beta, 13 \alpha, 13 \mathrm{a} \beta, 14 \alpha, 14 \mathrm{a} \beta)-6,13-$ Etheno-(1,4,4a,5,5a,6,6a,7, 12,12a,13,13a,14,14a)-tetradecahydro-1,4:5,14-dimethanopentacene-7,12-dione (10)


1,4-naphthoquinone $(4.40 \mathrm{~g}, 28.10 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a refluxing solution of $5(5.89 \mathrm{~g}, 28.01 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ethanol $(90 \mathrm{~mL})$. After 45 minutes at reflux a white precipitate was filtered off while hot. The filtrate was heated at reflux for another 2 hours then cooled down to RT and further precipitate was collected. The combined precipitates were washed with ethanol and recrystallised from toluene. Yield: $7.65 \mathrm{~g}(20.76 \mathrm{mmol}, 74.1 \%) \mathbf{1 0}$ as a white solid. Melting point: $255-260{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}: 368$; elemental analysis for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C 84.75 , H 6.56, found: C 84.85, H 6.63.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=1.14(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.27(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.48(1 \mathrm{H}$, d, $J=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.61(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.76(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.89(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.03(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.82(2 \mathrm{H}$, bs), $3.14(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.43(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 5.86(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.96(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.65(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.96(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) \mathrm{ppm}$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=29.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 40.9(2 \mathrm{CH}), 43.4(2 \mathrm{CH}), 46.5(2 \mathrm{CH}), 50.5$ $(2 \mathrm{CH}), 50.9(2 \mathrm{CH}), 51.6(2 \mathrm{CH}), 53.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 126.7\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 133.4(2=\mathrm{CH}), 133.9\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right)$, $135.5(2=\mathrm{CH}), 135.9\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 197.6(2 \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{ppm}$.
IR (KBr): 2941, 1673, 1639, $1271 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
$(1 \alpha, 4 \alpha, 4 \mathrm{a} \beta, 5 \alpha, 5 \mathrm{a} \beta, 6 \alpha, 6 \mathrm{a} \beta, 12 \mathrm{a} \beta, 13 \alpha, 13 \mathrm{a} \beta, 14 \alpha, 14 \mathrm{a} \beta)-6,13-$ Etheno-(1,4,4a,5,5a,6,13,13a, 14,14a)-decahydro-1,4:5,14-dimethano-7,12-dimethoxypentacene (12)


To an ice-cold suspension of $\mathbf{1 0}(6.72 \mathrm{~g}, 18.24 \mathrm{mmol})$ in tetrahydrofuran ( 120 mL ) and HMPA ( 7.7 mL ) was added drop wise a 0.5 M solution of potassium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide ( 108 mL ) in toluene ( 54 mmol ). On completion of the addition ( 30 minutes) the mixture was stirred for another 60 minutes at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ then methyl iodide $(51 \mathrm{~g}, 360 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and the mixture was stirred at RT overnight. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was dissolved in diethyl ether. The ethereal solution was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$ then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was recrystallised from acetone/ethanol 7:3. Yield: 5.05 g ( $12.74 \mathrm{mmol}, 69.8$ \%) 6B-ene-2B-eneDMN 12 as a white solid. Melting point: $172-174{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; m/z: 396; elemental analysis for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C 84.81, H 7.12, found: C 84.41, H 7.13.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=1.09(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.26(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.65(2 \mathrm{H}$, s), $1.77(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.79(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 1.89(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.19(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.80(2 \mathrm{H}$, bs), $3.94(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.42(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.92(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.41(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.44(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $8.05(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=29.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 38.6(2 \mathrm{CH}), 42.9(2 \mathrm{CH})$, $46.5(2 \mathrm{CH}), 50.8(2 \mathrm{CH}), 52.0(2 \mathrm{CH}), 53.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 62.7\left(2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 122.2\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 125.2$ $\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 127.1\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 134.7\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 135.0(2=\mathrm{CH}), 135.5(2=\mathrm{CH}), 144.9\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{OMe}\right) \mathrm{ppm}$. IR (KBr): 3015, 2980, 2949, 2946, 1603, 1456, 1370, 1348, 1281, $1067 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
$(1 \alpha, 2 \alpha, 3 \alpha, 4 \alpha, 4 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 5 \beta, 5 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 6 \beta, 13 \beta, 13 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 14 \beta, 14 \mathrm{a} \alpha)-6,13-$ Etheno-(1,2,3,4,4a,5,5a,6,13,13a, 14,14a)-dodecahydro-2,3-cis-dihydroxy-1,4:5,14-dimethano-7,12-dimethoxypentacene (16) and ( $1 \alpha, 4 \alpha, 4 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 5 \beta, 5 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 6 \beta, 13 \beta, 14 \beta, 14 \mathrm{a} \alpha)-6,13-$ Ethano-(1,4,4a,5,5a,6,13,13a,14,14a)-decahydro-16,17-cis-dihydroxy-1,4:5,14-dimethano-7,12-dimethoxypentacene (17)

To a suspension of 6B-ene-2B-ene-DMN $12(1.74 \mathrm{~g}, 4.38 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 4-methyl-N-morpholine- N -oxide $(0.51 \mathrm{~g}, 4.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 1,4-dioxane ( 20 mL ) and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}(45 \mathrm{mg}, 4 \%)$ in $t$-butanol ( 9 mL ). The resulting yellow solution was stirred at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 8 days, then cooled to RT and filtered. The filtrate was treated with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ (aq.) and stirred for 30 minutes. Layers were separated and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was extracted with boiling chloroform. Upon cooling to RT the tetraol-DMN 19 precipitated and was filtered off. The solvent was removed from the filtrate and the crude residue, containing the corresponding diols, was purified by column chromatography
(dichloromethane, then dichloromethane/ethyl acetate 85:15). Yield (16): 489 mg ( 1.14 mmol , 26.0 \%) 6B-diol-2B-ene-DMN 16. Melting point: $130-135{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dec.); m/z: 430. Yield (17): 140 mg ( $0.41 \mathrm{mmol}, 10.7$ \%) 6B-ene-2B-diol-DMN 17. Melting point: $125-128{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dec.); m/z: 430.

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathbf{1 6}\right): \delta=0.65(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=12.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $1.02(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.56(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 1.64(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 1.78(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.19(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 2.29(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 2.34(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, ~ J=$ $12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.92(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.13(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 4.44(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 6.46(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.44(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 8.03(2 \mathrm{H}$, m) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathbf{1 6}\right): \delta=31.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 35.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 38.5(2 \mathrm{CH}), 41.2$ $(2 \mathrm{CH}), 48.6(2 \mathrm{CH}), 50.6(2 \mathrm{CH}), 51.0(2 \mathrm{CH}), 62.7\left(2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 70.7(2 \mathrm{CHOH}), 122.2\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right)$, $125.3\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right)$, $127.1\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 134.3\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 134.7(2=\mathrm{CH}), 145.0\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{OMe}\right) \mathrm{ppm}$.

IR (KBr): 3405 (br), 3044, 2943, 2830, 1731, 1603, 1456, 1351, 1281, 1094, 1067, 1044, 969, $772,751 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathbf{1 7}\right): \delta=0.87(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.08$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.30(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.48(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 1.83$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 2.22(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 2.29(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.84(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 3.76(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs})$, $3.97(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.31(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 5.99(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.47(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 8.09(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(75.5$ $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathbf{1 7}\right): \delta=31.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 39.8(2 \mathrm{CH}), 40.2(2 \mathrm{CH}), 46.6(2 \mathrm{CH}), 49.4$ (2CH), $49.7(2 \mathrm{CH}), 53.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 62.8\left(2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 65.7(2 \mathrm{CHOH}), 122.2\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {Ar }} \mathrm{H}\right), 125.4\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 127.7$ $\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 129.3\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 135.3(2=\mathrm{CH}), 148.3\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{OMe}\right) \mathrm{ppm}$.

IR (KBr): 3426 (br), 3045, 2950, 2929, 2831, 1739, 1633, 1602, 1456, 1351, 1278, 1068, 1010, $968 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
$(1 \alpha, 2 \mathrm{a}, 3 \mathrm{a}, 4 \alpha, 4 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 5 \beta, 5 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 6 \beta, 13 \beta, 13 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 14 \beta, 14 \mathrm{a} \alpha)-6,13-E t h a n o-(1,2,3,4,4 \mathrm{a}, 5,5 \mathrm{a}, 6,13,13 \mathrm{a}$, 14,14a)-dodecahydro-2,3,16,17-cis-cis-tetrahydroxy-1,4:5,14-dimethano-7,12-dimethoxypentacene (19)


To a suspension of 6B-ene-2B-ene-DMN $12(3.30 \mathrm{~g}, 8.32 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 4-methyl-N-morpholine-N-oxide ( $1.99 \mathrm{~g}, 17.0 \mathrm{mmol}, \sim 2 \mathrm{eq}$.$) in$ 1,4-dioxane $(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}(42 \mathrm{mg}$, $2 \%)$ in $t$-butanol ( 8.4 mL ). The resulting yellow solution was stirred at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 7 days, then a further aliquot of $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$ solution was added and the reaction mixture was stirred for a further 7 days. The solution was allowed to cool down to RT and then filtered. The filtrate was treated with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ (aq.) and stirred for 30 minutes. Lay ers were separated and the solvent
was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was extracted with 100 mL chloroform/isopropanol (3:1). Removal of the solvent mixture yielded an off-white solid, which was combined with the initial precipitate and recrystallised from 1,4-dioxane. Yield: 2.18 g ( $4.69 \mathrm{mmol}, 56.4 \%$ ) 6B-diol-2B-diol-DMN 19. Melting point: > $220^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dec.); m/z: 464.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right): \delta=0.86(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.14(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.30$ ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}$ ), $1.37(1 \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{d}, J=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.52(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 1.69(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.04(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 2.34$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.59(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 3.88(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.99(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 4.24(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 4.39(2 \mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{bs}), 4.51(2 \mathrm{OH}$, bs), $7.46(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.98(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right): \delta=32.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 35.3$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 38.2(2 \mathrm{CH}), 38.5-40.5(4 \mathrm{CH}), 48.1(2 \mathrm{CH}), 49.8(2 \mathrm{CH}), 62.2\left(2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 64.1(2 \mathrm{CHOH})$, $69.0(2 \mathrm{CHOH}), 121.7\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 124.9\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 126.8\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 130.7\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 147.1$ ( $2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{OMe}$ ) ppm.
IR (KBr): 3453 (br), 2931, 1631, 1604, 1457, 1353, $1068 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

## D.1.1 Synthesis of the 6B-Porphyrin-Dyads

$(1 \alpha, 2 \alpha, 3 \alpha, 4 \alpha, 4 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 5 \beta, 5 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 6 \beta, 13 \beta, 13 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 14 \beta, 14 \mathrm{a} \alpha)-6,13-$ Etheno-(1,4,4a,5,5a,6,13,13a,14, 14a)-decahydro-1,4:5,14-dimethano-7,12-dimethoxypentacene-2,3-dione (22)


To a solution of 6B-diol-2B-ene-DMN 16 ( $392 \mathrm{mg}, 0.910 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $p$-toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate $(870 \mathrm{mg}, 4.574 \mathrm{mmol}, \sim 5$ eq.) in dichloromethane ( 15 mL ) was added drop wise 4 -acetamidoTEMPO ( $986 \mathrm{mg}, 4.623 \mathrm{mmol}, \sim 5 \mathrm{eq}$. ) in dichloromethane ( 25 mL ) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the dark. On completion of the addition ( 90 minutes) the mixture was stirred for 6 days in the dark at RT. A white precipitate was filtered off and ethanol $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to quench the reaction. The bright yellow solution was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure to give a crude yellow solid ( 520 mg ), which was purified by column chromatography (light petroleum/ethyl acetate 1:2). Yield: 245 mg ( $0.574 \mathrm{mmol}, 63.1$ \%) 6B-dione-2B-ene-DMN 22 as a bright yellow solid. Melting point: $264-265^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}: 426$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=0.29(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.73(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.83(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.19(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.33(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.06$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.92(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.45(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 6.44(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.45(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 8.04(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) \mathrm{ppm}$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=31.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 33.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 38.2(2 \mathrm{CH}), 41.6(2 \mathrm{CH}), 49.9$
$(2 \mathrm{CH}), 53.1(2 \mathrm{CH}), 53.8(2 \mathrm{CH}), 62.8\left(2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 122.2\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 125.5\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 127.2\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right)$, $133.6\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 134.6(2=\mathrm{CH}), 145.2\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{OMe}\right), 202.9(2 \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{ppm}$.
IR(KBr): 2930, 1768, 1747, 1348, 1068, $748 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
UV-Vis $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) \lambda_{\max }(\log \varepsilon): 462$ (1.68), 326 (3.13), 288 (3.82) nm.
$(1 \alpha, 4 \alpha, 4 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 5 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 6 \beta, 13 \beta, 13 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 14 \beta, 14 \mathrm{a} \alpha)-6,13$-Ethano-( $1,4,4 \mathrm{a}, 5,5 \mathrm{a}, 6,13,13 \mathrm{a}, 14,14 \mathrm{a})$-deca-hydro-1,4:5:14-dimethano-7,12-dimethoxypentacene-2,3-dione (23)


A suspension of 6B-dione-2B-ene-DMN 22 ( $242 \mathrm{mg}, 0.567 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and some $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ in ethyl acetate ( 5 mL ) was stirred at RT for 24 hours under a hydrogen atmosphere. The $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ was filtered off and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The crude yellow product was purified by column chromatography (light petroleum/ethyl acetate $1: 2$ ). Yield: 225 mg ( $0.525 \mathrm{mmol}, 92.6$ \%) yellow 6B-dione-DMN 23. Melting point: $230-232{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ : 428; elemental analysis for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C 78.48, H 6.59, found: C 77.99, H 6.66.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=0.71(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.32(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.50$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.88(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.93(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.00(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.09$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.26(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.39(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.91(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.48(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 8.10(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) \mathrm{ppm}$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=21.3\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 30.9(2 \mathrm{CH}), 31.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 33.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 39.6$ $(2 \mathrm{CH}), 46.3(2 \mathrm{CH}), 53.5(4 \mathrm{CH}), 62.7\left(2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 122.3\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 125.3\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 127.5\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right)$, $134.5\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 145.6\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{OMe}\right), 203.3(2 \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{ppm}$.
IR(KBr): 2939, 1769, 1746, 1355, $1075 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
UV-Vis $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) \lambda_{\text {max }}(\log \varepsilon): 458$ (1.65), 324 (3.02), 294 (3.78) nm.

## 6B-Porphyrin-2B-ene-DMN (31)



Method 1 (pressure tube): A solution of 6B-dione-2B-ene-DMN 22 ( $35 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 0.082 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 'diaminoporphyrin' 153 ( 90 mg , 0.075 mmol ) in de-oxy genated pyridine ( 1 mL ) was heated in a dark pressure tube at $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for three days under an argon atmosphere. The solvent was removed under high vacuum and the crude product was purified by column chromatography (chloroform). Yield: 34 mg ( $0.021 \mathrm{mmol}, 28.5$ \%) 6B-porphyrin-2B-ene-DMN 31.
Method 2 (high pressure): A solution of 6B-dione-2B-ene-DMN 22 ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0703 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 'diaminoporphyrin' $153(84 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0703 \mathrm{mmol})$ in de-oxy genated
pyridine ( 2 mL ) was reacted for 3 days at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 15 kbar . The solvent was removed under an argon stream. The crude product was dried under high vacuum and then purified by column chromatography (chloroform). Yield: 30 mg ( $0.0189 \mathrm{mmol}, 26.9$ \%) 6B-porphyrin-2B-ene-DMN 31. Melting point: $>300^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{MH}^{+}$(M ALDI): 1586.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=-2.36(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}),-1.08(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=12.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.58(72 \mathrm{H}), 1.83$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.94(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=12.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.01(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.09(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.40(4 \mathrm{H}$, s), $3.64(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.96(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.45(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.30(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.48(2 \mathrm{H}$, quart., $J=$ $3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.84(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.98(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.07(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 8.08(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 8.14$ $(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.58(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.81(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 9.03\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{AB}_{\text {quartet }}, J=4.9,8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$ ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=30.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.8\left(12 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 32.0\left(12 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 35.1(8 \mathrm{C})$, $38.4(2 \mathrm{CH}), 41.8(2 \mathrm{CH}), 46.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 48.1(2 \mathrm{CH}), 50.2(2 \mathrm{CH}), 52.7(2 \mathrm{CH}), 62.9\left(2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$, $118.0(2 \mathrm{C}), 120.7\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 121.2\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 122.3\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right)$, $123.1(2 \mathrm{C}), 125.5\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right)$, $127.2\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {Ar }}\right), 128.1\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{0-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.4\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{0-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.6\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{0-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.9\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 129.3$ $\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {pyrrolic }} \mathrm{H}\right)$, $129.6\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{\text {pyrrolic }} \mathrm{H}\right)$, $134.1\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right)$, $134.2\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {quinoxaline }} \mathrm{H}\right)$, $134.7(2=\mathrm{CH})$, $138.1(2 \mathrm{C})$, 139.6 (2C), 140.0 (4C), 140.8 (2C), 141.1 (2C), 145.1 (2C), 145.6 ( $2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{OMe}$ ), 149.0 $\left(8 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}(\mathrm{tBu})\right), 153.8$ (2C), 155.0 (2C), 164.8 (2C) ppm.

## 6B-Porphyrin-DMN (32)



Method 1: A suspension of 6B-porphyrin-2B-ene-DMN $31(6 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.004 \mathrm{mmol})$ and some $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ in ethyl acetate ( 1 mL ) was stirred under a hy drogen atmosphere for 24 hours. The $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ was filtered off and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (chloroform). Yield: $5 \mathrm{mg}(0.003 \mathrm{mmol}, 78.7 \%)$ 6B-p orphyrin-DMN 32.

Method 2: A solution of $23(99 \mathrm{mg}, 0.231 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 'diaminop orphyrin' 153 ( $275 \mathrm{mg}, 0.230 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dichloromethane $(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated in a dark pressure tube at $125{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for three days under an argon atmosphere. The solvent was removed under an argon stream and the crude product was purified by column chromatography (chloroform). Yield: $196 \mathrm{mg}(0.123 \mathrm{mmol}, 53.7 \%$ ) 6B-porphyrin-DMN 32. Melting point: $>300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=-2.39(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}),-0.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.18(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.33(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.54(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.51(18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.53(36 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs})$, $1.55(18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.74(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.00(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.09(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.38$
$(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.43(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.50(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.66(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.91(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.47(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=6.5,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.81(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.95(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.03(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.05(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=1.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 8.10(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.11(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 8.59(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.77(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.99\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{AB}_{\text {quartet }}, J=\right.$ 15.6, 4.9 Hz ) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=21.4\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 29.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.1(2 \mathrm{CH})$, $31.8\left(12 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 32.0\left(12 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 35.1(8 \mathrm{C}), 39.6(2 \mathrm{CH}), 46.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 47.3(2 \mathrm{CH}), 48.4(2 \mathrm{CH})$, $52.3(2 \mathrm{CH}), 62.7\left(2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 118.1(2 \mathrm{C}), 120.7\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 121.2\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 122.3\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right)$, $123.1(2 \mathrm{C}), 125.3\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 127.5\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 128.1\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.5\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{0-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.7\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right)$, $128.9\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 129.4\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {pyrrolic }} \mathrm{H}\right), 129.6\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{\text {pyrrolic }} \mathrm{H}\right), 134.3\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {quinoxaline }} \mathrm{H}\right), 134.9\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right)$, 138.2 (2C), 139.6 (2C), 140.0 (2C), 140.1 (2C), 140.9 (2C), 141.1 (2C), 145.1 (2C), 145.5 $\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{OMe}\right), 148.9\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right), 149.1\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right), 153.8(2 \mathrm{C}), 155.0(2 \mathrm{C}), 164.9$ (2C) ppm. UV-Vis $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) \lambda_{\text {max }}(\log \varepsilon): 657$ (3.30), 608 (4.09), 537 (4.20), 424 (5.45) nm.

## 6B-Porphyrin-HNQ (42)



To a solution of 6B-porphyrin-DMN $32(48 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0302 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous dichloromethane ( 2 mL ) was added $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}(\sim 0.01 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was allowed to warm up to RT and stirred for 20 hours in the dark under an argon atmosphere. Two drops of methanol were added, the greenish mixture stirred for another 30 minutes and the solvents were removed under reduced pressure to yield the crude product, which was purified by column chromatography (dichloromethane/methanol 99:1) and used without further characterisation. Yield: 45 mg ( $0.0288 \mathrm{mmol}, 95.5 \%$ ) 6B-porphyrin-HNQ 42. Melting point: $>300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## 6B-Porphyrin-NQ (43)



A suspension of 6B-porphyrin-HNQ $42(45 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0288 \mathrm{mmol})$ and lead dioxide ( $38 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1589 \mathrm{mmol}, \sim 5 \mathrm{eq}$.) in chloroform ( 6 mL ) was stirred at RT for 3 hours. The solvent was evaporated and the crude product was purified by column chromatography (chloroform). Yield: 42 mg ( $0.0269 \mathrm{mmol}, 93.6$ \%) 6B-porphyrin-NQ 43. Melting point: $>300{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\mathrm{MH}^{+}$(MALDI): 1558.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=-2.36(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}),-0.69(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.08(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.34(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.51$
$(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.54(18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.56(18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.57(18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.58(18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.66(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $2.06(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.13(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.35(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.45(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.53(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.69$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.70(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.83(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.98(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.06(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=$ $1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.07(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.11(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 8.12(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.14(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=$ $1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.61(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.80(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 9.02\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{AB}_{\text {quartet }}, J=13.2,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(75.5$ $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=20.5\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 29.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 30.7(2 \mathrm{CH}), 31.8\left(12 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 32.0\left(12 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 35.1$ $(8 \mathrm{C}), 38.7(2 \mathrm{CH}), 46.6(2 \mathrm{CH}), 46.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 48.3(2 \mathrm{CH}), 52.2(2 \mathrm{CH}), 118.1(2 \mathrm{C}), 120.7\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}}\right.$. $\left.{ }_{\text {Ar }} \mathrm{H}\right)$, $121.2\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 123.1(2 \mathrm{C}), 126.4\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.1\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.4\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{0-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.6$ $\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.8\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 129.4\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {pyrrolic }} \mathrm{H}\right)$, $129.6\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{\text {pyrrolic }} \mathrm{H}\right)$, $132.5\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 133.4$ $\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 134.2\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {quinoxaline }} \mathrm{H}\right), 138.2(2 \mathrm{C}), 139.6(2 \mathrm{C}), 140.0(4 \mathrm{C}), 140.8(2 \mathrm{C}), 141.1$ (2C), $145.6\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 148.9\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right), 149.0\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right), 152.1$ (2C), 153.8 (2C), $155.0(2 \mathrm{C}), 164.7$ $(2 \mathrm{C}), 181.7\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}=\mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{ppm}$.

## 6B-Porphyrin-TCNQ (46)



Malononitrile ( $8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1196 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of 6B-porphyrin-NQ $43 \quad(38 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 0.0239 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous dichloromethane ( 5 mL ). At $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ some drops titanium(IV) chloride ( $>10$ eq.) were added. After 10 minutes $\beta$-alanine ( 12 mg , $0.1347 \mathrm{mmol})$ and pyridine $(0.2 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added and the greenish solution was allowed to warm up to RT. After 2 hours further pyridine $(0.2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the now orange-brown solution. After stirring for another 2 hours dichloromethane ( 5 mL ) was added and the mixture was washed with $0.5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(3 \mathrm{x} 10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and sat. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic solution was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and the solvents were removed under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (chloroform). Yield: 23 mg ( $0.0139 \mathrm{mmol}, 58.2$ \%) 6B-porphyrin-TCNQ 46. Melting point: $>300{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\mathrm{MH}^{+}$(MALDI): 1654.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=-2.37(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}),-0.71(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.28(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=$ $12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.30(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.53(18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.55(18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.56(18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.57(18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $1.62(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.75(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.02(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.13(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.37$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.46(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.69(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.80(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.71(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.83(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.98$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.03(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 8.06(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 8.12(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.30(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 8.58$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.80(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 9.02\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{AB}_{\text {quartet }}, J=10.8,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}$,
$\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=20.5\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 29.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.8\left(12 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 32.0\left(12 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 35.1(8 \mathrm{C}), 36.5(2 \mathrm{CH})$, $38.6(2 \mathrm{CH}), 46.3(2 \mathrm{CH}), 46.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 48.1(2 \mathrm{CH}), 52.0(2 \mathrm{CH}), 81.7(2 \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{CN})), 113.2(2 \mathrm{CN})$, $113.7(2 \mathrm{CN}), 118.0(2 \mathrm{C}), 120.7\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 121.2\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right)$, $123.1(2 \mathrm{C}), 127.5\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.1$ $\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{0-A r} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.4\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{0-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.6\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{0-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.7\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{0-A r} \mathrm{H}\right), 129.5\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {pyrrolic }} \mathrm{H}\right), 129.6$ $\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{\text {pyrrolic }} \mathrm{H}\right)$, $130.9(2 \mathrm{C})$, $131.9\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right)$, $134.3\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {quinoxaline }} \mathrm{H}\right)$, 138.2 (2C), 139.6 (2C), 139.9 $(2 \mathrm{C}), 140.0(2 \mathrm{C}), 140.8(2 \mathrm{C}), 141.1(2 \mathrm{C}), 145.6\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 147.9\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 148.9\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right), 149.1$ $\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right), \quad 153.8 \quad(2 \mathrm{C}), \quad 155.0 \quad(2 \mathrm{C}), \quad 156.4 \quad\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}\right), \quad 164.4 \quad$ (2C) ppm. $\quad \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $($ MALDI $)=1654$.

UV-Vis $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) \lambda_{\text {max }}(\log \varepsilon): 659$ (3.22), 609 (3.98), 537 (4.06), 424 (5.41) nm.

## D.1.2 Synthesis of the 2B-Porphyrin-Dyads

$(1 \alpha, 4 \alpha, 4 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 5 \beta, 5 \mathrm{a} \beta, 6 \beta, 13 \beta, 13 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 14 \beta, 14 \mathrm{a} \alpha)$-6,13-Ethano(1,4,4a,5,5a,6,13,13a,14,14a)-decahydro-1,4:5,14-dimethano-7,12-dimethoxypentacene-16,17-dione (25)


To an ice-cold solution of 6B-ene-2B-diol-DMN 17 ( 506 mg , 1.175 mmol ) and $p$-toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate ( 1153 mg , $6.061 \mathrm{mmol}, \sim 5 \mathrm{eq}$.$) in dichloromethane (20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise 4 -acetamido-TEMPO ( $1297 \mathrm{mg}, 6.081 \mathrm{mmol}, \sim 5$ eq.) in dichloromethane ( 30 mL ) in the dark. On completion of the addition ( 120 minutes) the mixture was stirred for 5 days in the dark at RT. A white precipitate was filtered off and ethanol ( 10 mL ) was added to quench the reaction. The bright yellow solution was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure to give a crude yellow solid ( 600 mg ), which was purified by column chromatography (light petroleum/ethyl acetate 1:2). Yield: 303 mg ( $0.710 \mathrm{mmol}, 60.5 \%$ ) 6B-ene-2B-dione-DMN 25 as a bright yellow solid. Melting point: $264-265{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}: 426$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=0.45(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=12.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.16(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.36$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.00(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.06(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.31(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.34(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=12.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.89$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 3.90(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.50(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.97(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.54(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 8.09$ ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=32.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 41.9(2 \mathrm{CH}), 46.6(2 \mathrm{CH}), 48.8$ $(2 \mathrm{CH}), 51.6(2 \mathrm{CH}), 51.7(2 \mathrm{CH}), 53.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 63.4\left(2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 122.5\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 123.4\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right)$, $126.8\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 129.2\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 135.4(2=\mathrm{CH}), 149.2\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{OMe}\right), 191.8(2 \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{ppm}$.
IR(KBr): 3047, 2934, 1743, 1725, 1601, 1455, 1359, 1094, $1089 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
UV-Vis $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) \lambda_{\max }(\log \varepsilon): 458(1.59), 322$ (3.15), 294 (3.79), 285 (3.77) nm.
$(1 \alpha, 4 \alpha, 4 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 5 \beta, 5 \mathrm{a} \beta, 6 \beta, 13 \beta, 13 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 14 \beta, 14 \mathrm{a} \alpha)-6,13-\operatorname{Ethano}(1,2,3,4,4 \mathrm{a}, 5,5 \mathrm{a}, 6,13,13 \mathrm{a}, 14,14 \mathrm{a})-$ dodecahydro-1,4:5,14-dimethano-7,12-dimethoxypentacene-16,17-dione (26) and $(1 \alpha, 4 \alpha, 4 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 5 \beta, 5 \mathrm{a} \beta, 6 \beta, 13 \beta, 13 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 14 \beta, 14 \mathrm{a} \alpha)-6,13$-Ethano(1,2,3,4,4a,5,5a,6,13,13a,14,14a)-dodecahydro-17-hydroxy-1,4:5,14-dimethano-7,12-dimethoxypentacene-16-one (27)

A suspension of 6B-ene-2B-dione-DMN 25 ( $124 \mathrm{mg}, 0.291 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and some $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ in ethyl acetate ( 2.5 mL ) was stirred at RT for 24 hours under a hy drogen atmosphere. The $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ was filtered off and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The crude yellow products were purified by column chromatography (light petroleum/ethyl acetate 1:1). Yield (26): 48 mg ( $0.112 \mathrm{mmol}, 38.5 \%$ ) bright yellow 2B-dione-DMN 26. Melting point: 257$259{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ : 428 . Yield (27): 40 mg ( $0.093 \mathrm{mmol}, 31.9$ \%) yellow 2B-ketol-DMN 27.

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 26\right): \delta=0.84(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=13.8), 1.21$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.27(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.35(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.46(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.69(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.78(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $13.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.05(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.27(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.36(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.90$ $(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.53(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.54(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=6.4,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.09(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=6.4$, $3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathbf{2 6}\right): \delta=24.4\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 32.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 40.3(2 \mathrm{CH})$, $41.0(2 \mathrm{CH}), 42.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 50.3(2 \mathrm{CH}), 50.8(2 \mathrm{CH}), 51.9(2 \mathrm{CH}), 63.4\left(2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 122.5\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right)$, $123.4\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 126.7\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 129.4\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 149.1\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{OMe}\right), 192.2(2 \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{ppm}$.

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 27\right): \delta=1.19(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.31$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.51(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.55(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.60(2 \mathrm{H}$, s), $1.68(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 1.74(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.89(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=$ $9.2,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.21(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.23(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.38(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.85(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.87(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $3.96(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.12(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.50(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 8.07(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, 27): $\delta=24.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 24.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 30.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 39.3(\mathrm{CH}), 39.6(\mathrm{CH}), 40.1(\mathrm{CH}), 41.1(\mathrm{CH})$, $41.2(\mathrm{CH}), 42.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 46.8(\mathrm{CH}), 50.3(\mathrm{CH}), 50.5(\mathrm{CH}), 51.7(\mathrm{CH}), 55.2(\mathrm{CH}), 62.9\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$, $63.2\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 74.3(\mathrm{CHOH}), 122.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 122.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 125.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 126.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 126.2$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 128.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 130.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 146.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{OMe}\right), 148.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{OMe}\right), 213.0$ ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ) ppm.
$(1 \alpha, 4 \alpha, 4 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 5 \beta, 5 \mathrm{a} \beta, 6 \beta, 13 \beta, 13 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 14 \beta, 14 \mathrm{a} \alpha)$-6,13-Ethano(1,2,3,4,4a,5,5a,6,13,13a,14,14a)-dodecahydro-16,17-cis-dihydroxy-1,4:5,14-dimethano-7,12-dimethoxypentacene (24)


A suspension of 6B-ene-2B-diol-DMN 17 ( $210 \mathrm{mg}, 0.488 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and some $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ in ethyl acetate ( 5 mL ) was stirred at RT for 24
hours under a hydrogen atmosphere. The $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ was filtered off and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. Yield: 208 mg ( $0.481 \mathrm{mmol}, 98.5 \%$ ) 2B-diol-DMN 24 as a white solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=1.17(1 \mathrm{H}), 1.31(2 \mathrm{H}), 1.34(1 \mathrm{H}), 1.46(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.51(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs})$, $1.54(1 \mathrm{H}), 1.67(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.22(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.24(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.54(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 3.76(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $3.95(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.41(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.46(2 \mathrm{H}, 6.4,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.08(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=6.4,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm}$.

## $(1 \alpha, 4 \alpha, 4 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 5 \beta, 5 \mathrm{a} \beta, 6 \beta, 13 \beta, 13 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 14 \beta, 14 \mathrm{a} \alpha)-6,13-\operatorname{Ethano}(1,2,3,4,4 \mathrm{a}, 5,5 \mathrm{a}, 6,13,13 \mathrm{a}, 14,14 \mathrm{a})-$ dodecahydro-1,4:5,14-dimethano-7,12-dimethoxypentacene-16,17-dione (26)



To an ice-cold solution of 2B-diol-DMN 24 ( $208 \mathrm{mg}, 0.481 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $p$-toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate ( $462 \mathrm{mg}, 2.429 \mathrm{mmol}$, $\sim 5$ eq.) in dichloromethane ( 10 mL ) was added dropwise 4-acetamido-TEMPO ( $548 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 2.569 \mathrm{mmol}, \quad \sim 5 \quad$ eq.) in dichloromethane ( 10 mL ) in the dark. On completion of the addition ( 120 minutes) the mixture was stirred at RT for 5 days in the dark. A white precipitate was filtered off and ethanol ( 10 mL ) was added to the slightly orange solution. The bright yellow solution was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure and the crude yellow solid was purified by column chromatography (light petroleum/ethyl acetate 1:1). Yield: 187 mg ( $0.436 \mathrm{mmol}, 90.6$ \%) 2B-dione-DMN 26 as a bright yellow solid. Melting point: $257-259{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}: 428$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=0.84(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=13.8), 1.21(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.27(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.35(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.46(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.69(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.78(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $13.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.05(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.27(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.36(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.90(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.53(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=$ $1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.54(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=6.4,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.09(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=6.4,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(75.5$ $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=24.4\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 32.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 40.3(2 \mathrm{CH}), 41.0(2 \mathrm{CH}), 42.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 50.3(2 \mathrm{CH})$, $50.8(2 \mathrm{CH}), 51.9(2 \mathrm{CH}), 63.4\left(2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 122.5\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 123.4\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 126.7\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 129.4$ $\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 149.1\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{OMe}\right), 192.2(2 \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{ppm}$.

## 2B-Porphyrin-DMN (33)



A solution of 26 ( $42 \mathrm{mg}, 0.098 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 'diaminoporphyrin' 153 $(118 \mathrm{mg}, 0.099 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dichloromethane $(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated in a dark pressure tube for 4 days at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under an argon atmosphere. Over the 4 days the solvent was allowed to boil off slowly. The crude product was then purified by column chromatography (chloroform). Yield: 114 mg ( $0.072 \mathrm{mmol}, 73.2$ \%) 2B-porphyrin-DMN 33. Melting point: $>300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=-2.30(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}),-0.16(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \quad J=$ $13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.24(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.8-1.7(6 \mathrm{H}), 1.55(18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.60(18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.61(18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $1.63(18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.77(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.26(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.31(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.47(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.13(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.25(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $7.53(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.88(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.03(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.08(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.1(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.16(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs})$, $8.17(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 8.19(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 8.70(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.85(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 9.06(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=4.9,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm}$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=24.4\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 30.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.8\left(12 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 32.0\left(6 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 32.1$ $\left(6 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 35.1(8 \mathrm{C}), 40.0(2 \mathrm{CH}), 41.1(2 \mathrm{CH}), 42.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 45.0(2 \mathrm{CH}), 51.0(2 \mathrm{CH}), 51.2(2 \mathrm{CH})$, $63.3\left(2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 118.0(2 \mathrm{C}), 120.7\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 121.2\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 122.4\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right)$, $123.1(2 \mathrm{C})$, $126.2\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.1\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.2\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 128.5\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.8\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.9\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}}\right.$ $\left.{ }_{\text {Ar }} \mathrm{H}\right), 129.4\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {pyrrolic }} \mathrm{H}\right), 129.6\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{\text {pyrrolic }} \mathrm{H}\right), 134.3\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {quinoxaline }} \mathrm{H}\right)$, 138.2 (2C), 139.7 (2C), $140.0(4 \mathrm{C}), 140.8(2 \mathrm{C}), 141.1(2 \mathrm{C}), 145.7\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{OMe}\right), 147.5\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 148.9\left(6 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right), 149.0$ $\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right), 149.1$ (2C), 153.8 (2C), 155.0 (2C), 158.4 (2C) ppm.

## 2B-Porphyrin-NQ (44)



To a solution of 2B-porphyrin-DMN 33 ( $80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0504 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous dichloromethane ( 2.5 mL ) under an argon atmosphere was added boron tribromide ( 3 drops) at $-45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The red-brown solution was allowed to warm-up to RT and was stirred overnight in the dark. The green mixture was poured onto half-saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed with more half-saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(5 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. To the organic phase was added lead dioxide ( 220 mg ) and the mixture was stirred in the dark for 30 minutes, then filtered and solvents were removed under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (chloroform/light petroleum 10:1). Yield: 75 mg ( $0.0481 \mathrm{mmol}, 95.5 \%$ ) 2B-porphyrin-NQ 44. Melting point: $>300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=-2.39(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}),-0.51(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.88(2 \mathrm{H}), 1.18$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.27(4 \mathrm{H}), 1.50(18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.54(18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.55(18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.56(18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.70$ ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}$ ), $2.16(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.25(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 2.37(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.19(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 7.71(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.81(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=$ $1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.96(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.00(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 8.04(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 8.09(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 8.12(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs})$, $8.13(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 8.64(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.78(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.99(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=6.8,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(75.5$ $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=24.4\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 30.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.8\left(12 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 32.1\left(12 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 35.1(8 \mathrm{C}), 39.6$ $(2 \mathrm{CH}), 41.0(2 \mathrm{CH}), 42.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 44.2(2 \mathrm{CH}), 50.8(2 \mathrm{CH}), 51.3(2 \mathrm{CH}), 118.1(2 \mathrm{C}), 120.7\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}-}\right.$ $\left.{ }_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 121.3\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 123.1(2 \mathrm{C}), 126.7\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.2\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.5\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{0-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.7$ $\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right)$, $128.9\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right)$, $129.6\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{\text {pyrrolic }} \mathrm{H}\right)$, $129.7\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {pyrrolic }} \mathrm{H}\right)$, $132.2\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 133.9$ $\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 134.3\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {quinoxaline }} \mathrm{H}\right), 138.2(2 \mathrm{C}), 139.5(2 \mathrm{C}), 139.9(2 \mathrm{C}), 140.0(2 \mathrm{C}), 140.8$ (2C), $141.1(2 \mathrm{C}), 145.5\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 148.9\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right), 149.1\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right), 149.4(2 \mathrm{C}), 154.0(2 \mathrm{C}), 155.1$ (2C), $156.3(2 \mathrm{C}), 180.9\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}=\mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{ppm}$.

## 2B-Porphyrin-TCNQ (47)



To an ice-cold solution of 2B-porphyrin-NQ 44 ( $75 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0481 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous dichloromethane ( 5 mL ) was added titanium(IV) chloride ( $0.015 \mathrm{~mL}, 26 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1368 \mathrm{mmol}, \sim 2.5 \mathrm{eq}$.). The red-brown solution was heated at reflux for 10 minutes, after which malononitrile ( 16 mg , $0.2407, \sim 5 \mathrm{eq}$.$) in anhydrous dichloromethane ( 2.5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added, followed by another 2 hours at reflux. Pyridine ( $23 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2907 \mathrm{mmol}$, $\sim 5$ eq.) in anhydrous dichloromethane ( 1.5 mL ) was added dropwise and the mixture stirred at RT for 3 days. The mixture was poured onto $0.5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, washed with $0.5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the crude product was purified by column chromatography (chloroform/light petroleum 1.5:1) to yield the product along with 10 mg ( $0.0064 \mathrm{mmol}, ~ 13.3 \%$ ) of starting material. Yield: 25 mg ( $0.0151 \mathrm{mmol}, 31.4 \%$ ) 2B-porphyrin-TCNQ 47. Melting point: $>300^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{M}^{+}$(MALDI): 1654.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=-2.42(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}),-0.68(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=13.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.90(2 \mathrm{H}), 1.17$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=13.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.25(1 \mathrm{H}), 1.40(1 \mathrm{H}), 1.52(18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.53(18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.54(36 \mathrm{H}), 1.60$ $(2 \mathrm{H}), 1.83(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.29(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.40(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.65(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.44(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.61(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=6.0$, $3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.80(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.93(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.95(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 8.03(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 8.07$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 8.08(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 8.24(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=6.0,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.56(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.77(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.98(4 \mathrm{H}$, bs) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=24.4\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 30.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.8\left(12 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 31.9$
$\left(6 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 32.0\left(6 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 35.1(8 \mathrm{C}), 39.5(2 \mathrm{CH}), 41.0(2 \mathrm{CH}), 42.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 49.5(2 \mathrm{CH}), 50.2$ $(2 \mathrm{CH}), 51.0(2 \mathrm{CH}), 82.6\left(2=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}\right), 113.1(2 \mathrm{CN}), 113.3(2 \mathrm{CN}), 118.0(2 \mathrm{C}), 120.7\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}-}\right.$ $\left.{ }_{\text {Ar }} \mathrm{H}\right), 121.3\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 123.3(2 \mathrm{C}), 127.8\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.3\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.5\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{0-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.6$ $\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.7\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 129.0\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 129.6\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{\text {pyrrolic }} \mathrm{H}\right), 129.8\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {pyrrolic }} \mathrm{H}\right), 132.2$ $\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 134.4\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {quinoxaline }} \mathrm{H}\right), 138.3$ (2C), 139.3 (2C), 139.9 (2C), 140.1 (2C), 140.7 (2C), $141.0(2 \mathrm{C}), 145.1\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 146.5\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 148.9\left(8 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right), 149.1(2 \mathrm{C}), 154.1(2 \mathrm{C}), 154.7$ (2C), $155.2(2 \mathrm{C}), 156.2\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}\right) \mathrm{ppm}$.

UV-Vis $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) \lambda_{\text {max }}(\log \varepsilon): 656$ (3.47), 615 (3.94), 428 (5.53) nm.

## D.1.3 Synthesis of the Bis-Porphyrin-Dyads

$(1 \alpha, 4 \alpha, 4 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 5 \beta, 5 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 6 \beta, 13 \beta, 13 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 14 \beta, 14 \mathrm{a} \alpha)-6,13-$ Ethano-(1,4,4a,5,5a,6,13,13a,14,14a)-decahydro-1,4:5,14-dimethano-7,12-dimethoxypentacene-2,3,16,17-tetraone (28)


To an ice-cold solution of 6B-diol-2B-diol-DMN 19 ( 1.05 g , 2.2 mmol ) and $p$-toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate $(4.29 \mathrm{~g}$, $22 \mathrm{mmol}, \sim 10 \mathrm{eq}$.) in dichloromethane ( 30 mL ) was added drop wise 4-acetamido-TEMPO ( $4.80 \mathrm{~g}, 22 \mathrm{mmol}, \sim 10 \mathrm{eq}$.) in dichloromethane $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ in the dark. On completion of the addition ( 30 minutes) the mixture was stirred for 26 hours in the dark at RT. A white precipitate was filtered off and ethanol ( 3 mL ) was added to quench the reaction. The bright yellow solution was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine ( 30 mL ) then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was dissolved in boiling chloroform. Upon addition of diethyl ether the tetraone precipitated as a yellow solid. Yield: 560 mg ( $1.227 \mathrm{mmol}, 55.8 \%$ ) tetraone-DMN 28. Melting point: $>300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; m/z: 456 .
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=0.82(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.04(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.92$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.06(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.18(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 2.42(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 2.54(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.17$ ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}$ ), $3.89(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.55(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 7.56(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 8.09(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=31.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 34.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 40.8(2 \mathrm{CH}), 48.9(2 \mathrm{CH}), 51.4(2 \mathrm{CH}), 51.8(2 \mathrm{CH}), 53.1$ $(2 \mathrm{CH}), 63.5\left(2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 122.5\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 122.7\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 127.1\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 129.2\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 149.3$ $\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{OMe}\right)$, $191.1(2 \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 202.1(2 \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{ppm}$.
IR (KBr): 2987, 2932, 1750, 1723, 1603, 1452, $1360 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
UV-Vis $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) \lambda_{\max }(\log \varepsilon): 458(2.89), 317$ (3.24), 274 (4.25), 252 (4.13) nm.

## 6B-Porphyrin-2 B-dione-DMN (34) and 6B-dione-2B-porphyrin-DMN (35)

A solution of tetraone-DMN $28(95 \mathrm{mg}, 0.209 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 'diaminop orphyrin' 153 ( 499 mg , $0.417 \mathrm{mmol}, \sim 2$ eq.) in de-oxy genated dichloromethane ( 5 mL ) was heated in a dark pressure tube at $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for three days under an argon atmosphere. The solvent was removed under an argon stream and the crude products were purified by column chromatography (chloroform). Yield (34): 40 mg ( $0.0248 \mathrm{mmol}, 11.8 \%$ ) 6B-p orphyrin-2B-dione-DMN 34. Melting point: $>300{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{MH}^{+}$(MALDI): 1616. Yield (35): 103 mg ( $0.0637 \mathrm{mmol}, 30.5 \%$ ) 6B-dione-2Bporphyrin 35. Melting point: $>300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\mathrm{M}^{+}$(MALDI): 1616.

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 34\right): \delta=-2.29(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}),-0.56(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $14.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.45(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=14.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.60(18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.62(18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.63$ $(18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.66(18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.11(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.21(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.32(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.62(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.67(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.79(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.95$ $(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.61(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.58(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.90(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.06(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{t}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.10(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.16(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 8.17(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=$ $1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.21(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.65(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.88(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 9.10(4 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{AB}_{\text {quartet }}, J=12.8,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathbf{3 4}\right)$ : $\delta=29.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.9\left(24 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 35.2(8 \mathrm{C}), 41.1(2 \mathrm{CH}), 46.6(2 \mathrm{CH}), 46.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 48.2(2 \mathrm{CH})$, $50.1(2 \mathrm{CH}), 50.9(2 \mathrm{CH}), 51.6(2 \mathrm{CH}), 63.6\left(2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 118.2(2 \mathrm{C}), 120.8\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 121.3\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}-}\right.$ $\left.{ }_{A r} \mathrm{H}\right), 122.6\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 122.9\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 123.1(2 \mathrm{C}), 127.0\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.2\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{0-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.6\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}}\right.$ $\left.{ }_{\text {Ar }} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.7\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{0-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.8\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {o-Ar }} \mathrm{H}\right), 129.3\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {pyrrolic }} \mathrm{H}\right)$, $129.7\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{\text {pyrrolic }} \mathrm{H}\right), 131.0\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {Ar }}\right)$, $134.4\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {pyrizene }} \mathrm{H}\right), 138.3$ (2C), 139.8 (2C), 139.9 (2C), 140.0 (2C), 140.9 (2C), 141.2 (2C), $145.7(2 \mathrm{C}), 148.9\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}(\mathrm{tBu})_{3}\right), 149.0\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}(\mathrm{tBu})_{3}\right), 149.3\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{OMe}\right), 154.0(2 \mathrm{C}), 155.1$ (2C), 163.6 (2C), 191.4 (2C=O) ppm.

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathbf{3 5}\right): \delta=-2.36(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}),-0.04(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $14.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.24(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=14.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.58(18 \mathrm{H}), 1.59(36 \mathrm{H}), 1.61(18 \mathrm{H})$, $1.88(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.06(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.35(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.48$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.61(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.17(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.11(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.25(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.52(2 \mathrm{H}$, m), $7.86(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.02(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.04(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 8.10$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 8.13(4 \mathrm{H}), 8.14(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 8.63(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.83(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 9.04(4 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{AB}_{\text {quartet }} J=10.3,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathbf{3 5}\right): \delta=$ $30.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.8\left(12 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 31.9\left(6 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 32.1\left(6 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 33.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 35.1$ (8C), $40.6(2 \mathrm{CH}), 44.4(2 \mathrm{CH}), 49.2(2 \mathrm{CH}), 52.8(2 \mathrm{CH}), 53.2(2 \mathrm{CH}), 63.5\left(2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 118.1$ $(2 \mathrm{C}), 120.7\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 121.2\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 122.5\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 123.1(2 \mathrm{C}), 126.4\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.1$
$\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{0-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.3\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 128.5\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.6\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.8\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 129.6\left(6 \mathrm{C}_{\text {pyrrofic }} \mathrm{H}\right)$, $134.2\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {quinoxaline }} \mathrm{H}\right), 138.2$ (2C), 139.8 (4C), 140.0 (2C), 140.8 (2C), 141.1 (2C), 145.6 $\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 147.6\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{OMe}\right), 148.9\left(8 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right), 149.3(2 \mathrm{C}), 153.9(2 \mathrm{C}), 155.0(2 \mathrm{C}), 157.0$ (2C), $202.4(2 \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{ppm}$.

## Bis-porphyrin-DMN (36)



A mixture of mono-adducts $\mathbf{3 4 / 3 5}(90 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0558 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 'diaminop orphyrin' 153 ( $75 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0633 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dichloromethane $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated in a dark pressure tube for 4 days at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under an argon atmosphere. Over the 4 days the solvent was allowed to evaporate slowly through a tiny leak. The crude product was then purified by column chromatography (chloroform). Yield: 59 mg ( $0.0212 \mathrm{mmol}, 38.0 \%$ ) bis-porphyrin-DMN 36. Melting point: > 300 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{MH}^{+}$(MALDI): 2777.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=-2.62(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}),-2.59(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}),-0.82(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $-0.26(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.36(18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.38(18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.42(18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.43(18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.436$ $(18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.442(18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.46(18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.47(18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.04(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.38(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 2.62(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}), 2.63(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 3.68(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.04(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.17(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.49(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=6.5,3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.72$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.73(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.78(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=1.7), 7.79(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.83$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.85(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.86(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.88(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.94(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.95(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.97(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.99(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=$ $1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.09(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=6.5,3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.36(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.37(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.642(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.644(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $8.85(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=27.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 32.1\left(24 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 32.2$ $\left(6 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 32.3\left(12 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 32.4\left(6 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 35.4(16 \mathrm{C}), 41.1(2 \mathrm{CH}), 45.0(2 \mathrm{CH}), 47.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 48.6$ $(2 \mathrm{CH}), 50.7(2 \mathrm{CH}), 52.2(2 \mathrm{CH}), 63.7\left(2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 118.17(2 \mathrm{C}), 118.24(2 \mathrm{C}), 120.9\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right)$, $121.4\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 122.8\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 123.2(4 \mathrm{C}), 126.7\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.3\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{0-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.6\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{0-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right)$, $128.9\left(8 \mathrm{C}_{\text {o-Ar }} \mathrm{H}\right), 129.6\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{\text {pyrrolic }} \mathrm{H}\right)$, $129.7\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {Ar }}\right), 129.8\left(8 \mathrm{C}_{\text {pyrrolic }} \mathrm{H}\right), 134.5\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{\text {quinoxaline }} \mathrm{H}\right)$, 138.3 (4C), 139.8 (4C), 140.1 ( 8 C ), 140.9 (2C), 141.0 (2C), 141.3 ( 4 C ), 145.8 ( $2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ), 147.9 $\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{OMe}\right), 149.1\left(16 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}^{\mathrm{t}}}{ }^{\mathrm{Bu}}\right), 149.3$ (4C), 153.9 (2C), 154.0 (2C), 155.2 (4C), 157.9 (2C), 164.5 (2C) ppm.

UV-Vis $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) \lambda_{\text {max }}(\log \varepsilon): 658$ (3.66), 609.5 (4.32), 536 (4.51), 424 (5.79) nm.

## Bis-porphyrin-NQ (45)



To a solution of bis-porphyrin-DMN 36 ( $28 \mathrm{mg}, 0.010 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous dichloromethane ( 2.5 mL ) was added boron tribromide (one drop) at $-45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was slowly allowed to warm to RT and was stirred overnight under an argon atmosphere in the dark. The green solution was poured onto half-saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. Without isolating the hydroquinone lead dioxide ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.209 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to the burgundy red solution. The mixture was stirred for 1.5 hours, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the crude product was purified by column chromatography (chloroform). Yield: 23 mg ( $0.0084 \mathrm{mmol}, 83.8 \%$ ) bis-porphyrin-NQ 45. Melting point: $>300^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;(\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H})^{+}$(MALDI): 2748.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=-2.60(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}),-0.84(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}),-0.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.40(36 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.42(18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.439(18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.444(36 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.47(18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.48$ $(18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.08(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.37(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.62(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.63(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.69(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.20(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.72$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=5.6,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.74(4 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.81(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.83(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 7.87(6 \mathrm{H}), 7.95$ $(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.99(4 \mathrm{H}), 8.14(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=5.6,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.36(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.41(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.65(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.85$ $(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=29.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 31.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 35.0$ $(16 \mathrm{C}), 40.3(2 \mathrm{CH}), 43.9(2 \mathrm{CH}), 48.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 50.2(2 \mathrm{CH}), 52.0(2 \mathrm{CH}), 53.4(2 \mathrm{CH}), 117.9(4 \mathrm{C})$, $120.5\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 121.1\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 122.8(2 \mathrm{C}), 122.9(2 \mathrm{C}), 126.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 127.9\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right)$, $128.3\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.6\left(8 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 129.3\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{\text {pyrrolic }} \mathrm{H}\right), 129.5\left(8 \mathrm{C}_{\text {pyrrolic }} \mathrm{H}\right), 132.2\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 134.0$ $\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 134.1\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{\text {quinoxaline }} \mathrm{H}\right), 138.0(4 \mathrm{C}), 139.1$ (2C), 139.5 (2C), 139.7 ( 8 C$), 140.5$ (2C), $140.6(2 \mathrm{C}), 141.0(4 \mathrm{C}), 145.2(2 \mathrm{C}), 145.4\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 148.7\left(8 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right), 148.9\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right), 149.0$ $\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right), 149.7$ (2C), 153.5 (2C), 153.7 (2C), 154.9 (4C), 155.4 (2C), 163.9 (2C), 180.8 $\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}=\mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{ppm}$.

## Bis-porphyrin-TCNQ (48)



Malononitrile ( $7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1060 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of bis-porphyrin-NQ $45(59 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 0.0214 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous dichloromethane $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. At $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ titanium(IV) chloride ( 0.02 mL ) was added. The mixture was allowed to warm-up to RT and stirred for 9 hours in the dark under an argon atmosphere. Then $\beta$-alanine ( 8 mg , $0.0898 \mathrm{mmol})$ along with anhydrous pyridine $(0.1 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the brown solution. After 10 hours further pyridine $(0.1 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. After 2 hours the mixture was poured onto 0.25 M HCl $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was washed with $0.25 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(2 \mathrm{x} 10 \mathrm{~mL}), 1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ (sat., 10 mL ) and brine $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure and the crude product was purified twice by column chromatography (chloroform, then light petroleum/ethyl acetate 3:1). Yield: 18 mg ( $0.0063 \mathrm{mmol}, 29.6 \%$ ) bis-porphyrin-TCNQ 48. Melting point: $>300{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C},(\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H})^{+}$(MALDI): 2845. $14 \mathrm{mg}(0.0051$, $23.8 \%)$ starting material bis-p orphyrin-NQ 45 was recovered.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=-2.62(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}),-2.61(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}),-0.84(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=12.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $-0.68(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.37(18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.41(36 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.42(18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.44(36 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.476$ $(18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.480(18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.06(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.14(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.69(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.78$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.88(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.74(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.46(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.61(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=6.4,2.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.739(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.741(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.76(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.816(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}$, $J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.825(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.826(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.85(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.87$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.93(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.94(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.98(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.99(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.24(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=6.1,3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.34(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.35(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.647(2 \mathrm{H}$, s), $8.650(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.85(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=29.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.7$ $\left(24 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 31.9\left(12 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 32.0\left(12 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 35.0(16 \mathrm{C}), 40.2(2 \mathrm{CH}), 47.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 48.1(2 \mathrm{CH}), 49.1$ $(2 \mathrm{CH}), 49.7(2 \mathrm{CH}), 51.8(2 \mathrm{CH}), 82.9\left(2=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}\right), 113.2(4 \mathrm{CN}), 117.8(4 \mathrm{C}), 120.47\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}-}\right.$ $\left.{ }_{\text {Ar }} \mathrm{H}\right), 120.52\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right)$, $121.05\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {p-Ar }} \mathrm{H}\right)$, $121.11\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {p-Ar }} \mathrm{H}\right)$, $122.8(2 \mathrm{C}), 123.0(2 \mathrm{C}), 127.8$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 127.9\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{0-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.0\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{0-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.26\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{0-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.3\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.4\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{0-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right)$, $128.5\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{\text {o-Ar }} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.6\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.8\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {Ar }}\right), 129.2\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {pyrrolic }} \mathrm{H}\right), 129.4\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{\text {pyrrolic }} \mathrm{H}\right), 129.5$ $\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{\text {pyrrolic }} \mathrm{H}\right), 129.7\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {pyrrolic }} \mathrm{H}\right)$, $132.3\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {ar }} \mathrm{H}\right)$, $134.1\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {quinoxaline }} \mathrm{H}\right), 134.2\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {quinoxaline }} \mathrm{H}\right)$, 138.0 (2C), 138.1 (2C), 139.1 (2C), 139.4 (2C), 139.6 (2C), 139.7 (4C), 139.9 (2C), 140.5 $(2 \mathrm{C}), 140.6(2 \mathrm{C}), 140.8(2 \mathrm{C}), 141.0(1 \mathrm{C}), 144.8(2 \mathrm{C}), 145.4\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 146.8(2 \mathrm{C}), 148.7$
$\left(16 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right), 153.5(2 \mathrm{C}), 153.8$ (4C), 154.8 (2C), 155.0 (2C), $156.1\left(2 \underline{\mathrm{C}}_{\mathrm{Ar}}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}\right), 163.9$ (2C) ppm.

## 6B-[Porphyrinato]zinc-2B-porphyrin-DMN (49) and 6B-porphyrin-2B-[porphyrinato]-zinc-DMN (50)



A solution of 6B-dione-2B-p orphyrin-DMN $35(44 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0272 \mathrm{mmol})$ and [diaminoporphyrinato] zinc 149 ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0318 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in deoxygenated dichloromethane ( 2.5 mL ) was heated in a dark pressure tube at $120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for three weeks under an argon atmosphere. The solvent was removed under an argon stream and the crude product was purified by column chromatography (chloroform). Yield: 17 mg ( $0.0059 \mathrm{mmol}, 21.7 \%$ ) of a mixture of 6B-[porphyrinato]zinc-2B-porphyrin-DMN 49 and 6B-porphyrin-2B-[porphyrinato]zinc-DMN
50. Melting points: $>300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## D.1.4 Synthesis of the Kinked Bis-Porphyrin-Dyads

$(1 \alpha, 4 \alpha, 4 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 5 \beta, 5 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 6 \alpha, 10 \alpha, 10 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 11 \beta, 11 \mathrm{a} \alpha)-1,4,4 \mathrm{a}, 5,5 \mathrm{a}, 6,10,10 \mathrm{a}, 11,11 \mathrm{a}$-decahydro-7,8-dichloro-1,4:5,11:6:10-trimethano-12,12-dimethoxy-9H-cyclohepta[b]naphthalene (6)
 $\mathrm{CCl}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}(16.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 22.86 \mathrm{~g}, 119.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise to an ice-cold suspension of $\mathbf{3}(11.06 \mathrm{~g}, 38.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ and sodium methoxide $(6.79 \mathrm{~g}, 95 \%, 119.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ in double-bond and aromatic compounds-free light petroleum ( 180 mL ). On completion of the addition ( 5 hours) the mixture was allowed to warm up to RT and stirred for 17 hours. The yellow-brown suspension was poured onto $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(500 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layer was extracted with chloroform ( 3 x 100 mL ), the combined organic layers were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(200 \mathrm{~mL})$ then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure to give the crude product, which was purified by washing with ice-cold ethyl acetate. Yield: $3.85 \mathrm{~g}(10.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 26 \%) 6$. The mother liquor was evaporated to dryness and purified by column chromatography (ethyl acetate/light petroleum 1:5) to give a mixture of product/starting material ( $7.06 \mathrm{~g}, 1: 3.7$ ), which was reacted again at the above mentioned conditions to give further $3.44 \mathrm{~g}(9.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ 6 as colourless crystals. Yield after two runs: $7.56 \mathrm{~g}(20.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 52 \%)$ 6. Melting point: 174$176^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}: 366$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=0.93(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.44(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=11.8,3.4), 1.83$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.3), 1.95(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.04(4 \mathrm{H}), 2.11(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.63(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=10.9$, $6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.82(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=5.6), 2.87(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 2.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 3.06(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.16(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.12$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 5.99(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.02(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=31.0$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 33.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 36.9(2 \mathrm{CH}), 38.8(\mathrm{CH}), 38.9(\mathrm{CH}), 47.6(\mathrm{CH}), 47.7(\mathrm{CH}), 48.5(\mathrm{CH}), 48.6$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 49.6\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 52.1\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 54.7(\mathrm{CH}), 55.6(\mathrm{CH}), 62.6(\mathrm{CHCl}), 121.9\left(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{OMe})_{2}\right), 132.2$ $(=\mathrm{CH}), 132.56(=\mathrm{CCl}), 132.64(=\mathrm{CH}), 134.3(=\mathrm{CH}) \mathrm{ppm}$.
$(1 \alpha, 4 \alpha, 4 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 5 \beta, 5 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 6 \alpha, 10 \alpha, 10 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 11 \beta, 11 \mathrm{a} \alpha)-1,4,4 \mathrm{a}, 5,5 \mathrm{a}, 6,10,10 \mathrm{a}, 11,11 \mathrm{a}-$ decahydro-1,4:5,11:6:10-trimethano-12,12-dimethoxy-9H-cyclohepta[b]naphthalene (7)


Over a period of 45 minutes sodium $(9.5 \mathrm{~g}, 412 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added in small pieces to a refluxing solution of $6(7.51 \mathrm{~g}, 20.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ in a mixture of anhydrous iso-propanol ( 30 mL ) and tetrahydrofuran $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ under an argon atmosphere. After refluxing for 21 hours the mixture was cooled to RT and poured onto $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(300 \mathrm{~mL})$. Diethyl ether $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, the layers were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether ( $4 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined, slightly yellow organic solutions were reduced to 250 mL , washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(200 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure and the white product was recrystallised from dichloromethane/methanol. Yield: $6.09 \mathrm{~g}(20.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 99 \%) 7$. Melting point: $112-114{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; m/z: 298.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=1.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.44(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.47$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 1.82(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.87(2 \mathrm{H}), 1.91(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.97(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.02(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,4.1), 2.20$ $(1 \mathrm{H}), 2.29(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.38(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.87(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.07(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.16(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.38(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=$ $9.4,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.80(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.98(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=30.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 30.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 36.6(\mathrm{CH}), 37.1(\mathrm{CH}), 37.9(\mathrm{CH}), 38.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 38.4(\mathrm{CH})$, $47.8(\mathrm{CH}), 47.9(\mathrm{CH}), 48.6(\mathrm{CH}), 48.7(\mathrm{CH}), 49.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 52.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 56.4(\mathrm{CH}), 57.9(\mathrm{CH})$, 122.0 (C), 128.4 (=CH), $132.2(=\mathrm{CH}), 132.5(=\mathrm{CH}), 133.3(=\mathrm{CH}) \mathrm{ppm}$.
$(1 \alpha, 4 \alpha, 4 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 5 \beta, 5 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 6 \alpha, 10 \alpha, 10 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 11 \beta, 11 \mathrm{a} \alpha)-1,4,4 \mathrm{a}, 5,5 \mathrm{a}, 6,10,10 \mathrm{a}, 11,11 \mathrm{a}$-decahydro-1,4:5,11:6:10-trimethano-9H-cyclohepta[b]naphthalene-12-one (8)


A solution of $73.00 \mathrm{~g}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in tetrahydrofuran $(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ and formic acid $(90 \%, 60 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred overnight at RT. The colourless solution was poured onto $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The white precipitate was filtered off, washed
with methanol and dried under high vacuum to give 8 ( $2.11 \mathrm{~g}, 8.36 \mathrm{mmol}, 83.6 \%)$. The mother-liquor was extracted with diethyl ether $(4 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the combined organic layers were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}), 1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (until no more gas evolved) and brine $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$, then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvents were evap orated under reduced pressure and the white solid was washed with methanol to give further $8(0.2 \mathrm{~g}, 0.793 \mathrm{mmol}, 7.9 \%)$. Total yield: $2.31 \mathrm{~g}\left(9.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 91.5\right.$ \%) 8 . Melting point: $94{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; m/z (-CO): 224.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=1.43(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.55(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=11.6,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $1.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=11.6,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.82(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.85(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8.2$, $4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.06(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8.6,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.23(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 2.32(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.07(2 \mathrm{H}$, m), $5.38(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=9.2,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.80(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=9.2,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.34(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} ;$ ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=30.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 36.7(\mathrm{CH}), 37.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 37.9(\mathrm{CH})$, $39.6(\mathrm{CH}), 40.8(\mathrm{CH}), 44.6(\mathrm{CH}), 44.7(\mathrm{CH}), 51.8(\mathrm{CH}), 51.9(\mathrm{CH}), 56.1(\mathrm{CH}), 57.5(\mathrm{CH})$, $128.5(=\mathrm{CH}), 130.3(=\mathrm{CH}), 130.7(=\mathrm{CH})$, $133.1(=\mathrm{CH}), 199.7(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{ppm}$.
(4a $\alpha, 5 \beta, 5 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 6 \alpha, 10 \alpha, 10 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 11 \beta, 11 \mathrm{a} \alpha)-4 \mathrm{a}, 5,5 \mathrm{a}, 6,10,10 \mathrm{a}, 11,11 \mathrm{a}-O c t c a h y d r o-5,11: 6,10-$ dimethano-9H-cyclohepta[b]naphthalene (9)


A solution of $\mathbf{8}(2.3 \mathrm{~g}, 9.114 \mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated at reflux for 2 hours, followed by stirring at RT overnight. Toluene was removed under reduced pressure to y ield 9 as a white solid. Melting point: $91-92^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=1.42(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.43(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.97$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}), 2.06(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=10.2,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.10(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.23(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.31(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}), 2.33(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.42(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.42(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.46(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.58(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.84(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) \mathrm{ppm}$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=30.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 30.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 37.1(\mathrm{CH}), 37.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 38.3(\mathrm{CH})$, $44.69(\mathrm{CH}), 44.73(\mathrm{CH}), 45.5(\mathrm{CH}), 46.5(\mathrm{CH}), 54.3(\mathrm{CH}), 56.0(\mathrm{CH}), 121.3(2=\mathrm{CH}), 128.43$ $(=\mathrm{CH}), 128.46(=\mathrm{CH}), 128.53(=\mathrm{CH}), 133.0(=\mathrm{CH}) \mathrm{ppm}$.
$(5 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 6 \beta, 6 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 7 \beta, 7 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 8 \alpha, 12 \alpha, 12 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 13 \beta, 13 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 14 \beta, 14 \mathrm{a} \alpha)-5 \mathrm{a}, 6,6 \mathrm{a}, 7,7 \mathrm{a}, 8,12,12 \mathrm{a}, 13,13 \mathrm{a}, 14$, 14a-Dodecahydro-6,14-etheno-7,13:8,12-dimethano-9H-cyclohepta[b]naphthacene-5,15dione (11)


To the refluxing solution of crude 9 in ethanol ( 30 mL ) was added 1,4-naphthoquinone $(1.45 \mathrm{~g}, 9.166 \mathrm{mmol})$. After one hour a white precipitate was filtered off and the mother liquor was heated at reflux for further three hours. More precipitate was filtered off and combined with the first
precipitate. Yield: $2.93 \mathrm{~g}(7.660 \mathrm{mmol}, 84.0 \%) \mathbf{1 1}$. Melting point: $224-226^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{dec}.) ; \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ : 382.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=1.42(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.50(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.72(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.83$ $(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.02(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.03(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.19(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}), 2.31(1 \mathrm{H}), 2.40(1 \mathrm{H}), 3.14(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.45(2 \mathrm{H}$, bs), $5.37(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=9.1,5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.79(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.00(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.66(2 \mathrm{H}$, m), $7.98(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=29.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 30.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 36.7(\mathrm{CH})$, $37.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 38.0(\mathrm{CH}), 41.0(\mathrm{CH}), 41.0(\mathrm{CH}), 41.2(\mathrm{CH}), 42.3(\mathrm{CH}), 50.2(\mathrm{CH}), 50.3(\mathrm{CH})$, $51.6(\mathrm{CH}), 51.7(\mathrm{CH}), 55.8(\mathrm{CH}), 57.2(\mathrm{CH}), 126.8\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.5(=\mathrm{CH}), 133.0(=\mathrm{CH})$, $133.1(=\mathrm{CH}), 133.4(=\mathrm{CH})$, $133.9\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 136.0\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 197.7(2 \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{ppm}$.
$(6 \beta, 6 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 7 \beta, 7 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 8 \alpha, 12 \alpha, 12 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 13 \beta, 13 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 14 \beta)-6,6 \mathrm{a}, 7,7 \mathrm{a}, 8,12,12 \mathrm{a}, 13,13 \mathrm{a}, 14$-Decahydro-6,14-etheno-7,13:8,12-dimethano-5,15-dimethoxy-9H-cyclohepta[b]naphthacene (13) and $(1 \alpha, 4 \alpha, 4 \mathrm{a} \beta, 5 \alpha, 9 \alpha, 9 \mathrm{a} \beta)-1,4,4 \mathrm{a}, 5,9,9 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{Hexahydro-1,4:5,9-dimethano-6H-cyclohepta}[b]$ benzene (15)

To an ice-cold suspension of $\mathbf{1 1}(2.75 \mathrm{~g}, 7.23 \mathrm{mmol})$ in tetrahydrofuran ( 50 mL ) and hexamethylphosphoramide ( 3 mL ) under an argon atmosphere was added dropwise a solution of potassium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide ( $4.33 \mathrm{~g}, 21.70 \mathrm{mmol}, \sim 3$ eq.) in toluene ( 43 mL ). On completion of the addition ( 2 hours), methyl iodide ( $4.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 10.26 \mathrm{~g}, 72.3 \mathrm{mmol}, \sim 20 \mathrm{eq}$.) was added dropwise to the dark brown solution. The mixture was allowed to warm-up to RT and stirred overnight. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was dissolved in diethyl ether $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine ( 100 mL ), then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the crude orange oil was purified by column chromatography (chloroform/light petroleum 1:1). Yield: $1.28 \mathrm{~g}(3.11 \mathrm{mmol}, 43.0 \%)$ ring-exp anded 6B-ene-2B-ene-DMN 13 as a colourless solid. The retro-Diels-Alder products 9,10dimethoxy anthracene (as a yellow solid) and $\mathbf{1 5}$ (as a colourless oil) were isolated as sideproducts.

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathbf{1 3}\right): \delta=1.44(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.65$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.77(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=17.7,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.18(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.23(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.31(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 2.39(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 3.96(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $4.49(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, ~ J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.47(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=6.7,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.88(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.49(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}$, $J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.47(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=6.4,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.08(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=6.4,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (75.5 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathbf{1 3}\right): \delta=30.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 30.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 36.6(\mathrm{CH}), 37.9(\mathrm{CH}), 38.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 38.7$
$(\mathrm{CH}), 38.8(\mathrm{CH}), 40.4(\mathrm{CH}), 41.7(\mathrm{CH}), 51.2(\mathrm{CH}), 51.4(\mathrm{CH}), 55.9(\mathrm{CH}), 57.4(\mathrm{CH}), 62.6$ $\left(2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 122.2\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 125.2\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 127.1\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 128.4(=\mathrm{CH}), 133.1(=\mathrm{CH}), 134.6$ $(=\mathrm{CH}), 134.68\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 134.73\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 134.9(=\mathrm{CH}), 144.89\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{OMe}\right), 144.94\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{OMe}\right) \mathrm{ppm}$.

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 15\right): \delta=1.20(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.7), 1.65(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=10.2)$, $1.80(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=17.7,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.08(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.15(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.26(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.30(1 \mathrm{H}), 2.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 2.49(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.55(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.56$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.88(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.24(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=5.6,3.0), 6.31(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=5.3$, 3.0) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 15\right): \delta=30.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 34.5(\mathrm{CH}), 36.1(\mathrm{CH}), 40.4$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 41.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 41.68(\mathrm{CH}), 41.73\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 50.1(\mathrm{CH}), 52.1(\mathrm{CH}), 128.8(=\mathrm{CH}), 132.6$ $(=\mathrm{CH}), 141.2(=\mathrm{CH}), 142.3(=\mathrm{CH}) \mathrm{ppm}$.
$(6 \beta, 6 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 7 \beta, 7 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 8 \alpha, 12 \alpha, 12 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 13 \beta, 13 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 14 \beta)-6,6 \mathrm{a}, 7,7 \mathrm{a}, 8,12,12 \mathrm{a}, 13,13 \mathrm{a}, 14$-Decahydro-6,14-ethano-16,17-cis-dihydroxy-7,13:8,12-dimethano-5,15-dimethoxy-9H-cyclohepta[b] naphthacene (18) and (6 $\beta, 6 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 7 \beta, 7 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 8 \alpha, 12 \alpha, 12 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 13 \beta, 13 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 14 \beta)-6,6 \mathrm{a}, 7,7 \mathrm{a}, 8,12,12 \mathrm{a}, 13$, 13a,14-Decahydro-6,14-ethano-16-hydroxy-7,13:8,12-dimethano-5,15-dimethoxy-9Hcyclohepta[b] naphthacene-17-one (21)

To a colourless suspension of ring-expanded 6B-ene-2B-ene-DMN 13 ( $800 \mathrm{mg}, 1.949 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 4-methyl-N-morpholine-N-oxide ( $570 \mathrm{mg}, 4.871 \mathrm{mmol}, \sim 2.5 \mathrm{eq}$.) in 1,4 -dioxane ( 40 mL ) was added dropwise $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}(10 \mathrm{mg}, 2 \%)$ in tert-butanol $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$. The golden solution was stirred at RT for 7 days, after which further $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}(1 \%)$ in tert-butanol ( 1 mL ) and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added. After 15 days, $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}(1 \mathrm{~g})$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the mixture stirred for a further 30 minutes, then extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The solvents were removed under reduced pressure and the crude residue was purified by column chromatography (light petroleum/ethyl acetate $3: 1$ ). Yield: 175 mg ( 0.394 mmol , 20.2 \%) ring-expanded 6B-ene-2B-diol-DMN 18 as a white solid. Melting point: $136^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dec.) $; \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}: 444$. As a side product a diastereomeric mixture of the ring-expanded 6B-ene-2B-ketol-DMN 21 was isolated. Yield: $43 \mathrm{mg}(0.097 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.0 \%) 21$.

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathbf{1 8}\right): \delta=1.16(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.41$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 1.44(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=9.9,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.53(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=9.9$, $2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.78(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 1.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=17.0,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.14(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J=12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.25(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.30(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 2.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 2.46(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $3.77(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8.7,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.93(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=3.4,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.960(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.963(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $4.39(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 5.51(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.87(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.46(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 8.08(2 \mathrm{H}$,
m) $\mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathbf{1 8}\right): \delta=30.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 36.6(\mathrm{CH}), 37.79$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 37.85\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 37.9(\mathrm{CH}), 39.0(\mathrm{CH}), 39.86(\mathrm{CH}), 39.93(\mathrm{CH}), 48.7(\mathrm{CH}), 48.8(\mathrm{CH})$, $55.1(\mathrm{CH}), 56.5(\mathrm{CH}), 62.9\left(2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 65.66(\mathrm{CHOH}), 65.72(\mathrm{CHOH}), 122.2\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 125.4$ $\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 127.7\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 128.7(=\mathrm{CH}), 129.45\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 129.49\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 132.9(=\mathrm{CH}), 148.2$ ( $2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{OMe}$ ) ppm.

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 21\right): \delta=0.97(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=12.8$, $6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.78(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.80(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 1.84(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs})$, $1.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.11(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.20(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.24(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.33(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.38(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.45$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 2.54(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.42(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.98(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.89(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.12$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.48(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.85(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=16.7,8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.49(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 8.08(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-$ NMR (75.5 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathbf{2 1}\right): \delta=30.5,30.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.9,32.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 36.5,36.77(\mathrm{CH})$, 37.76, $38.0(\mathrm{CH}), 37.8,37.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 38.7,39.0(\mathrm{CH}), 40.1(\mathrm{CH}), 40.6(\mathrm{CH}), 47.38,47.42$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 51.1,51.3(\mathrm{CH}), 52.2(\mathrm{CH}), 53.8,56.7(\mathrm{CH}), 55.2,55.3(\mathrm{CH}), 63.1\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 63.2$ $\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 69.89(\mathrm{CHOH}), 69.94(\mathrm{CHOH}), 122.3,122.4\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 125.8,126.1\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.3$, $128.4\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 128.6,128.9(=\mathrm{CH}), 128.80,128.82\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 132.7,132.9(=\mathrm{CH}), 147.8,148.8$ ( $2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{OMe}$ ), 212.8, 212.9 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ) ppm.
$(6 \beta, 6 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 7 \beta, 7 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 8 \alpha, 12 \alpha, 12 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 13 \beta, 13 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 14 \beta)-6,6 \mathrm{a}, 7,7 \mathrm{a}, 8,10,11,12,12 \mathrm{a}, 13,13 \mathrm{a}, 14$-Dodeca-hydro-6,14-ethano-10,11,16,17-cis,cis-tetrahydroxy-7,13:8,12-dimethano-5,15-dimethoxy-9H-cyclohepta[b]naphthacene (20)


To a solution of ring-exp anded 6B-ene-2B-diol-DMN 18 ( 180 mg , 0.4048 mmol ) and 4 -methyl-N-morpholine- N -oxide $\quad(130 \mathrm{mg}$, $1.110 \mathrm{mmol}, \sim 2.5 \mathrm{eq}$.) in 1,4-dioxane ( 8 mL ) was added $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$ $(2 \mathrm{mg}, 2 \%)$ in tert-butanol $(0.4 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The golden solution was stirred at RT in the dark for eight weeks, after which dichloromethane ( 5 mL ) and $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}(0.5 \mathrm{~g})$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added. The brown mixture was stirred for 1 hour, the lay ers were separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine ( 20 mL ), then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure to give a slightly yellow solid, which was recrystallised from ethyl acetate/light petroleum. Yield: $136 \mathrm{mg}(0.2841 \mathrm{mmol}, 70.2 \%)$ ringexp anded 6B-diol-2B-diol-DMN 20 as a white solid; m/z: 478.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=1.05(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=11.7,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $1.68(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.80(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=16.6,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.90(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.05(1 \mathrm{H}), 2.13(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 2.26$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.32(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.45(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 2.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 2.85(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.82(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 3.91$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=10.6,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.98(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.99(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.38(1 \mathrm{H}), 4.41(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 7.50(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}$, $J=6.4,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.10(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=6.4,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=30.9$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 33.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 34.0(\mathrm{CH}), 38.1(\mathrm{CH}), 38.9(\mathrm{CH}), 39.9(2 \mathrm{CH}), 46.1(\mathrm{CH}), 47.9$ $(2 \mathrm{CH}), 52.2(\mathrm{CH}), 52.8(\mathrm{CH}), 63.0\left(2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 63.7(\mathrm{CHOH}), 65.7(2 \mathrm{CHOH}), 68.6(\mathrm{CHOH})$, $122.3\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 125.7\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 127.9(2 \mathrm{CAr}), 129.0\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 148.5\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{OMe}\right) \mathrm{ppm}$.
$(6 \beta, 6 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 7 \beta, 7 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 8 \alpha, 12 \alpha, 12 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 13 \beta, 13 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 14 \beta)-6,6 \mathrm{a}, 7,7 \mathrm{a}, 8,10,12,12 \mathrm{a}, 13,13 \mathrm{a}, 14$-Undeca-hydro-6,14-ethano-10-hydroxy-7,13:8,12-dimethano-5,15-dimethoxy-9H-cyclohepta[b] naphthacene-11,16,17-trione (29) and ( $6 \beta, 6 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 7 \beta, 7 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 8 \alpha, 12 \alpha, 12 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 13 \beta, 13 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 14 \beta$ )$6,6 \mathrm{a}, 7,7 \mathrm{a}, 8,12,12 \mathrm{a}, 13,13 \mathrm{a}, 14-$ Decahydro-6,14-ethano-10-hydroxy-7,13:8,12-dimethano-5,15-dimethoxy-cyclohepta[b]naphthacene-11,16,17-trione (30enol)

To an ice-cold solution of ring-expanded 6B-diol-2B-diol-DMN 20 ( $130 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2716 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $p$-toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate ( $521 \mathrm{mg}, 2.730 \mathrm{mmol}, \sim 10$ eq.) in anhydrous dichloromethane ( 5 mL ) was added dropwise 4 -acetamido-TEMPO ( $488 \mathrm{mg}, 2.757 \mathrm{mmol}$, $\sim 10$ eq.) in anhydrous dichloromethane ( 10 mL ). On completion of the addition ( 30 minutes) the mixture was stirred for five days in the dark at RT. A white precipitate was filtered off and ethanol ( 5 mL ) was added to the yellow solution, which was stirred for a further 30 minutes. The bright yellow solution was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure to give a yellow oil ( 245 mg ), which was purified by column chromatography (light petroleum/ethyl acetate 1:4, 1:6). Yield (29): $69 \mathrm{mg}(0.146 \mathrm{mmol}, 53.8 \%)$ of the bright yellow ring-expanded 6B-ketol-2B-dioneDMN 29. Melting point: $170{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec.; m/z: 472. Yield (30enol): $37 \mathrm{mg}(0.0786 \mathrm{mmol}$, 28.9 \%) ring-expanded 6B-keto-enol-2B-dione-DMN 30 enol as a yellow solid.

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathbf{2 9}\right): \delta=0.73(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=13.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.05$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=13.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.70(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.95(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.16(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 2.25$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.50(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.54(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.58(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.02(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.32$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.89(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.43(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.57(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.43$ (1OH), $7.55(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=6.0,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.10(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 29\right)$ : $\delta=32.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 35.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 40.3(\mathrm{CH}), 40.7(\mathrm{CH}), 40.8(\mathrm{CH}), 43.3(\mathrm{CH}), 44.8(\mathrm{CH}), 46.2$, $46.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 49.8(\mathrm{CH}), 51.0(\mathrm{CH}), 51.2(\mathrm{CH}), 51.5(\mathrm{CH}), 51.6(\mathrm{CH}), 63.4\left(2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 72.3$
$(\mathrm{CHOH}), 122.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 122.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 122.6\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 126.9\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 129.08\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 129.13$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 149.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{OMe}\right)$, $149.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{OMe}\right)$, $191.6(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$, $192.0(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$, $210.1(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{ppm}$.

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 30 \mathrm{enol}\right): \delta=0.69(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=14.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $1.33(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=14.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.92(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.12(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$,
$2.30(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.41(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 3.00(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 3.15(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 3.88(6 \mathrm{H}$, s), $4.52(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.11(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.54(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=6.4$, $3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.08(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=6.4,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathbf{3 0 e n o l}\right): \delta=33.0$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 38.6(\mathrm{CH}), 39.7(\mathrm{CH}), 41.2(\mathrm{CH}), 43.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 50.4(\mathrm{CH}), 50.5(\mathrm{CH}), 50.9(\mathrm{CH}), 51.3$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 51.5(\mathrm{CH}), 51.6(\mathrm{CH}), 52.7(\mathrm{CH}), 63.4\left(2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 119.5(=\mathrm{CH}), 122.45\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {Ar }} \mathrm{H}\right), 122.49$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 122.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 123.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 126.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 127.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 129.1\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 149.10\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{OMe}\right)$, $149.15\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{OMe}\right), 149.9(=\mathrm{COH}), 191.45(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$, $191.47(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 196.4(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{ppm}$
$(6 \beta, 6 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 7 \beta, 7 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 8 \alpha, 12 \alpha, 12 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 13 \beta, 13 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 14 \beta)-6,6 \mathrm{a}, 7,7 \mathrm{a}, 8,12,12 \mathrm{a}, 13,13 \mathrm{a}, 14$-Decahydro-6,14-ethano-7,13:8,12-dimethano-5,15-dimethoxy-9H-cyclohepta[b]naphthacene-10,11,16,17-tetraone (30)


To an ice-cold solution of ring-expanded 6B-ketol-2B-dione-DMN 29 ( $115 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2423 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $p$-toluenesulfonic acid monohy drate ( $230 \mathrm{mg}, 1.2115 \mathrm{mmol}, \sim 5 \mathrm{eq}$. ) in anhydrous dichloromethane $(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added portionwise a solution of 4 -acetamido-TEMPO ( $258 \mathrm{mg}, 1.2115 \mathrm{mmol}, \sim 5 \mathrm{eq}$.) in dichloromethane ( 6 mL ). On completion of the addition ( 30 minutes) the mixture was allowed to warm-up to RT and was stirred for 20 days in the dark. Ethanol ( 2 mL ) was added to the yellow solution, which was stirred for further 30 minutes. The bright yellow solution was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure to give a y ellow solid, which was purified by column chromatography (light petroleum/ethyl acetate 1:4). Yield: 65 mg ( $0.1381 \mathrm{mmol}, 57.0 \%$ ) ring-expanded 6B-dione-2B-dione-DMN 30 as a yellow solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=0.32(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=14.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.01(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=14.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.81$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.21(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 2.28(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.38(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 2.53(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.56(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $3.19(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 3.86(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 3.90(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.55(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.58(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.56(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 8.10(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=30.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 35.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $39.7(\mathrm{CH}), 40.4(\mathrm{CH}), 40.6(\mathrm{CH}), 41.3(\mathrm{CH}), 46.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 48.3(\mathrm{CH}), 49.3(\mathrm{CH}), 50.2(\mathrm{CH})$, $51.1(\mathrm{CH}), 51.3(\mathrm{CH}), 53.5(\mathrm{CH}), 63.3\left(2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 122.2\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 122.3\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 126.8\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right)$,
$128.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 129.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 148.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{OMe}\right), 149.0\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{OMe}\right)$, $190.9(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 191.4(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$, 191.9 (C=O), 196.4 (C=O) ppm.

Kinked 6B-porphyrin-2B-dione-DMN (37)


A solution of ring-expanded 6B-dione-2B-dione-DMN 30 ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 0.0637 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 'diaminoporphyrin' $(80 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.0669 mmol ) in de-oxy genated dichloromethane ( 3 mL ) was heated for five days in a dark pressure tube at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (chloroform). Yield: $16 \mathrm{mg}(0.0098 \mathrm{mmol}, 15.4 \%)$ kinked 6B-porphyrin-2B-dione-DMN 37 . Melting point: $>300^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{MH}^{+}$
(MALDI): 1631.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=-2.37(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.48(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=13.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $13.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.54(72 \mathrm{H}), 1.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.08(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 2.24(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.28$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.32(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.48(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.59(1 \mathrm{H}$, s), $2.94(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=18.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.38(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=18.5,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.74(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.90$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.91(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.57(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.56(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=6.2$, $3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.83(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=2.8,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.00(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.02$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 8.05(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.11(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=6.2,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.12(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 8.13(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}$, $J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.55(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.66(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.79(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 9.01(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=28.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.8\left(18 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 32.0\left(6 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 34.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 35.1(8 \mathrm{C}), 35.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 36.4$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 40.0(\mathrm{CH}), 40.2(\mathrm{CH}), 47.6(\mathrm{CH}), 49.8(\mathrm{CH}), 50.0(\mathrm{CH}), 51.6(\mathrm{CH}), 51.7(\mathrm{CH}), 52.3$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 55.9(\mathrm{CH}), 63.47\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 63.49\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 68.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 118.0(2 \mathrm{C}), 120.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 121.1$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 122.6\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 123.06\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 123.11(\mathrm{C}), 126.9\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{0-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{0}\right.$ $\left.{ }_{\text {Ar }} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {pyrrolic }} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {pyrrolic }} \mathrm{H}\right), 129.17\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {Ar }}\right), 129.21$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {Ar }}\right), 129.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {pyrrolic }} \mathrm{H}\right), 129.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {pyrrolic }} \mathrm{H}\right), 131.0(\mathrm{CH}), 132.3(\mathrm{C}), 134.2\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {quinoxaline }} \mathrm{H}\right), 138.06$ (C), 138.09 (C), 139.0 (C), 139.85 (2C), 139.92 (C), 139.95 (2C), 140.0 (C), 140.72 (C), 140.75 (C), 141.1 (C), 145.6 (C), $145.7(\mathrm{C}), 148.75\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right), 148.81\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right), 148.85$ $\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right), 148.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right), 149.14\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{OMe}\right), 149.18\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{OMe}\right), 154.0(\mathrm{C}), 154.91$ (C), 154.93 (C), 158.8 (C), 167.76 (C), 167.81 (C), 191.6 (C=O), 191.7 (C=O) ppm.

## D. 2 Synthesis of the Triads

## D.2.1 Synthesis of the 2B-Porphyrin Donor

1,2,3,4-Tetrachloro-1,4,4a,5,6,7,8,8a-octahydro-10,10-dimethoxy-endo-1,4-exo-5,8dimethanonaphthalene (54) ${ }^{174}$


A mixture of norbornene $(18.11 \mathrm{~g}, 192 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 5,5 -dimethoxy-1,2,3,4tetrachlorocyclopentadiene $(50.76 \mathrm{~g}, 192 \mathrm{mmol})$ [D.1, page 153) dissolved in toluene ( 15 mL ) was heated at reflux for 40 hours. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure to give a brown oil, which crystallised in the fridge. The crystals were washed with ice-cold light petroleum ( 10 mL ) to give a white solid, which was dried under high vacuum. Yield: 35.42 g ( $98.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 51.5 \%$ ) acetal 54. Melting point: $94-95{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit.: $1^{174}$ 95-96 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); m/z (-HCl): 321.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=0.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.14(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.38(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=$ $11.3,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.54(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.28(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 2.46(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.51(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.58(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) \mathrm{ppm}$.

## 10,10-Dimethoxy-1,4,4a,5,6,7,8,8a-octahydro-endo-1,4-exo-5,8-dimethano-naphthalene (55) ${ }^{171,174}$



To a refluxing solution of $\mathbf{5 4}(35.0 \mathrm{~g}, 98 \mathrm{mmol})$ in a mixture of iso-propanol $(900 \mathrm{~mL})$ and tetrahydrofuran $(300 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added sodium $(91 \mathrm{~g}, 3.96 \mathrm{~mol})$ in small pieces over a period of 5 hours. On completion of the addition the suspension was stirred at reflux for 16 hours. After cooling to RT $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(500 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the aqueous layer extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were evaporated to dryness to give a brown oil, which was dissolved in dichloromethane. This solution was washed with half-saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(200 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous lay er was extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and the combined organic solutions were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure to give crude $\mathbf{5 5}(28 \mathrm{~g})$, which was used without further purification.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=0.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.09(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.40(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.02$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.10(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.17(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=10.3,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.87(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 3.08(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.18(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $6.00(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) \mathrm{ppm}$.

## 1,4,4a,5,6,7,8,8a-Octahydro-endo-1,4-exo-5,8-dimethanonaphthalene-10-one (56) ${ }^{171,174}$



A yellow-milky mixture of crude $55(28.01 \mathrm{~g})$ in tetrahydrofuran ( 200 mL ) and formic acid $(90 \%, 250 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at RT overnight, after which diethyl ether $(600 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(400 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added. The colourless aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether ( $2 \times 200 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and the combined organic solutions were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 200 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{K} \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(4 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$, then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the resulting orange oil was dried under high vacuum. Yield: 21.6 g crude 56. Melting point: $42-44^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit.: ${ }^{174} 44-45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=0.73(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.15(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.44(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.07$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.18(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 2.26(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 3.04(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 6.36(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) \mathrm{ppm}$.

## $\left(1 \alpha, 4 \alpha, 4 \mathrm{a} \beta, 8 \mathrm{a} \beta\right.$ )-1,2,3,4,4a,8a-Hexahydro-1,4-methanonaphthalene (57)${ }^{171,174}$



A solution of crude $56(21.6 \mathrm{~g})$ in toluene $(150 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated to reflux for 17 hours. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure to give 24.48 g of $\mathbf{5 7}$ as a colourless oil.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=1.25(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.35(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.54(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.76$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=9.2,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.03(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.48(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.40(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.56(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ 11.2 Hz) ppm.

## $(1 \alpha, 4 \alpha, 4 a \beta, 5 \alpha, 8 \alpha, 8 a \beta)-1,2,3,4,4 a, 5,6,7,8,8 a-D e c a h y d r o-1,4$-etheno-5,8-methano-naphthalene-2,3-trans-dicarboxylate (58) ${ }^{175}$



A yellow solution of crude $57(10.31 \mathrm{~g})$ and dimethyl fumarate $(5.13 \mathrm{~g}$, 35.6 mmol ) in toluene ( 80 mL ) was heated at reflux for 18 hours. The solution was concentrated under reduced pressure and placed in the freezer. White crystals (excess dimethyl fumarate) were filtered off and the mother-solution was placed in the freezer again. The product precipitated as white crystals, which were dried under high vacuum. Yield: 6.94 g ( 24.2 mmol ) 2B-ene-trans-diester 58. Melting point: $104-106{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; m/z: 290.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=0.63(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=10.0), 1.05(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.36(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.53$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.75(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 2.01(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 2.09$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=10.1,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.73(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=5.6,2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.02(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=6.6,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.08$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=6.6,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.13(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=5.6,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.62(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.73(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.05(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}$, $J=7.4), 6.22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.4) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=31.4\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 34.8$
$\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 37.4(2 \mathrm{CH}), 41.0(\mathrm{CH}), 41.4(\mathrm{CH}), 43.7(\mathrm{CH}), 46.0(\mathrm{CH}), 47.1(\mathrm{CH}), 48.1(\mathrm{CH}), 52.0$ $\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 52.2\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 131.7(=\mathrm{CH}), 134.0(=\mathrm{CH}), 174.5(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 176.5(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{ppm}$.

## $(1 \alpha, 4 \alpha, 4 a \beta, 5 \alpha, 8 \alpha, 8 a \beta)-1,2,3,4,4 a, 5,6,7,8,8 a-D e c a h y d r o-1,4-e t h e n o-2,3-t r a n s-$

 bis(hydroxymethyl)-5,8-methanonaphthalene (65)

A solution of 2B-ene-trans-diester $\mathbf{5 8}(3.50 \mathrm{~g}, 12.05 \mathrm{mmol})$ in tetrahydrofuran $(70 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise to an ice-cold suspension of $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}(2.29 \mathrm{~g}, 60.3 \mathrm{mmol}, \sim 5 \mathrm{eq}$.$) in tetrahydrofuran ( 70 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) under an argon atmosphere. On completion of the addition ( 60 minutes) the mixture was heated at reflux for 20 hours. After cooling the greyish mixture to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{K} \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise to quench the reaction. The mixture was heated at reflux for 15 minutes then filtered hot through a pad of filter aid, which was extracted with boiling diethyl ether. The organic layer was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL})$ then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure to give a white solid, which was dried under high vacuum. Yield: 2.784 g ( $11.88 \mathrm{mmol}, 98.6 \%$ ) 2B-ene-trans-diol 65 as colourless needles. Melting point: 103$104{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}: 234$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=0.63(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.05(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.17(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.37$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.51(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.63(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 1.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.97(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.16(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.49$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.55(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.04(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.49(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=9.2$, $5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.58(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{OH}), 3.65(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.20(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=31.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 35.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 37.1$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 37.4(\mathrm{CH}), 41.1(\mathrm{CH}), 41.6(\mathrm{CH}), 42.9(\mathrm{CH}), 45.9(\mathrm{CH}), 47.9(\mathrm{CH}), 49.6(\mathrm{CH}), 66.1(-$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right), 67.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right)$, $131.1(=\mathrm{CH}), 135.0(=\mathrm{CH}) \mathrm{ppm}$.

## $(1 \alpha, 4 \alpha, 4 a \beta, 5 \alpha, 8 \alpha, 8 a \beta)-1,2,3,4,4 a, 5,6,7,8,8 a-D e c a h y d r o-2,3-t r a n s-b i s(a c e t o x y m e t h y l)-1,4-$

 etheno-5,8-methanonaphthalene (75)

To a solution of 2B-ene-trans-diol $65(436 \mathrm{mg}, 1.86 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous pyridine $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added acetic anhydride $(0.53 \mathrm{~mL}, 570 \mathrm{mg}$, $5.58 \mathrm{mmol})$. The colourless solution was stirred overnight then poured onto a mixture of ice ( 60 g ), dichloromethane $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane ( $4 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were washed with $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(2 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ (sat., $2 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure to give crude 75 ( 495 mg ) as a slightly
yellow oil, which was purified by column chromatography (light petroleum/ethyl acetate 3:1). Yield: 445 mg ( $1.40 \mathrm{mmol}, 75 \%$ ) 2B-ene-trans-diacetate 75 as a colourless oil that crystallises upon standing.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=0.64(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.06(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.13(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.38$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.44(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.56(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.74(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.93(1 \mathrm{H}$, s), $1.98(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.03(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.06(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.17(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=10.0,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.57(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.64$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=10.7,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=10.7,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.04(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=11.0,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $4.19(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=11.0,6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.00(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.21(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-$ NMR ( $75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=21.0\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 31.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 35.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 35.5(\mathrm{CH})$, $36.5(\mathrm{CH}), 41.0(\mathrm{CH}), 41.5(\mathrm{CH}), 42.0(\mathrm{CH}), 42.5(\mathrm{CH}), 49.2(2 \mathrm{CH}), 66.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}\right), 67.5$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}\right), 131.3(=\mathrm{CH}), 134.9(=\mathrm{CH}), 171.07(\mathrm{OAc}), 171.12(\mathrm{OAc}) \mathrm{ppm}$.
$(1 \alpha, 4 \alpha, 4 a \beta, 5 \alpha, 8 \alpha, 8 a \beta)-1,2,3,4,4 \mathrm{a}, 5,6,7,8,8 \mathrm{a}-$ Decahydro-2,3-trans-bis(acetoxymethyl)-1,4-ethano-10,11-cis-dihydroxy-5,8-methanonaphthalene (77)


To a solution of 2B-ene-trans-diacetate 75 ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.63 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 4-methyl-N-morpholine-N-oxide ( $221 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 1.89 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 1,4-dioxane $(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}(1.6 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \%)$ in tert-butanol $(0.3 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (2 drops). After stirring at RT for 3 days $\mathrm{NaS}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}(1 \mathrm{~g})$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dichloromethane $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added to the slightly yellow solution. The red mixture was stirred for 30 minutes, the layers were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane ( 3 x 10 mL ). The combined yellow organic layers were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure to give a yellow oil ( 236 mg ), which was purified by column chromatography (light petroleum/ethyl acetate $1.5: 1$ ). Yield: $99 \mathrm{mg}(0.28 \mathrm{mmol}, 44.6 \%)$ 2B-diol-trans-diacetate 77 as a colourless oil that crystallises up on standing. Melting point: $132{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. As a side product the bright y ellow 2B-dione-trans-diacetate 79 ( $61 \mathrm{mg}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol}, 27.6 \%$ ) was isolated.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=1.12(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 1.14(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 1.19(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.28$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, 11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.59(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.84(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.88(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.91(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 2.03$ ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=10.0,4.3$ ), $2.04(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.09(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.14(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.24(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.29$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.39(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=6.2,3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.44(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.62(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=11.3$, $9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.01(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=11.3,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.11(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=10.6,8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.19(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) \mathrm{ppm}$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=21.0\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 29.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.2(\mathrm{CH}), 31.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 36.6$
$\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 37.9(\mathrm{CH}), 39.4(\mathrm{CH}), 39.9(\mathrm{CH}), 40.2(\mathrm{CH}), 41.8(\mathrm{CH}), 49.6(2 \mathrm{CH}), 65.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$, $65.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 70.4(2 \mathrm{CHOH}), 170.7(\mathrm{OAc}) \mathrm{ppm}$.
$(1 \alpha, 4 \alpha, 4 \mathrm{a} \beta, 5 \alpha, 8 \alpha, 8 \mathrm{a} \beta$ )-1,2,3,4,4a,5,6,7,8,8a-Decahydro-2,3-trans-bis(acetoxymethyl)-1,4-ethano-5,8-methanonaphthalene-10,11-dione (79)


Method 1 (TEMPO reaction): To an ice-cold solution of 2B-diol-transdiacetate 77 ( $676 \mathrm{mg}, 1.92 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $p$-toluenesulfonic acid monohy drate $(1.80 \mathrm{~g}, 9.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \mathrm{eq}$.$) in dichloromethane ( 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added drop wise 4-acetamido-TEMPO ( $2.03 \mathrm{~g}, 9.5 \mathrm{mmol}, \sim 5 \mathrm{eq}$.) in dichloromethane ( 50 mL ). On completion of the addition ( 120 minutes) the mixture was stirred for 72 hours in the dark at RT. A white precipitate was filtered off and ethanol $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the orange solution to quench the reaction. The bright yellow solution was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine ( 50 mL ) then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure to give an orange oil ( 2.30 g ), which was purified by column chromatography (light petroleum/ethyl acetate 1:1.5). Yield: 511 mg ( $1.47 \mathrm{mmol}, 76.6 \%$ ) 2B-diol-trans-diacetate 79 as a bright yellow solid.

Method 2 ('bis-ketonisation'): To a suspension of 2B-ene-trans-diacetate 75 ( 60 mg , 0.19 mmol ) and 4-methyl-N-morpholine-N-oxide ( $132 \mathrm{mg}, 1.13 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \mathrm{eq}$.) in 1,4-dioxane $(1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}(1 \mathrm{mg}, 2 \%)$ in tert-butanol ( 0.2 mL ). After stirring the bright yellow solution for 3 days at RT, $\mathrm{NaS}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}(0.5 \mathrm{~g})$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dichloromethane ( 5 mL ) were added. The red mixture was stirred for 30 minutes, and the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined bright yellow organic layers were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ than dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure to give 115 mg of a bright yellow oil, which was purified by column chromatography (light petroleum/ethyl acetate $1.5: 1$ ). Yield: 52 mg ( $0.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 78.5 \%$ ) 2B-dione-trans-diacetate 79 as a bright yellow solid. Melting point: $124^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}: 348.5 \mathrm{mg}$ ( $0.0014 \mathrm{mmol}, 7.5 \%$ ) 2B-diol-trans-diacetate 77 was isolated as a side product.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=0.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=12.8,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.13(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=12.8$, $1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.26(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.57(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.95(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.07(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.08(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $2.11(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=6.8,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.15(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.17(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.27(1 \mathrm{H}$, dquart, $J=9.4,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $2.85(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=3.4,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.88(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=3.0,2.0), 3.80(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=11.4,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.08$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=11.4,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.23(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=11.1,8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.24(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=1.1,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} ;$ ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=20.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 20.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 29.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 30.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 35.8$
$\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 35.9(\mathrm{CH}), 39.8(\mathrm{CH}), 39.9(\mathrm{CH}), 40.2(\mathrm{CH}), 41.3(\mathrm{CH}), 48.9(\mathrm{CH}), 49.9(\mathrm{CH}), 52.6$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 64.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}\right), 65.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}\right), 170.5(\mathrm{OAc}), 170.8(\mathrm{OAc}), 197.4(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 197.5$ ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ) ppm.

## 2B-Porphyrin-trans-diacetate (103)



A solution of 2B-dione-trans-diacetate $79(66 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1894 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 'diaminoporphyrin' 153 ( $230 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1923 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous and deoxygenated dichloromethane ( 5 mL ) was heated for 3 days at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 15 kbar. The solvent was removed under an argon stream and the crude product was purified by column chromatography (chloroform). Yield: 180 mg ( $0.119 \mathrm{mmol}, 63.0 \%$ ) 2B-porphyrin-trans-diacetate $\mathbf{1 0 3}$ as a purple solid. Melting point: $>300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=-2.38(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}),-0.51(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $0.54(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.30(2 \mathrm{H}), 1.50(2 \mathrm{H}), 1.516(9 \mathrm{H}), 1.526(9 \mathrm{H}), 1.528(9 \mathrm{H}), 1.535$ $(18 \mathrm{H}), 1.540(27 \mathrm{H}), 1.60(1 \mathrm{H}), 1.68(1 \mathrm{H}), 1.78(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.88(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.98(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.18(3 \mathrm{H}$, s), $2.19(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 2.37(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.47(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.50(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=$ $5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.67(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=11.5,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.89(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=11.5,5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.38(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.41(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.81(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.94(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.03(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=$ $1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.05(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.10(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.13(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.67(1 \mathrm{H}$, d, $J=0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.68(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.78(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.98(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ $\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=20.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 21.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 30.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 30.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.8\left(12 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 32.0$ $\left(12 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 34.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 35.1(8 \mathrm{C}), 39.6(\mathrm{CH}), 39.9(\mathrm{CH}), 40.4(\mathrm{CH}), 41.1(\mathrm{CH}), 41.7(\mathrm{CH}), 42.4$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 44.5(\mathrm{CH}), 49.5(\mathrm{CH}), 64.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 66.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 118.0(2 \mathrm{C}), 120.6\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {p-Ar }} \mathrm{H}\right), 121.2$ $\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 123.1(2 \mathrm{C}), 128.1\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.5\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.7\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.9\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right)$, $129.6\left(6 \mathrm{C}_{\text {pyrrolic }} \mathrm{H}\right), 134.3\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {quinoxaline }} \mathrm{H}\right), 138.2$ (2C), 139.7 (2C), 140.0 (2C), 140.7 (2C), $140.8(2 \mathrm{C}), 141.1(2 \mathrm{C}), 145.5(2 \mathrm{C}), 148.9\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{\left.\mathrm{Ar}^{-}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right), 149.0\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}{ }^{-}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right), 154.0(2 \mathrm{C}), 155.0}\right.$ (2C), 158.2 (C), 159.3 (C), 170.7 (OAc), 171.1 (OAc) ppm.

## 2B-Porphyrin-trans-diol (104) and 2B-porphyrin-trans-ol-acetate (105)

Method 1 (hydrolysis): To a solution of 2B-porphyrin-trans-diacetate $\mathbf{1 0 3}$ ( 180 mg , $0.119 \mathrm{mmol})$ in tetrahy drofuran $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added a solution of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(5.9 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol})$ in a 1:1 mixture of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ methanol $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The red/brown solution was stirred overnight at RT under an argon atmosphere. The layers were separated and the solvent was removed from the
organic phase under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (dichloromethane/methanol 20:1). Yield: 102 mg ( $0.0716 \mathrm{mmol}, 60.2 \%$ ) 2B-porphyrin-trans-diol 104.
Method 2 (high pressure, pyridine): A solution of 2B-dione-trans-diacetate 79 ( 32 mg , $0.0919 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 'diaminoporphyrin' $153(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0836 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhy drous and deoxygenated pyridine ( 1 mL ) was heated for 3 days at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 15 kbar . The solvent was removed under an argon stream and the crude product was purified by column chromatography (dichloromethane/methanol 20:1). Yield: 59 mg ( $0.0415 \mathrm{mmol}, 49.6 \%$ ) 2B-porphyrin-trans-diol $\mathbf{1 0 4}$ as a purple solid. Melting point: $>300^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{M}^{+}$(MALDI): 1424. As a side product the 2B-Porphyrin-trans-ol-acetate $\mathbf{1 0 5}$ was isolated. Melting point: $>300{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $\mathrm{M}^{+}$(MALDI): 1466.

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathbf{1 0 4}\right): \delta=-2.38(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}),-0.43(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.53(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.2-1.7(3 \mathrm{H}), 1.53(72 \mathrm{H}), 1.99(2 \mathrm{H}), 2.08$ $(1 \mathrm{H}), 2.19(3 \mathrm{H}), 2.32(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.02(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.41(1 \mathrm{H}$, s), $3.49(1 \mathrm{H}), 3.54(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.98(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.80(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.93(2 \mathrm{H}), 8.03(4 \mathrm{H})$, $8.09(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, ~ J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.65(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.77(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.97(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, ~ J=$ $3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathbf{1 0 4}\right): \delta=30.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 30.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $31.8\left(12 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 32.0\left(12 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 34.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 35.1(8 \mathrm{C}), 40.0(\mathrm{CH}), 40.4(\mathrm{CH})$, $42.4(\mathrm{CH}), 43.5(\mathrm{CH}), 44.0(\mathrm{CH}), 44.3(\mathrm{CH}), 45.3(\mathrm{CH}), 49.2(\mathrm{CH}), 65.3$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right), 65.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right), 117.9(2 \mathrm{C}), 120.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 120.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 121.2\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 123.1$ (2C), $128.1\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{0-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.4\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.6\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.8\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 129.4\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {pyrrolic }} \mathrm{H}\right)$, $129.5\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{\text {pyrrolic }} \mathrm{H}\right), 134.2\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {quinoxaline }} \mathrm{H}\right), 138.1$ (2C), 139.6 (2C), 140.0 (2C), 140.5 (C), 140.6 (C), $140.8(2 \mathrm{C}), 141.0(2 \mathrm{C}), 145.5(2 \mathrm{C}), 148.9\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right), 149.0\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right), 153.9(2 \mathrm{C}), 155.0$ (2C), 158.6 (C), 159.8 (C) ppm.

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR (300 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathbf{1 0 5}\right): \delta=-2.37(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}),-0.47(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.54(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.2-1.7(4 \mathrm{H}), 1.53(72 \mathrm{H}), 1.69(1 \mathrm{H}$, d, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.75(1 \mathrm{H}), 2.03(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.80,2.17(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.20(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs})$, $2.37(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.47(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 3.57(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 3.66(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=10.7$, $7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.88(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=11.7,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.98(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.81(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}$, $J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.93(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 8.03(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 8.05(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 8.10(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.66(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.69(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.78(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.98(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathbf{1 0 5}\right): \delta=20.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 30.7$
$\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.8\left(12 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 32.0\left(12 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 34.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 35.1(8 \mathrm{C}), 40.0(\mathrm{CH}), 40.4(\mathrm{CH}), 41.4$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 41.8(\mathrm{CH}), 42.5(\mathrm{CH}), 43.1(\mathrm{CH}), 44.7(\mathrm{CH}), 49.3(\mathrm{CH}), 64.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right), 66.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$, $117.97(\mathrm{C}), 118.03(\mathrm{C}), 120.56\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 120.62\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 121.1\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 121.2\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right)$, $123.1(2 \mathrm{C}), 128.1\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{0-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.46\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{0-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.54\left(\mathrm{C}_{0-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.65\left(\mathrm{C}_{0-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{0-}\right.$ $\left.{ }_{\text {Ar }} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {o-Ar }} \mathrm{H}\right), 129.6\left(6 \mathrm{C}_{\text {pyrrolic }} \mathrm{H}\right)$, $134.2\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {quinoxaline }} \mathrm{H}\right)$, $138.2(2 \mathrm{C}), 139.6(2 \mathrm{C}), 140.0$ (C), 140.1 (C), 140.6 (C), 140.7 (C), 140.8 (2C), 141.1 (2C), 145.5 (2C), 148.8, 148.9 $\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right), 149.0\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right), 154.0(2 \mathrm{C}), 155.0(2 \mathrm{C}), 158.5(\mathrm{C}), 159.9(\mathrm{C}), 170.8(\mathrm{OAc}) \mathrm{ppm}$.

## 2B-Porphyrin-trans-ditos ylate (110)



At $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ tosylchloride ( $73 \mathrm{mg}, 0.383 \mathrm{mmol}, \sim 5 \mathrm{eq}$.) was added to a solution of 2B-porphyrin-trans-diol $\mathbf{1 0 4}(102 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0716 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous dichloromethane $(6 \mathrm{~mL})$ and pyridine $(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ under an argon atmosphere The mixture was placed in the freezer for 7 days then poured onto 1 M HCl $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The dark red organic layer was washed with $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(2 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$, $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ (sat., 15 mL ) and brine ( 15 mL ) and was then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the crude product was purified by column chromatography (chloroform). Yield: 123 mg ( $0.0709 \mathrm{mmol}, ~ 99.0 \%$ ) 2B-porphyrin-trans-ditosylate $\mathbf{1 1 0}$. Melting point: $>300{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\mathrm{M}^{+}$(MALDI): 1732.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=-2.38(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}),-0.63(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.48(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.12(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.19(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.43(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 1.54(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.553$ $(36 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.554(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.557(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.566(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.70(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=15.0,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.01(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J=13.4,6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.05(1 \mathrm{H}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.09(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.14(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.50(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.38(1 \mathrm{H}$, s), $3.43(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.57(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=10.4,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=10.4,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.29(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $7.05(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.44(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, 8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.56(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.83(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=$ $1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.93(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.968(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.974(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.04$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.05(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=4.7,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.09(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.11(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 8.61$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.62(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.79(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 9.00(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=21.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 21.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 30.47\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 30.53\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.8\left(12 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 31.99\left(6 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $32.03\left(6 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 34.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 35.1(8 \mathrm{C}), 39.5(\mathrm{CH}), 39.8(\mathrm{CH}), 40.2(\mathrm{CH}), 40.7(\mathrm{CH}), 41.3(\mathrm{CH})$, $41.7(\mathrm{CH}), 43.6(\mathrm{CH}), 48.8(\mathrm{CH}), 69.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 70.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 118.0(2 \mathrm{C}), 120.7\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right)$, $121.2\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {p-Ar }} \mathrm{H}\right), 123.2(\mathrm{C}), 127.7\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{\text {Tos }} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.2\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{0-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.7\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right)$, $128.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 129.6\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {pyrrolic }} \mathrm{H}\right), 129.7\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{\text {pyrrolic }} \mathrm{H}\right), 130.2\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{\text {Tos }} \mathrm{H}\right), 132.1\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {Tos }}\right), 132.6$
$\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {Tos }}\right), 134.3\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {quinoxaline }} \mathrm{H}\right), 138.1$ (C), 138.2 (C), 139.56 (C), 139.64 (C), 139.95 (C), 140.00 (C), 140.4 (2C), 140.7 (2C), 140.97 (C), 141.00 (C), 144.9 (C), 145.3 (C), 148.9 $\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right), 148.95\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right), 148.98\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}{ }^{t} \mathrm{Bu}\right), 154.0(2 \mathrm{C}), 155.00(\mathrm{C}), 155.03(\mathrm{C}), 157.0(\mathrm{C})$, 158.3 (C) ppm.

## 2B-[Porphyrinato]zinc-trans-ditos ylate (111)



To a solution of 2B-porphyrin-trans-ditosylate $\mathbf{1 1 0}$ ( $123 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0709 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dichloromethane ( 10 mL ) was added a solution of $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $147 \mathrm{mg}, 0.6697 \mathrm{mmol}, \sim 10$ eq.) in methanol ( 10 mL ). The mixture was stirred overnight at RT under an argon atmosphere, then washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (3 x 15 mL ) and brine ( 15 mL ), then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the crude product was purified by column chromatography (chloroform). Yield: 118 mg ( 0.0657 mmol , 92.7 \%) 2B-[porphyrinato]zinc-trans-ditosy late 111. Melting p oint: $>300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=-0.66(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.46(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.20$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.40(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.49(18 \mathrm{H}), 1.51(9 \mathrm{H}), 1.53(36 \mathrm{H}), 1.54(9 \mathrm{H}), 1.73(1 \mathrm{H}), 1.98(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}$, $J=12.8,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.07(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.12(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 2.49(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.38(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 3.44(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 3.56$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=10.2,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.73(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=10.2,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.26(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 4.29(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=$ $1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.03(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.43(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.54(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.80(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{t}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.92(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.94(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.00(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.01$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.5), 8.04(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.08(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.67(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.68(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $8.86(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.92(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=6.0,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.97(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm}$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=21.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 21.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 29.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 30.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.8$ $\left(12 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 32.0\left(12 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 34.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 35.1(8 \mathrm{C}), 39.6(\mathrm{CH}), 39.8(\mathrm{CH}), 40.3(\mathrm{CH}), 40.8(\mathrm{CH})$, $41.4(\mathrm{CH}), 41.8(\mathrm{CH}), 43.7(\mathrm{CH}), 48.8(\mathrm{CH}), 69.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\right), 70.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\right), 118.8(\mathrm{C}), 120.4$ $\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 121.1\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 125.4(\mathrm{C}), 127.7\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.2\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{0-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.4\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.5$ $\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {o-Ar }} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.8(\mathrm{C}), 129.3\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {Ar }} \mathrm{H}\right), 129.6\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {pyrrolic }} \mathrm{H}\right), 129.7\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 130.2\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 131.6$ $\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {pyrrolic }} \mathrm{H}\right), 131.7\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {pyrrolic }} \mathrm{H}\right), 132.3(\mathrm{C}), 132.6\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {quinoxaline }} \mathrm{H}\right), 132.8(\mathrm{C}), 139.7(\mathrm{C}), 139.8$ (C), 140.3 (C), $141.3(\mathrm{C}), 141.5(\mathrm{C}), 144.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 145.3(\mathrm{C}), 148.7(\mathrm{C}), 148.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right), 149.2$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right), 150.1$ (C), 152.3 (C), 153.2 (C), 157.1 (C), 158.4 (C) ppm.

## 2B-[Porphyrinato]zinc-dimethylidene (112)



To a solution of 2B-[porphyrinato]zinc-trans-ditosylate 111 ( 48 mg , $0.0267 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous and deoxy genated dimethylformamide ( 4 mL ) was added potassium tert-butoxide ( $10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0891 \mathrm{mmol}, \sim 3.5 \mathrm{eq}$.) at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was allowed to warm up to RT slowly and the reaction followed by TLC (light petroleum/ethyl acetate 3:1). After 3 hours the mixture was poured onto of ice ( 20 g ). Sodium chloride was added and the aqueous phase was extracted with dichloromethane until it was colourless. The combined organic layers were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. Solvents were removed under reduced pressure and the crude product was purified by column chromatography (light petroleum/ethyl acetate 3:1). Yield: 36 mg ( $0.0248 \mathrm{mmol}, 92.9$ \%) 2B-[porphyrinato]zinc-dimethy lidene 112. Melting point: $>300^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{MH}^{+}$(MALDI): 1452.2.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=-0.39(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.57(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.26$ $(2 \mathrm{H}), 1.48(2 \mathrm{H}), 1.50(18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.54(54 \mathrm{H}), 2.29(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.37(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.11(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.21(2 \mathrm{H}$, s), $5.48(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.79(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.92(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.98(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.02$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.08(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 8.72(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.86(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.94(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=13.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=30.5\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $31.8\left(12 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 32.0\left(12 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $34.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 35.1(8 \mathrm{C}), 40.0(2 \mathrm{CH}), 48.8(2 \mathrm{CH}), 53.0(2 \mathrm{CH}), 107.8\left(2=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 118.8(2 \mathrm{C})$, $120.3\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 121.1\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 125.3(2 \mathrm{C}), 128.4\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.6\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 129.3$ $\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{\text {pyrrolic }} \mathrm{H}\right), 129.4\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {pyrrolic }} \mathrm{H}\right)$, $131.6(2 \mathrm{C}), 132.5\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {quinoxaline }} \mathrm{H}\right), 139.7$ (2C), 140.6 (2C), $141.3(2 \mathrm{C}), 141.6(2 \mathrm{C}), 141.7(2 \mathrm{C}), 143.2\left(2 \underline{C}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 148.7\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right), 148.9\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right), 149.1$ $\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right), 150.1$ (2C), 152.1 (2C), 153.2 (2C), 157.6 (2C) ppm.

## D.2.2 Model Reactions

## D.2.2.1 Neighbourgroup Variations

$(1 \alpha, 4 \alpha, 4 a \beta, 5 \alpha, 8 \alpha, 8 a \beta)-1,2,3,4,4 a, 5,6,7,8,8 a-D e c a h y d r o-1,4$-ethano-10,11-cis-dihydroxy-

## 5,8-methanonaphthalene-2,3-trans-dicarboxylate (61)



To a solution of 2B-ene-trans-diester 58 ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 1.75 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 4-methyl-N-morpholine-N-oxide ( $620 \mathrm{mg}, 5.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 3$ eq.) in 1,4-dioxane $(6 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}(4.45 \mathrm{mg}, 2 \%)$ in tert-butanol $(0.9 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
( 3 drops). The yellow solution was stirred for 16 hours after which dichloromethane ( 10 mL ) and $\mathrm{NaS}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}(1 \mathrm{~g})$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added. After stirring for 30 minutes at RT the two layers were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane ( $5 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined yellow organic layers were washed with brine and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvents were removed and the crude product was purified by column chromatography (light petroleum/ethyl acetate $1: 1$ ). Yield: 260 mg ( $0.8 \mathrm{mmol}, 46.4 \%$ ) 2B-diol-trans-diester 61 as a colourless oil.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=1.10(2 \mathrm{H}), 1.14(2 \mathrm{H}), 1.35(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.52(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}), 1.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=11.3,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.14(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 2.17(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 2.34(1 \mathrm{H}$, quart., $J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $2.57(1 \mathrm{H}$, quart., $J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.98(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=7.2,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.66(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=7.2,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $3.69(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.73(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.07(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.20(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=8.2,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm}$.

## $(1 \alpha, 2 \alpha, 4 \alpha, 4 \mathrm{a} \beta, 5 \alpha, 8 \alpha, 8 \mathrm{a} \beta)-1,2,3,4,4 \mathrm{a}, 5,6,7,8,8 \mathrm{a}-$ Decahydro-1,4-ethano-[3,11-b]-furan-10-

 hydroxy-5,8-methanonaphthalene-2-carboxylate (62)

Method 1: To a suspension of 2B-ene-trans-diester 58 ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 4-methyl-N-morpholine-N-oxide ( $156 \mathrm{mg}, 1.33 \mathrm{mmol}, \sim 8 \mathrm{eq}$.$) in$ anhydrous 1,4-dioxane ( 1 mL ) was added $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}(0.86 \mathrm{mg}, 2 \%)$ in tertbutanol ( 0.17 mL ). After stirring at RT for 24 hours $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}(0.5 \mathrm{~g})$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dichloromethane ( 5 mL ) were added. The red mixture was stirred for 30 minutes, layers were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane ( 4 x 5 mL ). The combined yellow organic layers were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure to give the crude product $(76 \mathrm{mg})$ which was purified by column chromatography (light petroleum/ethyl acetate 1.5:1). Yield: 28 mg ( $0.10 \mathrm{mmol}, 56 \%$ ) lactone 62 as a y ellow solid. m/z: 292.

Method 2: Upon dissolving the 2B-diol-trans-diester 61 in acetic chloroform- $\mathrm{d}_{1}$ and deuterium oxide the corresponding lactone 62 was formed. Yield: $100 \%$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=1.14(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 1.17(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 1.45(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=9.9,4.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $1.52(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.93(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=9.7,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.13(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.18(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.38(1 \mathrm{H}$, quart., $J=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.61(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.07(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=3.9,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.09(1 \mathrm{H}$, m), $3.72(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.35(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=6.9,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.68(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(75.5$ $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=29.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 36.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 37.4(\mathrm{CH}), 37.8(\mathrm{CH}), 39.1(\mathrm{CH}), 39.4$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 39.5(\mathrm{CH}), 40.0(\mathrm{CH}), 40.9(\mathrm{CH}), 41.5(\mathrm{CH}), 52.5\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 63.3(\mathrm{CHOH}), 76.6(\mathrm{HC}-\mathrm{O})$, $173.6(\mathrm{MeO}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 178.0(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{ppm}$.

IR $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right) v_{\text {max }}: 3450,2940,1770,1730 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
$(1 \alpha, 2 \beta, 3 \beta, 4 \alpha, 4 a \beta, 5 \alpha, 8 \alpha, 8 a \beta)-1,2,3,4,4 a, 5,6,7,8,8 a-D e c a h y d r o-1,4$-etheno-5,8-methano-naphthalene-2,3-cis-dicarboxylate (63)


To a solution of ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 3.4 \mathrm{mmol}) 57 \mathrm{in}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ toluene was added ( $540 \mathrm{mg}, 3.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dimethylmaleate. The slightly yellow solution was heated at reflux for 4 days, after which the toluene was removed under reduced pressure. The brown residue was purified by column chromatography (light petroleum/ethyl acetate 3:1). Yield: $405 \mathrm{mg}(1.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 41.2 \%$ ) 2B-ene-cis-diester 63 as a white solid. Dimethylmaleate ( $315 \mathrm{mg}, 2.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was recovered.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=0.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.02(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=7.2,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $1.35(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.62(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.97(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.13(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.91(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $2.93(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.51(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.14(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=5.1,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : $\delta=31.3\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 34.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 37.3(2 \mathrm{CH}), 41.1(2 \mathrm{CH}), 47.9(2 \mathrm{CH}), 48.4(2 \mathrm{CH}), 51.3$ $\left(2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 131.4(2=\mathrm{CH}), 173.0(2 \mathrm{O}=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{OMe}) \mathrm{ppm}$.

## $(1 \alpha, 4 \alpha, 4 \mathrm{a} \beta, 5 \alpha, 8 \alpha, 8 \mathrm{a} \beta)-1,2,3,4,4 \mathrm{a}, 5,6,7,8,8 \mathrm{a}-$ Decahydro-1,4-ethano-5,8-methano-naphthalene-2,10:3,11-dicarbolactone (64, attempted)



To a suspension of 2B-ene-cis-diester $63(170 \mathrm{mg}, 0.58 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 4-methylN -morpholine- N -oxide ( $432 \mathrm{mg}, 3.7 \mathrm{mmol}, \sim 6$ eq.) in 1,4 -dioxane ( 1 mL ) was added $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}(3.5 \mathrm{mg}, 2 \%)$ in tert-butanol $(0.7 \mathrm{~mL})$. After 7 days at RT no reaction occurred. The yellow solution was then stirred at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 days, after which dichloromethane $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}(0.5 \mathrm{~g})$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added. The aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane ( $5 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and the combined organic layers were washed with $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ (sat., 5 mL ), then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure and the crude mixture was purified by column chromatography (light petroleum / ethyl acetate 1:1). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ showed a mixture of the 2B-ene-cis-diester 63 (starting material) and the 2B-ene-trans-diester 58 (2:3). No bis(lactone) was found.
$(1 \alpha, 4 \alpha, 4 a \beta, 5 \alpha, 8 \alpha, 8 a \beta)-1,2,3,4,4 a, 5,6,7,8,8 a-D e c a h y d r o-1,4$-etheno-5,8-methano-2,3-trans-bis(tos yloxymethyl)naphthalene (66)


At $-23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} p$-toluenesulfonyl chloride ( $843 \mathrm{mg}, 4.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous pyridine ( 4 mL ) was added dropwise to a solution of 2B-ene-trans-diol 65 ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.88 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous pyridine $(15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) under an argon atmosphere. On completion of the addition the flask was stoppered and placed in the freezer for 8 days, after which the slightly blue solution was poured onto ice ( 20 g ). The suspension was extracted with dichloromethane ( $4 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and the combined slightly yellow organic lay ers were washed with $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ (sat., $2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue dried under high vacuum. Yield: 444 mg ( $0.83 \mathrm{mmol}, 94.3 \%$ ) 2B-ene-trans-ditosylate 66 as slightly yellow crystals. Melting point: $125-127^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}: 542$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=0.59(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.89(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=8.1,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $0.94(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{td}, J=8.1,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.99(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.27(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}), 1.33(3 \mathrm{H}), 1.38(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.79(1 \mathrm{H}$, s), $1.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.03(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=10.3,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.46(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.57$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.54(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, 1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.94(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.02(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}$, $J=9.9,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.80(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.00 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.11(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.34(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8.6$, $0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.36(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8.6,0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.73(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=8.4,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.79(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=8.4$, $2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=21.7\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 31.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 34.7$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 35.1(\mathrm{CH}), 35.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 40.8(2 \mathrm{CH}), 41.4(2 \mathrm{CH}), 41.6(\mathrm{CH}), 42.1(\mathrm{CH}), 70.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$, $72.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 127.9\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.0\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 129.9\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 130.0\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 131.0(=\mathrm{CH})$, $133.0\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 135.0(=\mathrm{CH}), 145.0\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right) \mathrm{ppm}$.
$(1 \alpha, 2 \alpha, 4 \alpha, 4 \mathrm{a} \beta, 5 \alpha, 8 \alpha, 8 \mathrm{a} \beta)-1,2,3,4,4 \mathrm{a}, 5,6,7,8,8 \mathrm{a}-$ Decahydro-1,4-ethano-[3,11-b]-furan-10-hydroxy-5,8-methano-2-tos yloxymethylnaphthalene (67)


To a solution of 2B-ene-trans-ditosy late $66(420 \mathrm{mg}, 0.77 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 4-methyl-N-morpholine-N-oxide ( $313 \mathrm{mg}, 2.67 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 1,4-dioxane ( 4 mL ) were added $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}(2 \mathrm{mg}, 2 \%)$ in tert-butanol $(0.4 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2$ drops $)$. The yellow mixture was stirred for 23 hours after which dichloromethane ( 10 mL ) and $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}(1 \mathrm{~g})$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added. The mixture was stirred for another 30 minutes and the two layers were separated. The yellow aqueous layer was washed with dichloromethane ( $4 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and the combined organic solutions were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure to give
the crude product, which was purified by column chromatography (light petroleum/ethyl acetate $2: 1$ ). Yield: $222 \mathrm{mg}(0.55 \mathrm{mmol}, 71.4 \%) 67$ as a white solid. Melting point: 136$137^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}: 405$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=1.08(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.27(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=$ $9.6,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.44(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.54(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.70(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.83(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.01(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $2.04(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.07(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 2.46(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.36(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.73(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=7.9$, $4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.99(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.13(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.35(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8.6,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.79(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=8.4$, $2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=21.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 29.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .31 .6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 35.1$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 36.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 38.0(\mathrm{CH}), 38.9(\mathrm{CH}), 39.5(\mathrm{CH}), 39.8(\mathrm{CH}), 40.1(\mathrm{CH}), 41.7(\mathrm{CH}), 41.8$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 64.7(\mathrm{CHOH}), 72.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OTs}\right), 73.8(\mathrm{HC}-\mathrm{O}), 74.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 127.9\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{\text {Ar }}\right), 129.9$ $\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 133.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 145.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right) \mathrm{ppm}$.
$(1 \alpha, 4 \alpha, 4 a \beta, 5 \alpha, 8 \alpha, 8 a \beta)-1,2,3,4,4 a, 5,6,7,8,8 a-D e c a h y d r o-1,4-$ etheno-5,8-methano-2,3-trans-bis(mes yloxymethyl)naphthalene (68)


At $-23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ methanesulfonyl chloride ( $506 \mathrm{mg}, 4.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise to a solution of 2B-ene-trans-diol $\mathbf{6 5}(200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.88 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous pyridine ( 15 mL ) under an argon atmosphere. On completion of the addition the flask was stoppered and placed in the freezer for 8 days, after which the slightly yellow solution was poured onto ice ( 20 g ). The resulting suspension was extracted with dichloromethane ( $4 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and the combined organic layers were washed with 1 M $\mathrm{HCl}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(\mathrm{sat} ., 2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was dried under high vacuum. Yield: 306 mg ( $0.80 \mathrm{mmol}, 90.9 \%$ ) 2B-ene-trans-dimesylate $\mathbf{6 8}$ as slightly y ellow crystals. Melting point: $104-106^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; m/z: 390.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=0.68(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.08(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.27(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.41$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.61(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.97$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.03(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.13(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=10.3,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.70(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 3.00(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.05(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $3.83(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.23(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=9.9,8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.33(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=9.9,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.06$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.26(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, 7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=31.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $31.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 35.2(\mathrm{CH}), 35.3(\mathrm{CH}), 36.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 37.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 37.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 40.9(\mathrm{CH}), 41.3(\mathrm{CH})$, $41.5(\mathrm{CH}), 42.0(\mathrm{CH}), 42.9(\mathrm{CH}), 48.8(\mathrm{CH}), 71.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OMs}\right), 72.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OM}\right), 131.2(=\mathrm{CH})$, $135.0(=\mathrm{CH}) \mathrm{ppm}$.
$(1 \alpha, 2 \alpha, 4 \alpha, 4 \mathrm{a} \beta, 5 \alpha, 8 \alpha, 8 \mathrm{a} \beta)-1,2,3,4,4 \mathrm{a}, 5,6,7,8,8 \mathrm{a}-$ Decahydro-1,4-ethano-[3,11-b]-furan-10-hydroxy-5,8-methano-2-mes yloxymethyl-naphthalene (69)


To a solution of 2B-ene-trans-dimesylate $\mathbf{6 8}(270 \mathrm{mg}, 0.69 \mathrm{mmol})$ and ( $271 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 2.31 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) 4-methyl-N-morpholine-N-oxide in ( 4 mL ) 1,4dioxane was added ( $2 \mathrm{mg}, 2 \%$ ) $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$ in $(0.4 \mathrm{~mL})$ tert-butanol and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2$ drops). The yellow mixture was stirred for 23 hours at RT, after which dichloromethane $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}(1 \mathrm{~g})$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added. The mixture was stirred for another 30 minutes and the two layers were separated. The yellow aqueous layer was washed with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and the combined organic solutions were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure to give the crude product, which was purified by column chromatography (light petroleum/ethyl acetate $1.5: 1$ ) to give a colourless oil, which was dried under high vacuum. Yield: 174 mg $(0.53 \mathrm{mmol}, 76.8 \%) \mathbf{6 9}$ as a white solid. Melting point: $111-113{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}: 328$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=0.8-1.33(5 \mathrm{H}), 1.46-1.60(3 \mathrm{H}), 1.82(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.91(2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.09(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 2.16(1 \mathrm{H}), 3.03(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.44(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.79(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,4.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $4.06(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=6.2,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.15-4.33(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=$ $29.6\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 35.1(\mathrm{CH}), 36.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 38.2(\mathrm{CH}), 39.0(\mathrm{CH}), 39.6(\mathrm{CH}), 39.8(\mathrm{CH})$, $40.2(\mathrm{CH}), 41.7(\mathrm{CH}), 41.9(\mathrm{CH}), 64.7(\mathrm{CHOH}), 71.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{OMs}\right), 73.8(\mathrm{HC}-\mathrm{O}), 74.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right.$ O) ppm .
$(1 \alpha, 2 \beta, 3 \beta, 4 \alpha, 4 a \beta, 5 \alpha, 8 \alpha, 8 a \beta)-3 a, 4,4 a, 5,6,7,8,8 a, 9 a-D e c a h y d r o-4,9$-etheno-5,8-methano-[2,3-c]furan-1,3-dione (70)


Under an argon atmosphere a solution of $57(500 \mathrm{mg}, 3.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ and maleic anhydride ( $451 \mathrm{mg}, 4.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in toluene ( 6 mL ) was heated at reflux for 15 hours. The slightly yellow solution was placed in the freezer and the white precipitate formed was filtered off under an argon atmosphere, washed with ( 2 mL ) ice-cold toluene and dried under high vacuum. Yield: 445 mg ( $1.8 \mathrm{mmol}, 52.9$ \%) 70. Melting point: $184-185^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=0.71(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.09(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=7.2,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $1.45(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.72(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.00(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=10.2,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.09(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.09(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.28(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.20(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=31.3$ $\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 34.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 36.5(2 \mathrm{CH}), 41.0(2 \mathrm{CH}), 45.6(2 \mathrm{CH}), 47.0(2 \mathrm{CH}), 132.4(2=\mathrm{CH}), 172.5$ (2O-C=O) ppm.
$(1 \alpha, 2 \alpha, 3 \alpha, 4 \alpha, 4 \mathrm{a} \beta, 5 \alpha, 8 \alpha, 8 \mathrm{a} \beta)-1,2,3,4,4 \mathrm{a}, 5,6,7,8,8 \mathrm{a}-$ Decahydro-1,4-etheno-5,8-methano-2,3-cis-bis(hydroxymethyl)naphthalene (71)


To an ice-cold suspension of $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}(250 \mathrm{mg}, 6.59 \mathrm{mmol})$ in tetrahydrofuran ( 20 mL ) was added dropwise $70(410 \mathrm{mg}, 1.68 \mathrm{mmol})$ in tetrahydrofuran ( 60 mL ) under an argon atmosphere. On completion of the addition ( 45 minutes) the greyish mixture was stirred for 3 hours at RT, then cooled down to 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added dropwise to quench the reaction. The suspension was heated at reflux for 15 minutes then filtered through a pad of filter aid, which was extracted with boiling diethyl ether. The colourless aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether ( 2 x 50 mL ). The combined colourless organic layers were washed with brine ( $2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure and the white product was dried under high vacuum. Yield: 370 mg ( $1.58 \mathrm{mmol}, 94.0 \%$ ) 2B-ene-cis-diol 71. Melting point: $187-188^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; m/z: 234.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=0.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.08(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.37(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}), 1.72(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.98(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.08(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.21(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.53(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.53(4 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}), 6.01(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=31.5\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 34.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $39.7(2 \mathrm{CH}), 41.5(2 \mathrm{CH}), 46.7(2 \mathrm{CH}), 49.1(2 \mathrm{CH}), 65.0\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right), 132.3(2=\mathrm{CH}) \mathrm{ppm}$.

## $(1 \alpha, 2 \alpha, 3 \alpha, 4 \alpha, 4 a \beta, 5 \alpha, 8 \alpha, 8 a \beta)-1,2,3,4,4 a, 5,6,7,8,8 a-D e c a h y d r o-1,4$-etheno-5,8-methano-

 2,3-cis-bis(tos yloxymethyl)naphthalene (72)

To a solution of 2B-ene-cis-diol 71 ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.88 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous pyridine ( 15 mL ) was added dropwise $p$-toluenesulfonyl chloride ( 847 mg , $4.42 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \mathrm{eq}$.$) in anhydrous pyridine ( 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) at $-23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under an argon atmosphere. On completion of the addition ( 15 minutes) the flask was stoppered and placed in the freezer for 6 days. The champagne coloured solution was poured onto ice ( 20 g ) and the mixture was extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were washed with $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}\left(3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL}\right.$ ) and $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ (sat., $2 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the white solid was dried under high vacuum. Yield: 406 mg ( $0.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 85.0 \%$ ) 2B-ene-cis-ditosylate 72. Melting point: 123$125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=0.59(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.01(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=7.2,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.35$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=7.2,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.56(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.94(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.00(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=9.2,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.16(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t})$, $2.46(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.64(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.55(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.73(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=9.2,5.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$,
$5.90(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, ~ J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.35(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.74(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm}$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=31.4\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 34.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 37.0(2 \mathrm{CH}), 41.3(2 \mathrm{CH}), 41.4$ $(2 \mathrm{CH}), 48.2(2 \mathrm{CH}), 70.0\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 127.9\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 130.0\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 132.5(2=\mathrm{CH}), 132.8$ $\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 143.8\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right) \mathrm{ppm}$.

## $(1 \alpha, 2 \alpha, 3 \alpha, 4 \alpha, 4 \mathrm{a} \beta, 5 \alpha, 8 \alpha, 8 \mathrm{a} \beta)-1,2,3,4,4 \mathrm{a}, 5,6,7,8,8 \mathrm{a}-$ Decahydro-1 , 4-etheno-5,8-methano-

 2,3-cis-bis(mesyloxymethyl)naphthalene (73)

To a solution of 2B-ene-cis-diol $71(143 \mathrm{mg}, 0.61 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous pyridine ( 13 mL ) was added dropwise methanesulfonyl chloride $(0.35 \mathrm{~mL}$, $515 \mathrm{mg}, 4.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $-23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under an argon atmosphere. On completion of the addition ( 15 minutes) the flask was stoppered and placed in the freezer for 6 days, after which the yellow solution was poured onto ice $(20 \mathrm{~g})$. The mixture was extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and the combined organic layers were washed with $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(3$ x 15 mL ) and $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ (sat., $2 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the white solid was dried under high vacuum. Yield: 158 mg ( $0.41 \mathrm{mmol}, 67.0 \%$ ) 2B-ene-cis-dimesy late 73. Melting point: $109-111^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=0.65(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.08(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=7.2,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $1.39(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.69(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.02(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.07(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=10.0,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.34(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}), 2.77(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{t}, J=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.00(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.89(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.03(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.16(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=$ $3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=31.4\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 34.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 37.3(2 \mathrm{CH}), 37.5$ $\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 41.3(2 \mathrm{CH}), 41.9(2 \mathrm{CH}), 48.3(2 \mathrm{CH}), 69.6\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 132.7(2=\mathrm{CH}) \mathrm{ppm}$.

## $(1 \alpha, 4 \alpha, 4 \mathrm{a} \beta, 5 \alpha, 8 \alpha, 8 \mathrm{a} \beta)-1,2,3,4,4 \mathrm{a}, 5,6,7,8,8 \mathrm{a}-$ Decahydro-1 ,4-ethano-5,8-methano-naphthalene-2,10:3,11-difuran (74)



Method 1: To a solution of 2B-ene-cis-ditosylate 72 ( $352 \mathrm{mg}, 0.65 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 4-methyl-N-morpholine-N-oxide ( $289 \mathrm{mg}, 2.47 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 1,4-dioxane ( 4 mL ) were added $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}(3.30 \mathrm{mg}, 2 \%)$ in tert-butanol $(0.66 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( 2 drops). The slightly yellow mixture was stirred at RT for 6 days then dichloromethane ( 10 mL ) and $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}(1 \mathrm{~g})$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added. The mixture was stirred for another 30 minutes and the two layers were separated. The yellow aqueous layer was washed with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and the combined organic solutions were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure to give the crude product, which was purified by column chromatography (light petroleum/ethyl
acetate 2:1). Yield: $32 \mathrm{mg}(0.14 \mathrm{mmol}, 21.2 \%) 74$ as a white solid. Starting material 2B-ene-cis-ditosylate 72 ( $131 \mathrm{mg}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol}, 36.9 \%$ ) was recovered.
Method 2: To a solution of 2B-ene-cis-dimesy late 73 ( $142 \mathrm{mg}, 0.36 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 4-methy l-N-morpholine- N -oxide ( $131 \mathrm{mg}, 1.12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous 1,4 -dioxane ( 4 mL ) were added $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$ $(1.8 \mathrm{mg}, 2 \%)$ in tert-butanol $(0.36 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2$ drops $)$. The slightly yellow mixture was stirred for 6 days, after which dichloromethane ( 10 mL ) and $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}(1 \mathrm{~g})$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added. The mixture was stirred for another 30 minutes and the two layers were separated. The yellow aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and the combined organic solutions were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure to give the crude product, which was purified by column chromatography (light petroleum/ethyl acetate 1:2). Yield: $20 \mathrm{mg}(0.09 \mathrm{mmol}$, $23.9 \%$ ) 74 as a white solid. Starting material 2B-ene-cis-dimesylate 73 ( $71 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}$, 50.0 \%) was recovered. Melting point: $230-232{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{dec}),. \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}: 232$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=1.09(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.20(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.53(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.76$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 1.92(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=3.3,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.10(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.31(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.45(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}$, $J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.46(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=8.5,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.94(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.15(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=$ $2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=30.1\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 34.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 37.8(2 \mathrm{CH}), 39.7$ $(2 \mathrm{CH}), 40.7(2 \mathrm{CH}), 40.9(2 \mathrm{CH}), 67.5\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 73.7(2 \mathrm{HC}-\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{ppm}$;

## $(1 \alpha, 4 \alpha, 4 a \beta, 5 \alpha, 8 \alpha, 8 a \beta)-1,2,3,4,4 a, 5,6,7,8,8 a-D e c a h y d r o-2,3-t r a n s-b i s(c h l o r o m e t h y l)-1,4-$ etheno-5,8-methanonaphthalene (76)



Following a method reported in literature ${ }^{225}$ a solution of 2B-ene-trans-diol $65(240 \mathrm{mg}, 1.02 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous pyridine ( 8 mL ) was added drop wise to thionyl chloride ( $0.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 334 \mathrm{mg}, 4.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) under an argon atmosphere. On completion of the addition the greenish yellow mixture was stirred for two hours at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ then cooled down to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and dichloromethane ( 10 mL ) and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added to quench the reaction. The organic layer was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$ then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure to give a yellow-brown oil, which was purified by column chromatography (light petroleum/ethyl acetate 3:1). Yield: 137 mg ( $0.51 \mathrm{mmol}, 49.5 \%$ ) 2B-ene-trans-bis(chloromethyl) 76 as a white solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=0.67(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.09(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.21(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.41$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.57(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8.7,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.71(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=9.2,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.97(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.03(1 \mathrm{H}$, s), $2.16(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.78(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.80(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.16(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.27(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}$,
$J=11.3,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.55(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.75(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=10.2,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.04(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.25(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=31.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.8$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 35.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 36.1(\mathrm{CH}), 37.5(\mathrm{CH}), 40.8(\mathrm{CH}), 41.4(\mathrm{CH}), 41.6(\mathrm{CH}), 46.5(\mathrm{CH}), 47.0$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right), 48.1(\mathrm{CH}), 48.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right), 49.0(\mathrm{CH}), 131.4(=\mathrm{CH}), 135.0(=\mathrm{CH}) \mathrm{ppm}$.
( $1 \alpha, 2 \alpha, 4 \alpha, 4 \mathrm{a} \beta, 5 \alpha, 8 \alpha, 8 \mathrm{a} \beta)-1,2,3,4,4 a, 5,6,7,8,8 \mathrm{a}-$ Decahydro-1,4-ethano-[3,11-b]-furan-10-hydroxy-5,8-methano-2-chloromethylnaphthalene (78) and ( $1 \alpha, 4 \alpha, 4 \mathrm{a} \beta, 5 \alpha, 8 \alpha, 8 \mathrm{a} \beta$ )-

## $1,2,3,4,4 a, 5,6,7,8,8 a-D e c a h y d r o-2,3-t r a n s-b i s(c h l o r o m e t h y l)-1,4-e t h a n o-5,8-m e t h a n o-$ naphthalene-10,11-dione (80)

To a suspension of 2B-ene-trans-dimethylchloride 76 ( $58 \mathrm{mg}, 0.21 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 4-methyl-N-morpholine- N -oxide ( $178 \mathrm{mg}, 1.52 \mathrm{mmol}, \sim 7 \mathrm{eq}$.) in anhydrous 1,4 -dioxane ( 2 mL ) was added $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}(0.97 \mathrm{mg}, 2 \%)$ in tert-butanol $(0.19 \mathrm{~mL})$. After stirring the solution for 3 days at RT $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}(0.5 \mathrm{~g})$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dichloromethane ( 5 mL ) were added. The red mixture was stirred for 30 minutes, layers were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane ( $4 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined yellow organic layers were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure to give 60 mg of crude product, which was purified by column chromatography (light petroleum/ethyl acetate $1: 2$ ). Yield: 35 mg ( $0.10 \mathrm{mmol}, 57 \%$ ) 78 as a colourless solid and 8 mg ( $0.025 \mathrm{mmol}, 12.5 \%$ ) 2B-dione-trans-bis(chloromethyl) $\mathbf{8 0}$ as a yellow side product.

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 78\right): \delta=1.09(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.14(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $1.18(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.31(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.54(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.87(1 \mathrm{H}$, bs), $1.91(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.10(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.44(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.57(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.60$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.78(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=7.2,4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.05(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.1(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ( $75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 78$ ): $\delta=29.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 36.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 38.9(\mathrm{CH}), 39.1(\mathrm{CH}), 40.2$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 41.6(2 \mathrm{CH}), 42.0(3 \mathrm{CH}), 48.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right), 64.9(\mathrm{CHOH}), 73.9(\mathrm{HC}-\mathrm{O}), 74.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{ppm}$.

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathbf{8 0}\right): \delta=0.93(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=12.3,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.16$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.28(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.59(2 \mathrm{H}), 1.62(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.06(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $2.20(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 2.22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 3.05(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.12(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=$ $2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.28(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=11.3,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.42(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=11.3,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.69(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=$ $11.3,8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $3.85(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=11.3,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathbf{8 0}\right): \delta=29.8$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 30.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 35.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 39.8(\mathrm{CH}), 40.3(\mathrm{CH}), 40.6(\mathrm{CH}), 40.9(\mathrm{CH}), 41.2(\mathrm{CH}), 44.5$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 45.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right), 46.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right), 50.5(\mathrm{CH}), 52.6(\mathrm{CH}), 196.6(2 \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{ppm}$.
$(1 \alpha, 2 \beta, 4 \alpha, 4 \mathrm{a} \beta, 5 \alpha, 8 \alpha, 8 \mathrm{a} \beta)-1,2,3,4,4 \mathrm{a}, 5,6,7,8,8 \mathrm{a}-$ Decahydro-1,4-ethano-[3,11-b]-furan-11-hydroxy-2-hydroxymethyl-5,8-methanonaphthalene-10-one (101)


Method 1: To a bright yellow solution of 2B-dione-trans-diacetate 79 $(300 \mathrm{mg}, 0.861 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ tetrahydrofuran was added $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(1.38 \mathrm{~g}$, $10 \mathrm{mmol})$ in a mixture of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and methanol $(7 \mathrm{~mL})$. The yellow solution was stirred at RT for 18 hours, after which the layers were separated and tetrahydrofuran ( 10 mL ) and brine ( 10 mL ) were added to the organic layer. The aqueous layer was extracted with tetrahydrofuran ( 3 x 10 mL ), the combined organic layers were washed with brine $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure to give the crude yellow product, which was purified by column chromatography (light petroleum/ethyl acetate 1:5). Yield: $72 \mathrm{mg}(0.272 \mathrm{mmol}, 31.6 \%) 101$.
Method 2: To a solution of 2B-ene-trans-diol $\mathbf{6 5}(60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.26 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 4-methyl-N-morpholine- N -oxide ( $152 \mathrm{mg}, 1.30 \mathrm{mmol}, \sim 5 \mathrm{eq}$.) in 1,4 -dioxane ( 1.5 mL ) was added $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$ $(1.3 \mathrm{mg}, 2 \%)$ in tert-butanol ( 0.3 mL ). The solution changed colour from bright yellow to golden after the solution was stirred for 5 days at RT. $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}(0.5 \mathrm{~g})$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dichloromethane $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added and the dark brown mixture was stirred for a further 30 minutes at RT. The aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane ( $5 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and the combined organic layers were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure to give the crude product ( 67 mg ), which was purified by column chromatography (light petroleum/ethyl acetate $1: 5$ ). Yield: $37 \mathrm{mg}(0.14 \mathrm{mmol}$, 54.6 \%) 101. Melting point: $152-153{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}: 264$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=0.94(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.13(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.50(2 \mathrm{H}), 1.54$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.91(1 \mathrm{H}), 1.94(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.97(1 \mathrm{H}), 2.02(1 \mathrm{H}), 2.14(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.38(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}$, $J=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.49(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.80(1 \mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{bs}), 3.42(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.74(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $3.76(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.99(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=2.3,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.79(1 \mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{bs}) \mathrm{ppm}$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(75.5$ $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=30.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 30.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 34.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 39.1(\mathrm{CH}), 40.0(\mathrm{CH}), 40.5(\mathrm{CH}), 41.5$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 41.9(\mathrm{CH}), 43.1(\mathrm{CH}), 45.4(2 \mathrm{CH}), 63.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right), 72.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 100.8(\mathrm{C}), 210.8$ ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ) ppm.
$(1 \alpha, 4 \alpha, 4 a \beta, 5 \alpha, 8 \alpha, 8 a \beta)-1,2,3,4,4 a, 5,6,7,8,8 a-D e c a h y d r o-1,4-e t h a n o-10,11$-cis-dihydroxy-5,8-methanonaphthalene (82) and ( $1 \alpha, 4 \alpha, 4 a \beta, 5 \alpha, 8 \alpha, 8 a \beta)-1,2,3,4,4 a, 5,6,7,8,8 a-$ Decahydro-1,4-ethano-5,8-methano-naphthalene-10,11-dione (83)
To a suspension of 2 B -ene $\mathbf{8 1}$ ( $54 \mathrm{mg}, 0.31 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 4-methyl-N-morpholine-N-oxide ( $218 \mathrm{mg}, 1.86 \mathrm{mmol}, \sim 6 \mathrm{eq}$.) in anhydrous 1,4-dioxane ( 1.4 mL ) was added $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}(1.6 \mathrm{mg}$, $2 \%$ ) in tert-butanol ( 0.32 mL ). After stirring at RT for 48 hours $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}(0.5 \mathrm{~g})$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dichloromethane $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added. The red mixture was stirred for 30 minutes, layers were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane ( $5 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The bright yellow organic layers were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure to give the crude products $(62 \mathrm{mg})$ which were purified by column chromatography (light petroleum/ethyl acetate $3: 1$ ). Yield (82): $8 \mathrm{mg}(0.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 12 \%) 2 \mathrm{~B}$-diol 82 as a colourless solid. Melting point: 155$157^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; m/z: 208. Yield (83): 33 mg ( $0.16 \mathrm{mmol}, 52 \%$ ) 2B-dione 83 as a bright yellow solid. Melting point: $92-94{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathbf{8 2}\right): \delta=1.07(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.16(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $1.41(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.51(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.71(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.75(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.90(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.14(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.58(2 \mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{bs}), 4.11(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(75.5$ $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathbf{8 2}\right): \delta=18.8\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.4\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 35.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 37.0(2 \mathrm{CH}), 39.4(2 \mathrm{CH}), 47.9$ $(2 \mathrm{CH}), 64.9(2 \mathrm{CHOH}) \mathrm{ppm}$.

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathbf{8 3}\right): \delta=0.88(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $13.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.24(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.55(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.85(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.90(2 \mathrm{H}$, m), $1.97(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.11(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.78(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, 83): $\delta=22.4\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 29.9\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 35.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 40.3(2 \mathrm{CH}), 48.3(2 \mathrm{CH}), 48.8(2 \mathrm{CH}), 199.1$ $(2 \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{ppm}$.

## D.2.2.2 Sharpless Discrimination

1,2,3,4-Tetrahydro-cis-2,3-dihydroxy-1,4-methano-5,8-dimethoxynaphthalene (84)


To a suspension of DMB-[2.2.1]-olefin $\mathbf{1 1 4}^{186,187,193}$ ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.74 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 4-methyl-N-morpholine-N-oxide ( $695 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 5.93 \mathrm{mmol}, \sim 8 \mathrm{eq}$.$) in$ anhydrous 1,4 -dioxane ( 4 mL ) was added $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}(3.8 \mathrm{mg}, 2 \%)$ in tert-butanol ( 0.75 mL ). After stirring at RT for 3 days $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}(1 \mathrm{~g})$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dichloromethane
$(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added. The red mixture was stirred for 30 minutes, layers were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane ( $4 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined orange organic layers were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure to give the crude product ( 163 mg ) which was purified by column chromatography (light petroleum/ethyl acetate 1:2). Yield: 64 mg ( $0.27 \mathrm{mmol}, 36.6 \%$ ) DMB-[2.2.1]-diol $\mathbf{8 4}$ as a colourless solid. Melting point: $133-134{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=1.81(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.16(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.36$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.41(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.74(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.76(1 \mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{bs}), 3.83(1 \mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{bs}), 6.57(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-$ NMR ( $75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=42.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 46.8(2 \mathrm{CH}), 55.9\left(2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 70.4(2 \mathrm{CHOH}), 110.1$ $\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 134.0\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 148.5\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{OMe}\right) \mathrm{ppm}$.

## 6,7-dihydroxy-1,4-methanocycloheptane (86)

 To a suspension of the [3.2.1]-olefin $\mathbf{8 5}(96 \mathrm{mg}, 0.89 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 4-methyl-N-morpholine-N-oxide ( $662 \mathrm{mg}, 5.65 \mathrm{mmol}, \sim 6$ eq.) in anhydrous 1,4 -dioxane ( 2 mL ) was added $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}(4.7 \mathrm{mg}, 2 \%)$ in tert-butanol $(0.9 \mathrm{~mL})$. After stirring at RT for 3 days $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}(1 \mathrm{~g})$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dichloromethane $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added to the brown solution. The brown mixture was stirred for 90 minutes, the layers were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane ( $4 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined colourless organic layers were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure to give the crude product, which was dried under high vacuum. Yield: $89 \mathrm{mg}(0.63 \mathrm{mmol}, 70.3 \%$ ) [3.2.1]-diol 86 as a colourless solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=1.12(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.40(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.65(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.84(1 \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{d}, J=$ $11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.15(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 2.37(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.91(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 3.70(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) \mathrm{ppm}$.

## $(1 \alpha, 2 \alpha, 3 \alpha, 4 \alpha, 4 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 5 \beta, 8 \beta, 8 \mathrm{a} \alpha)-1,2,3,4,4 \mathrm{a}, 5,6,7,8,8 \mathrm{a}-$ Decahydro-1,4:5,8-dimethano-naphthalene-cis-2,3-diol (88) ${ }^{226}$



To a suspension of the [2.2.1]-olefin $87(300 \mathrm{mg}, 1.88 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 4-methyl-N-morpholine- N -oxide ( $1.28 \mathrm{~g}, 10.94 \mathrm{mmol}, \sim 6 \mathrm{eq}$.) in anhydrous 1,4-dioxane $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}(9.5 \mathrm{mg}, 2 \%)$ in tert-butanol ( 1.9 mL ). After stirring at RT for 3 days $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}(1 \mathrm{~g})$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dichloromethane $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added. The red mixture was stirred for 30 minutes, the layers were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane ( $4 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined orange organic layers were
washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure to give ( 311 mg ) the crude product, which was purified by column chromatography (light petroleum, ethyl acetate $1: 1$ ). Yield: $166 \mathrm{mg}(0.86 \mathrm{mmol}$, 45.7 \%) [2.2.1]-diol 88 as a white solid. Melting point: $132-133{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; m/z: 194.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=0.95-1.09(3 \mathrm{H}), 1.10-1.20(2 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.78(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $2.20(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 2.46(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.15(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=31.2$ $\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 35.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 35.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 36.5(2 \mathrm{CH}), 48.6(2 \mathrm{CH}), 48.8(2 \mathrm{CH}), 70.8(2 \mathrm{CHOH}) \mathrm{ppm}$.

## D.2.2.3 Condensations

( $1 \alpha, 4 \alpha, 4 \mathrm{a} \beta, 5 \alpha, 8 \alpha, 8 \mathrm{a} \beta$ )-1,2,3,4,4a,5,6,7,8,8a-Decahydro-2,3-trans-bis(acetoxymethyl)-1,4-ethano-5,8-methano-10,11-quinoxalinonaphthalene (102)


Method 1 (ethanol): Under an argon atmosphere a solution of 2B-dione-trans-diacetate 79 ( $55 \mathrm{mg}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and phenylenediamine ( 22 mg , $0.20 \mathrm{mmol})$ in absolute ethanol $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated at reflux for 6 days. Dichloromethane ( 15 mL ) was added and the organic solution was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The yellow aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and the combined orange organic layers were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(10 \mathrm{ml})$ then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The crude product ( 84 mg ) was purified by column chromatography (light petroleum/ethyl acetate 1:2, 1:4).
Method 2 (pyridine): Under an argon atmosphere a solution of 2B-dione-trans-diacetate 79 ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and phenylenediamine ( $18 \mathrm{mg}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in oxy gen-free pyridine $(1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated at reflux for 6 days. Dichloromethane $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the organic solution was washed with $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ (half sat., $2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The crude products ( 45 mg ) were purified by column chromatography (light petroleum/ethyl acetate 1:1).
Method 3 (pyridine, high pressure): A mixture of 2B-dione-trans-diacetate 79 ( 10 mg , 0.030 mmol ) and pheny lenediamine ( $5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.044 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5 \mathrm{eq}$. ) in pyridine was heated at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 15 kbar for 3 days. The pyridine was removed under high vacuum and the crude product was purified by column chromatography (light petroleum/ethyl acetate 1:2). Yield: $9 \mathrm{mg}(0.02 \mathrm{mmol}, 72.1 \%)$ 2B-quinoxalinonaphthalene-trans-diacetate 102.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=-0.82(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.42(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.22$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.42(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.64(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.87(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.08(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs})$, $2.12(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.29(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8.6,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.39(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.50(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=10.9,7.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $3.75(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=10.9,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.34(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.72(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=6.3,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.06(2 \mathrm{H}$, m) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=20.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 20.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 30.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 30.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $34.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 39.9(2 \mathrm{CH}), 40.3(\mathrm{CH}), 41.0(\mathrm{CH}), 41.6(\mathrm{CH}), 42.1(\mathrm{CH}), 43.9(\mathrm{CH}), 49.0(\mathrm{CH})$, $64.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 66.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 129.0\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 142.2\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 152.7\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 170.7(2 \mathrm{OAc}) \mathrm{ppm}$.

## $(1 \alpha, 2 \beta, 4 \alpha, 4 \mathrm{a} \beta, 5 \alpha, 8 \alpha, 8 \mathrm{a} \beta)-1,2,3,4,4 \mathrm{a}, 5,6,7,8,8 \mathrm{a}-$ Decahydro-1 ,4-ethano-[3,11-b]-furan-11-hydroxy-2-hydroxymethyl-5,8-methanonaphthalene-10-one (101)

A solution of 2B-dione-trans-diacetate $79(21 \mathrm{mg}, 0.060 \mathrm{mmol})$ and benzenetetramine $\cdot 4 \mathrm{HCl}(17 \mathrm{mg}, 0.060 \mathrm{mmol})$ was reacted at 15 kbar and $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 days. A precipitate was filtered off and the dark purple solution was evaporated to dryness, then taken up in dichloromethane and washed with sat. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, changing colour to orange-yellow. Layers were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure to give $\mathbf{1 0 1}$ as a yellow-orange solid. Melting point: 152-153 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; m/z: 264.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=0.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.16(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.53$ $(2 \mathrm{H}), 1.54(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.91(1 \mathrm{H}), 1.94(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.97(1 \mathrm{H}), 2.02(1 \mathrm{H}), 2.17(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $2.41(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.45(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.79(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.02(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=30.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 30.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 34.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 39.1$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 40.0(\mathrm{CH}), 40.6(\mathrm{CH}), 41.7(\mathrm{CH}), 42.1(\mathrm{CH}), 43.3(\mathrm{CH}), 45.2(2 \mathrm{CH}), 63.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right)$, $72.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 100.4(\mathrm{C}), 210.2(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{ppm}$.

## 2B-porphyrin (99)



A solution of 2B-dione $\mathbf{8 3}$ ( $17 \mathrm{mg}, 0.084 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 'diaminoporphyrin' $\mathbf{1 5 3}$ $(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.084 \mathrm{mmol})$ in oxygen-free pyridine $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated at $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 11 days under an argon atmosphere in a dark pressure tube. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure to give the crude product, which was purified by column chromatography (chloroform). Yield: 48 mg ( $0.035 \mathrm{mmol}, 42 \%$ ) 2Bporphyrin 99 . Melting point: $>300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=-2.35(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}),-0.36(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.54(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.8-1.8(6 \mathrm{H}), 1.52(18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.56(54 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.09(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.19(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $2.23(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.40(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.82(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 7.95(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 8.04(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 8.07(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 8.12(4 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{t}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.67(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.79(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.99(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=$ $29.7\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 30.7\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.8\left(12 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 32.0\left(12 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 35.1(8 \mathrm{C}), 28.8(2 \mathrm{CH}), 41.3(2 \mathrm{CH})$, $48.8(2 \mathrm{CH}), 118.0(2 \mathrm{C}), 120.6\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {p-Ar }} \mathrm{H}\right), 121.2\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {p-Ar }} \mathrm{H}\right), 123.1(2 \mathrm{C}), 128.1\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.4$ $\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{0-A r} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.8\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{0-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.9\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 129.4\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {pyrrolic }} \mathrm{H}\right), 129.6\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{\text {pyrrolic }} \mathrm{H}\right), 134.2$ $\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {quinoxaline }} \mathrm{H}\right), 138.1$ (2C), 139.5 (2C), 140.1 (2C), 140.9 (4C), 141.1 (2C), 145.7 (2C), 148.9


## [2.2.1]-porphyrin (100)



A solution of the [2.2.1]-dione $\mathbf{1 5 5} 226(10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0525 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 'diaminoporphyrin' 153 ( $65 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0543 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in oxygen-free pyridine ( 1 mL ) was heated at $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under an argon atmosphere for 12 days in a dark pressure tube. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the crude product was purified by column chromatography (dichloromethane/light petroleum 5:1). Yield $7 \mathrm{mg}(0.0051 \mathrm{mmol}, 9.7 \%)$ [2.2.1]-p orphyrin $\mathbf{1 0 0}$. Melting point: $>300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=-2.40(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}),-0.87(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.46$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.15(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.3-1.5(2 \mathrm{H}), 1.53(36 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.54$ $(36 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.06(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=16.6,9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.24(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.54(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.64(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.81(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}$, $J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.94(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.02(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.10(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.56(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.77$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.99\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{AB}_{\text {quartet }}, J=8.8,5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=29.7$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 30.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.8\left(12 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 32.0\left(12 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 35.1(8 \mathrm{C}), 37.0(2 \mathrm{CH}), 46.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 48.5$ $(2 \mathrm{CH}), 50.6(2 \mathrm{CH}), 118.0(2 \mathrm{C}), 120.7\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 121.2\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 123.0(2 \mathrm{C}), 128.1\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}-}\right.$ $\left.{ }_{\text {Ar }} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.4\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{0-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.6\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{0-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.8\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{0-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 129.3\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {pyrrolic }} \mathrm{H}\right), 129.6\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{\text {py rolich}} \mathrm{H}\right)$, $134.2\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {quinoxaline }} \mathrm{H}\right), 138.1$ (2C), 139.5 (2C), 139.9 (2C), 140.1 (2C), 140.8 (2C), 141.1 (2C), 148.9 ( $8 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}^{-}}{ }^{-\mathrm{Bu}}$ ), 149.0 (2C), 153.8 (2C), 155.0 (2C), 164.8 (2C) ppm.

## D.2.3 Synthesis of the Bis-Porphyrin Donor

$(1 \alpha, 4 \alpha, 4 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 5 \alpha, 8 \alpha, 8 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 9 \beta, 9 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 10 \beta, 10 \mathrm{a} \alpha)-1,4,4 \mathrm{a}, 5,8,8 \mathrm{a}, 9,9 \mathrm{a}, 10,10 \mathrm{a}-$ Decahydro-5,8-ethano-

## 1,4:9,10-dimethanoanthracene-12,13-trans-dicarboxylate (59)



A solution of ketone $4(2.54 \mathrm{~g}, 10.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ [D.1, page 153] and dimethyl fumarate $(1.68,11.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated at reflux for 87 hours. Half of the toluene was removed under reduced pressure and the slightly yellow solution was placed in the freezer. The white precipitate was filtered off, washed with ice-cold methanol and dried under high vacuum. Yield: 3.09 g ( $8.73 \mathrm{mmol}, 82.4 \%$ ) 6B-ene-2B-ene-trans-diester 59 as a white solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=1.11(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.24(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=7.7,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.39$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8.7,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.58(2 \mathrm{H}), 1.62(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 1.84(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.96$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.70(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=5.1,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.78(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 3.04(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.08(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $3.12(1 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.81(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.85(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.00(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.18$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=29.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 37.4(\mathrm{CH}), 37.5$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 42.9(\mathrm{CH}), 43.3(\mathrm{CH}), 46.3(2 \mathrm{CH}), 46.4(\mathrm{CH}), 46.5(\mathrm{CH}), 47.6(2 \mathrm{CH}), 50.5(\mathrm{CH}), 50.7$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 52.0(\mathrm{OCH} 3), 52.2(\mathrm{OCH} 3), 53.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 132.0(=\mathrm{CH}), 134.3(=\mathrm{CH}), 135.4(=\mathrm{CH})$, $135.5(=\mathrm{CH}), 174.6(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 176.5(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{ppm}$.
$(1 \alpha, 4 \alpha, 4 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 5 \alpha, 8 \alpha, 8 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 9 \beta, 9 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 10 \beta, 10 \mathrm{a} \alpha)-1,4,4 \mathrm{a}, 5,8,8 \mathrm{a}, 9,9 \mathrm{a}, 10,10 \mathrm{a}-$ Decahydro-5,8-ethano-12,13-trans-bis (hydroxymethyl)-1,4:9,10-dimethanoanthracene (89)


To an ice-cold slurry solution of $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}(1.21 \mathrm{~g}, 31.9 \mathrm{mmol}, \sim 4.5 \mathrm{eq}$.$) in$ tetrahydrofuran ( 40 mL ) was added dropwise 6B-ene-2B-ene-transdiester $59(2.48 \mathrm{~g}, 7.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in tetrahy drofuran $(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ under an argon atmosphere. On completion of the addition the mixture was heated at reflux for 20 hours then cooled down to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and quenched with $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was heated at reflux for another 15 minutes then filtered hot through a pad of filter aid, which was extracted with boiling diethyl ether ( $3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layer was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$, the combined aqueous layers were extracted with diethyl ether ( $3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and the combined organic layers were washed with brine ( 30 mL ) and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure to give the crude product, which was used without further purification. Yield: 1.764 g ( $5.91 \mathrm{mmol}, 84.4 \%$ ) 6B-ene-2B-ene-trans-diol 89 . Melting point: 131-132 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; m/z: 298.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=1.13(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.17(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.25(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.49(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.59(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.67(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.84(2 \mathrm{H}, 1.87$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.93(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.11(2 \mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{b}), 2.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.58(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.78$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.06(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.49(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=9.2,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.67(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=3.1), 3.69$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.86(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.15(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm}$.
$(1 \alpha, 4 \alpha, 4 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 5 \alpha, 8 \alpha, 8 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 9 \beta, 9 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 10 \beta, 10 \mathrm{a} \alpha)-1,4,4 \mathrm{a}, 5,8,8 \mathrm{a}, 9,9 \mathrm{a}, 10,10 \mathrm{a}-$ Decahydro-5,8-ethano-12,13-trans-bis(acetoxymethyl)-1,4:9,10-dimethanoanthracene (91)


Acetic anhydride ( $1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 9.76 \mathrm{mmol}, \sim 3$ eq.) was added to a colourless solution of 6B-ene-2B-ene-trans-diol $\mathbf{8 9}$ ( 970 mg , $3.25 \mathrm{mmol})$ in pyridine ( 20 mL ). After stirring at RT for 23 hours the solution was poured onto a mixture of ice $(100 \mathrm{~g}), 1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dichloromethane $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane ( $4 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were washed with $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ (sat., 30 mL ) then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure to give the crude product $(1.6 \mathrm{~g})$, which was purified by column chromatography (light petroleum/ethyl acetate $3: 1$ ). Yield: 1.159 g ( $3.03 \mathrm{mmol}, 93.2$ \%) 6B-ene-2B-ene-trans-diacetate 91.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=1.13(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.25(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=8.2,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.42$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.63(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.8(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.88(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.94(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.04(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.08(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.58$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.78(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.62(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=11.3,9.2), 3.71(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=10.3,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $4.07(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=11.3,9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.19(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=10.3,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.86(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.95$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.16(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=21.0$ $\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 30.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 35.4(\mathrm{CH}), 36.4(\mathrm{CH}), 41.4(\mathrm{CH}), 42.9(\mathrm{CH}), 43.0(\mathrm{CH}), 43.5(\mathrm{CH}), 44.7$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 46.6(2 \mathrm{CH}), 50.6(\mathrm{CH}), 50.8(\mathrm{CH}), 51.8(\mathrm{CH}), 53.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 65.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}\right), 67.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right.$ O), $131.6(=\mathrm{CH}), 135.2(=\mathrm{CH}), 135.4(=\mathrm{CH}), 135.5(=\mathrm{CH}), 171.07(\mathrm{OAc}), 171.12$ (OAc) ppm.
$(1 \alpha, 4 \alpha, 4 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 5 \alpha, 8 \alpha, 8 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 9 \beta, 9 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 10 \beta, 10 \mathrm{a} \alpha)-1,2,3,4,4 \mathrm{a}, 5,6,7,8,8 \mathrm{a}, 9,9 \mathrm{a}, 10,10 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{Te}$ tradeca-hydro-12,13-trans-bis(acetoxymethyl)-2,3,6,7-cis,cis-tetrahydroxy-5,8-ethano-1,4 :9,10dimethanoanthracene (93)


To a suspension of 6B-ene-2B-ene-trans-diacetate 91 ( 292 mg , 0.76 mmol ) and 4-methyl-N-morpholine-N-oxide ( 1098 mg , $9.47 \mathrm{mmol}, \sim 12.5 \mathrm{eq}$.$) in anhydrous 1,4$-dioxane ( 12 mL ) was added
$\mathrm{OsO}_{4}(3.9 \mathrm{mg}, 2 \%)$ in tert-butanol ( 0.80 mL ). After stirring at RT for 18 hours $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}(1 \mathrm{~g})$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dichloromethane $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added. The red mixture was stirred for 30 minutes, layers were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane ( 4 x $5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined bright yellow organic layers were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure to give a yellow oil ( 424 mg ), which was purified by column chromatography (light petroleum/ethyl acetate 1:5). Yield: 110 mg ( $0.24 \mathrm{mmol}, 32.1 \%$ ) 6B-diol-2B-diol-trans-diacetate 93 as a colourless solid. As a bright yellow side product 89 mg ( $0.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 26.2 \%$ ) 6B-diol-2B-dione-trans-diacetate 94 were isolated.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}): \delta=21.26\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 21.31\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 24.9(\mathrm{CH}), 31.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 32.7$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 35.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 37.0(\mathrm{CH}), 37.7(\mathrm{CH}), 39.1(\mathrm{CH}), 39.4(\mathrm{CH}), 40.3(\mathrm{CH}), 41.1(\mathrm{CH}), 42.4$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 48.6(\mathrm{CH}), 50.6(\mathrm{CH}), 51.1(\mathrm{CH}), 63.3(\mathrm{CHOH}), 64.4(\mathrm{CHOH}), 65.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}\right), 69.5$ (2CHOH), $69.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}\right), 170.8(2 \mathrm{OAc}) \mathrm{ppm}$.
$(1 \alpha, 4 \alpha, 4 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 5 \alpha, 8 \alpha, 8 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 9 \beta, 9 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 10 \beta, 10 \mathrm{a} \alpha)-1,2,3,4,4 \mathrm{a}, 5,8,8 \mathrm{a}, 9,9 \mathrm{a}, 10,10 \mathrm{a}-$ Dodecahydro-12,13-trans-bis (acetoxymethyl)-5,8-ethano-2,3-cis-dihydroxy-1,4:9,10-dimethano-anthracene-6,7-dione (94)


To a suspension of 6B-ene-2B-ene-trans-diacetate 91 ( 507 mg , 1.33 mmol ) and 4-methyl-N-morpholine-N-oxide ( 1882 mg , $16.06 \mathrm{mmol}, \sim 12 \mathrm{eq}$.) in anhy drous 1,4-dioxane ( 20 mL ) was added $(6.76 \mathrm{mg}, 2 \%) \mathrm{OsO}_{4}$ in $(1.35 \mathrm{~mL})$ tert-butanol. After stirring at RT for 6 days $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}(1 \mathrm{~g})$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dichloromethane $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added. The red mixture was stirred for 45 minutes, the layers were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane $(4 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined bright yellow organic lay ers were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine ( 30 mL ) then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure to give a bright yellow oil ( 772 mg ), which was purified by column chromatography (light petroleum/ethyl acetate 1:6). Yield: 351 mg ( $0.80 \mathrm{mmol}, 59 \%$ ) 6B-diol-2B-dione-transdiacetate 94 as a bright y ellow solid. Melting point: $136-138^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}: 446$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=0.63(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.08(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.11$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.82-1.86(4 \mathrm{H}), 1.92(1 \mathrm{H}), 1.97(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.09(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.13(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.20$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.23(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.26(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 2.89(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.91(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.79$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=11.3,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.05(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.06(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=11.3,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.19(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.22$ (1H, s) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=20.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 20.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 33.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 35.3$
$\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 36.0(\mathrm{CH}), 39.9(\mathrm{CH}), 40.0(\mathrm{CH}), 40.4(\mathrm{CH}), 42.9(\mathrm{CH}), 48.2(2 \mathrm{CH}), 49.0(\mathrm{CH}), 49.1$
$(\mathrm{CH}), 49.5(\mathrm{CH}), 50.5(\mathrm{CH}), 52.2(\mathrm{CH}), 63.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}\right), 65.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}\right), 70.0(2 \mathrm{CHOH}), 170.4$ (OAc), 170.7 (OAc), $196.9(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 197.1(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{ppm}$.
$(1 \alpha, 4 \alpha, 4 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 5 \alpha, 8 \alpha, 8 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 9 \beta, 9 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 10 \beta, 10 \mathrm{a} \alpha)-1,2,3,4,4 \mathrm{a}, 5,8,8 \mathrm{a}, 9,9 \mathrm{a}, 10,10 \mathrm{a}-$ Dodecahydro-5,8-ethano-12,13-trans-bis (acetoxymethyl)-1,4:9,10-dimethanoanthracene-2,3,6,7-tetraone (95)


To an ice-cold bright yellow solution of 6B-diol-2B-dione-transdiacetate $94(199 \mathrm{mg}, 0.446 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $p$-toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate ( $424 \mathrm{mg}, 2.229 \mathrm{mmol}, \sim 5 \mathrm{eq}$.) in dichloromethane $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise 4 -acetamido-TEMPO ( $455 \mathrm{mg}, 2.086 \mathrm{mmol}, \sim 5 \mathrm{eq}$.) in dichloromethane $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ in the dark. On completion of the addition the mixture was stirred for 4 days in the dark at RT. A white precipitate was filtered off and ethanol ( 10 mL ) was added to the filtrate to quench the reaction. The bright y ellow solution was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $(2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure to give a yellow solid ( 246 mg ), which was purified by column chromatography (light petroleum/ethyl acetate 1:4). Yield: 146 mg ( $0.330 \mathrm{mmol}, 74.0$ \%) 6B-dione-2B-dione-trans-diacetate $\mathbf{9 5}$ as a yellow solid. Melting point: $225-227^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}: 442$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=0.62(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.77(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.86$ $(1 \mathrm{H}), 1.93(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.04(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.08(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.13(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.23(2 \mathrm{H}$, s), $2.27(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.45(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.89(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=5.1,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.13(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.78$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=11.3,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.06(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=11.3,4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.18(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.21(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=20.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 20.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 31.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 34.4$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 36.1(\mathrm{CH}), 39.8(\mathrm{CH}), 40.5(\mathrm{CH}), 40.9(\mathrm{CH}), 41.5(\mathrm{CH}), 48.8(\mathrm{CH}), 49.4(\mathrm{CH}), 51.8$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 52.0(\mathrm{CH}), 52.1(\mathrm{CH}), 53.2(2 \mathrm{CH}), 63.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}\right), 65.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}\right), 170.3(\mathrm{OAc}), 170.7$ (OAc), $196.1(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 196.2(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 202.2(2 \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{ppm}$.

## 6B-porphyrin-hemi-acetal (106)



A solution of 6B-dione-2B-dione-trans-diacetate 95 ( 20 mg , 0.0452 mmol ) and 'diaminoporphyrin' $153(66 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0552 \mathrm{mmol})$ in deoxy genated pyridine ( 1 mL ) was reacted for 3 days at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 15 kbar . The solvent was blown off with argon and the crude product was dried under high vacuum, then purified by column chromatography (dichloromethane/methanol 20:1). Yield: 15 mg ( $0.0099 \mathrm{mmol}, 21.9 \%$ ) 6B-p orphyrin-hemi-acetal 106.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=-2.39(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}),-0.93(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=13.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $0.83(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=13.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.49(1 \mathrm{H}), 1.50(9 \mathrm{H}), 1.54(54 \mathrm{H}), 1.57(9 \mathrm{H}), 1.75(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) 1.94(2 \mathrm{H}$, bs), $2.02(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.05(1 \mathrm{H}), 2.08(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 2.25(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $2.32(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.42(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 2.49(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.54(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.38(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $3.65(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.78(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.98(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.82(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.94(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}$, $\mathrm{J}=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.99(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.00(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.05(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}$, $\mathrm{J}=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.06(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.10(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.11(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.526(1 \mathrm{H}$, s), $8.528(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.79(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 9.00\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{AB}_{\text {quartet }}, \mathrm{J}=14.8,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=30.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.8\left(12 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 32.0\left(12 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 35.1(8 \mathrm{C}), 39.8(\mathrm{CH}), 40.8(\mathrm{CH}), 41.6$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 41.7(\mathrm{CH}), 42.2(\mathrm{CH}), 44.4(\mathrm{CH}), 44.7(\mathrm{CH}), 44.9(\mathrm{CH}), 46.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 48.2(2 \mathrm{CH}), 51.5$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 51.8(\mathrm{CH}), 63.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right), 72.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 100.2(-\mathrm{OCOH}), 118.1(2 \mathrm{C}), 120.7\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right)$, $221.2\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {p-Ar }} \mathrm{H}\right), 123.0(2 \mathrm{C}), 128.1\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.5\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{0-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.7\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 129.5$ $\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {pyrrolic }} \mathrm{H}\right), 129.6\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{\text {pyrrolic }} \mathrm{H}\right), 134.2\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {quinoxaline }} \mathrm{H}\right), 138.1(2 \mathrm{C}), 139.6(\mathrm{C}), 140.0(3 \mathrm{C}), 140.8$ (2C), 141.1 (2C), 145.7 (2C), $148.9\left(8 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right), 149.2$ (2C), 153.8 (2C), 155.0 (2C), 164.0 (2C), $209.2(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{ppm}$.

6B-porphyrin-2 B-dione-trans-diacetate (108) and Bis-porphyrin-trans-diacetate (109) A solution of 6B-dione-2B-dione-trans-diacetate $95(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0814 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 'diaminoporphyrin' $153(200 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 0.1673 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous and de-oxygenated dichloromethane ( 4 mL ) was heated at $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 15 kbar for 3 days. The solvent was removed under an argon stream and the crude products were purified by column chromatography (dichloromethane/methanol $50: 1$ ). Yield: 46 mg ( $0.0287 \mathrm{mmol}, 35.3$ \%) 6B-porphyrin-2B-dione-trans-diacetate $\mathbf{1 0 8}$ and 20 mg ( $0.0072 \mathrm{mmol}, 8.9 \%$ ) bis-porphyrin-trans-diacetate $\mathbf{1 0 9}$ as purple solids. Melting points: $>300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathbf{1 0 8}\right): \delta=-2.34(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}),-0.76(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.16(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=14.4), 1.54(18 \mathrm{H}), 1.58(54 \mathrm{H}), 1.77(1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.87(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.96(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.06(2 \mathrm{H}), 2.09(1 \mathrm{H}), 2.16(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.18(1 \mathrm{H}$, s), $2.28(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.31(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.61(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8.7,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.68(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J=8.7,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.91(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.73(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 3.77(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J=11.7,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.07(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=11.3,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.24(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.27$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.85(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.99(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.04(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs})$, $8.10(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 8.15(4 \mathrm{H}), 8.56(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.83(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 9.05\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{AB}_{\text {quartet }}\right.$, $J=10.5,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathbf{1 0 8}\right): \delta=20.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 20.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 31.8$ $\left(12 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 31.97\left(6 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 32.04\left(6 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 32.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 35.1(8 \mathrm{C}), 36.1(\mathrm{CH}), 39.9(\mathrm{CH}), 40.6$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 41.0(\mathrm{CH}), 42.5(\mathrm{CH}), 46.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 48.1(2 \mathrm{CH}), 49.4(\mathrm{CH}), 50.0(\mathrm{CH}), 50.7(\mathrm{CH}), 50.9$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 52.1(\mathrm{CH}), 63.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}\right), 65.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}\right), 118.1(2 \mathrm{C}), 120.6\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 221.2\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right)$, $123.0(2 \mathrm{C}), 128.1\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.5\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.6\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.7\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 129.5$ $\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {pyrrolic }} \mathrm{H}\right), 129.6\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{\text {pyrrolic }} \mathrm{H}\right), 134.2\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {quinoxaline }} \mathrm{H}\right), 138.1$ (2C), 139.65 (C), 139.70 (C), $139.9(2 \mathrm{C}), 140.8(2 \mathrm{C}), 141.1(2 \mathrm{C}), 145.6(2 \mathrm{C}), 148.8\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right), 148.9\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right), 149.17(\mathrm{C})$, 149.21 (C), 153.9 (2C), 155.0 (2C), 163.35 (C), 163.39 (C), 170.4 (OAc), 170.8 (OAc), 196.0 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ), 196.3 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ) ppm.

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathbf{1 0 9}\right): \delta=-2.60(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}),-0.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}),-0.64(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.38(9 \mathrm{H}), 1.40(9 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(27 \mathrm{H})$, $1.45(63 \mathrm{H}), 1.48(36 \mathrm{H}), 1.7(1 \mathrm{H}), 1.76(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.04(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.23(3 \mathrm{H}$, s), $2.37(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.41(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.43(1 \mathrm{H}), 2.70(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.51(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $3.53(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 3.68(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 3.78(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=11.3,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.42(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}), 7.74(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 7.81(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 7.84(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 7.86(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 7.96(4 \mathrm{H}$, bs), $8.00(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 8.35(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.45(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.47(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.668(2 \mathrm{H}$, s), $8.672(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.85(8 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathbf{1 0 9}\right)$ : $\delta=20.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $21.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 29.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.70\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 31.72\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 31.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 31.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $32.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 35.0(16 \mathrm{C}), 40.0(\mathrm{CH}), 40.7(\mathrm{CH}), 41.2(\mathrm{CH}), 41.5(\mathrm{CH}), 42.0(\mathrm{CH}), 42.9(\mathrm{CH})$, $44.0(\mathrm{CH}), 46.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 48.3(2 \mathrm{CH}), 50.4(\mathrm{CH}), 51.7(\mathrm{CH}), 52.0(\mathrm{CH}), 64.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}\right), 65.8$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}\right), 117.77(\mathrm{C}), 117.82(\mathrm{C}), 120.4\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 120.5\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 121.1\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 122.8$ (C), $122.9(\mathrm{C}), 127.9\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{0-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.27\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{0-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.30\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.56\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.62$ $\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{\text {o-Ar }} \mathrm{H}\right), 129.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {pyrrolic }} \mathrm{H}\right), 129.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {pyrrolic }} \mathrm{H}\right), 129.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {pyrrolic }} \mathrm{H}\right), 134.1\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{\text {quinoxaline }} \mathrm{H}\right), 137.9$ (C), 139.4 (C), 139.46 (C), 139.50 (C), 139.6 (C), 139.68 (C), 139.74 (C), 140.3 (C), 140.4 (C), 140.5 (C), 140.6 (C), 140.9 (C), 145.2 (C), 145.4 (C), $148.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right), 148.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right)$,
153.5 (C), 153.8 (C), 154.77 (C), 154.81 (C), 157.2 (C), 158.5 (C), 164.0 (C), 164.1 (C), 170.6 (OAc), 171.2 (OAc) ppm.

## D.2.4 Synthesis of the Kinked Bis-Porphyrin Donor

## $(1 \alpha, 4 \alpha, 4 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 5 \beta, 5 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 6 \alpha, 10 \alpha, 10 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 11 \beta, 11 \mathrm{a} \alpha)-1,4,4 \mathrm{a}, 5,5 \mathrm{a}, 6,10,10 \mathrm{a}, 11,11 \mathrm{a}-D e c a h y d r o-1,4-$

 ethano-5,11:6,10-dimethano-7H-cyclohepta[b]naphthalene-12,13-trans-dicarboxylate (60)

A solution of ketone $\mathbf{8}(4.05 \mathrm{~g}, 16.05 \mathrm{mmol})$ [D.1.4, page 173] and dimethyl fumarate $(2.31 \mathrm{~g}, 16.05 \mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene $(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated at reflux for 18 hours. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure to give the off-white product. Yield: $3.60 \mathrm{~g}(9.78 \mathrm{mmol}, 60.9 \%)$ ring-expanded 6B-ene-2B-ene-trans-diester 60.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=1.39(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.43(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.54$ $(1 \mathrm{H}), 1.62(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.80(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.84(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 1.91(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 1.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 2.19(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $18.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.28(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 2.36(\mathrm{bs}), 2.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.07(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.11(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.63$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.73(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.37(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=9.2,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.78(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.05(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{td}$, $J=14.4,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm}$.
$(1 \alpha, 4 \alpha, 4 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 5 \beta, 5 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 6 \alpha, 10 \alpha, 10 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 11 \beta, 11 \mathrm{a} \alpha)-1,4,4 \mathrm{a}, 5,5 \mathrm{a}, 6,10,10 \mathrm{a}, 11,11 \mathrm{a}-$ Decahydro-12,13-trans-bis(hydroxymethyl)-1,4-ethano-5,11:6,10-dimethano-7 H-cyclohepta[b]naphthalene (90)


To an ice-cold suspension of $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}(1.60 \mathrm{~g}, 42.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in tetrahydrofuran ( 50 mL ) was added dropwise a solution of ringexpanded 6B-ene-2B-ene-trans-diester $\mathbf{6 0}(5.40 \mathrm{~g}, 14.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in tetrahydrofuran ( 50 mL ) under an argon atmosphere. On completion of the addition (1 hour) the greyish mixture was heated at reflux for 24 hours, then cooled down to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 1 M $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added drop wise to quench the reaction. The mixture was heated at reflux for further 30 minutes then filtered hot through a pad of filter aid, which was extracted with boiling diethyl ether ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{x}$ 30 mL ). The combined aqueous layers were extracted with diethyl ether ( $4 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and the combined organic layers were washed with brine $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The
solvents were removed under reduced pressure to give the crude product as a white solid, which was used without further purification. Yield: 4.5 g ( $14.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 99 \%$ ) ring-expanded 6B-ene-2B-ene-trans-diol 90 . Melting point: $169-171^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}: 312$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=1.17(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.39-1.57(6 \mathrm{H}), 1.80(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.87(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $1.93(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.18(1 \mathrm{H}), 2.27(1 \mathrm{H}), 2.40(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 2.53(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.59(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.06(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{td}$, $J=4.7,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.49(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.64(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.37(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=8.6,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.78(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=$ $8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.18(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=30.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 30.8$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 36.7(\mathrm{CH}), 37.3,37.4(\mathrm{CH}), 37.5,37.6(\mathrm{CH}), 37.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 38.0(\mathrm{CH}), 40.5,41.0(\mathrm{CH})$, 41.8, $42.4(\mathrm{CH}), 44.7,44.8(\mathrm{CH}), 46.1,46.2(\mathrm{CH}), 48.3,48.4(\mathrm{CH}), 51.4,51.5(\mathrm{CH}), 55.8$, $56.0(\mathrm{CH}), 57.3,57.5(\mathrm{CH}), 66.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right), 67.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right), 128.4(=\mathrm{CH}), 131.1,131.4(=\mathrm{CH})$, 133.1 (=CH), 134.8, 135.2 (=CH) ppm.
$(1 \alpha, 4 \alpha, 4 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 5 \beta, 5 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 6 \alpha, 10 \alpha, 10 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 11 \beta, 11 \mathrm{a} \alpha)-1,4,4 \mathrm{a}, 5,5 \mathrm{a}, 6,10,10 \mathrm{a}, 11,11 \mathrm{a}-$ Decahydro-12,13-trans-bis(acetoxymethyl)-1,4-ethano-5,11:6,10-dimethano-7H-cyclohepta[b]naphthalene (92)


To a solution of ring-expanded 6B-ene-2B-ene-trans-diol $90(4.50 \mathrm{~g}$, 14.4 mmol ) in pyridine ( 75 mL ) was added acetic anhydride (4.43, 43.4 mmol, $\sim 3$ eq.). The slightly yellow solution was stirred at RT for 17 hours then poured onto a mixture of ice $(100 \mathrm{~g}), 1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dichloromethane $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane ( $4 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were washed with $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ (sat., 50 ml$)$ then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure and the resulting y ellow oil was purified by column chromatography (light petroleum/ethyl acetate $3: 1$ ) to give the desired product as a colourless oil. Yield: $5.085 \mathrm{~g}(12.82 \mathrm{mmol}, 89.1 \%)$ ring-exp anded 6B-ene-2B-ene-trans-diacetate 92.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=1.12(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.35-1.44(4 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 1.53(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.65(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.81(2 \mathrm{H}), 1.88(2 \mathrm{H}), 1.93(2 \mathrm{H}), 2.03(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.06(3 \mathrm{H}$, s), $2.27(1 \mathrm{H}), 2.34(1 \mathrm{H}), 2.60(2 \mathrm{H}), 3.69(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.05(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.16(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.37(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}$, $J=9.2,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.77(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.99(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.20(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(75.5$ $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=21.0\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 30.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 30.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 35.4,35.6(\mathrm{CH}), 36.5,36.6(\mathrm{CH})$, $36.7(\mathrm{CH}), 37.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 38.0(\mathrm{CH}), 40.3,40.9(\mathrm{CH}), 41.2,41.3(\mathrm{CH}), 41.7,42.3(\mathrm{CH}), 42.8$, $42.9(\mathrm{CH}), 43.8,43.9(\mathrm{CH}), 50.9,51.1(\mathrm{CH}), 55.8,56.0(\mathrm{CH}), 57.3,57.5(\mathrm{CH}), 65.8,65.9$
$\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 67.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 128.4(=\mathrm{CH}), 131.2,131.6(=\mathrm{CH}), 133.1(=\mathrm{CH}), 134.9,135.3(=\mathrm{CH})$, 171.1 (2OAc) ppm.
$(1 \alpha, 4 \alpha, 4 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 5 \beta, 5 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 6 \alpha, 10 \alpha, 10 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 11 \beta, 11 \mathrm{a} \alpha)-1,4,4 \mathrm{a}, 5,5 \mathrm{a}, 6,8,9,10,10 \mathrm{a}, 11,11 \mathrm{a}-D o d e c a h y d r o-$ 12,13-trans-bis (acetoxymethyl)-1,4-ethano-8,9-cis-dihydroxy-5,11:6,10-dimethano-7H-cyclohepta[b]naphthalene-2,3-dione (96) and ( $1 \alpha, 4 \alpha, 4 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 5 \beta, 5 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 6 \alpha, 10 \alpha, 10 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 11 \beta, 11 \mathrm{a} \alpha$ )$1,4,4 \mathrm{a}, 5,5 \mathrm{a}, 6,8,10,10 \mathrm{a}, 11,11 \mathrm{a}-$ Undecahydro-12,13-trans-bis (acetoxymethyl)-1,4-ethano-8-hydroxy-5,11:6,10-dimethano-7H-cyclohepta[b]naphthalene-2,3,9-trione (97)
To a suspension of ring-expanded 6B-ene-2B-ene-trans-diacetate 92 ( $950 \mathrm{mg}, 2.396 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 4-methyl-N-morpholine-N-oxide ( $2.82 \mathrm{~g}, 24.072 \mathrm{mmol}, \sim 10 \mathrm{eq}$.) in 1,4-dioxane ( 25 mL ) was added $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}(12 \mathrm{mg}, 2 \%)$ in tert-butanol ( 2.4 mL ). The golden-brown solution was stirred at RT for 50 days then $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}(1 \mathrm{~g})$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{ml})$ and dichloromethane ( 10 mL ) were added. The mixture was stirred for another 30 minutes, then the layers were separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with dichloromethane ( $5 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined bright yellow organic layers were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure to give the yellow crude residue, which was then partly dissolved in chloroform. The insoluble residue was recrystallised from tetrahy drofuran to give $215 \mathrm{mg}(0.467 \mathrm{mmol}, 19.5 \%)$ pale yellow ring-expanded 6B-diol-2B-dione-trans-diacetate 96. The soluble products were purified by column chromatography (light petroleum/ethyl acetate 1:4). Yield: 200 mg ( $0.436 \mathrm{mmol}, 18.2 \%$ ) ring-expanded 6B-ketol-2B-dione-trans-diacetate $\mathbf{9 7}$ as a bright yellow solid.

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathbf{9 6}\right): \delta=20.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 20.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 29.9$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 32.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 36.0(\mathrm{CH}), 39.2,39.6(\mathrm{CH}), 39.8$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 40.3,40.7(\mathrm{CH}), 42.0,42.2(\mathrm{CH}), 47.9(\mathrm{CH}), 49.5(\mathrm{CH}), 49.8$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 50.8,50.9(\mathrm{CH}), 51.4,51.5(\mathrm{CH}), 52.3,52.4(\mathrm{CH}), 54.8,55.0(\mathrm{CH}), 58.4(\mathrm{CHOH}), 64.0$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 65.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 68.1(\mathrm{CHOH}), 170.5(\mathrm{OAc}), 170.8(\mathrm{OAc}), 196.7(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 196.9$ ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ) ppm.

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 97\right): \delta=0.23(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=14.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.63$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=14.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.48(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.83(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.85(1 \mathrm{H}), 1.89(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.93(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.96(1 \mathrm{H}$, d, $J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.05(1 \mathrm{H}), 2.07(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.08-2.18(4 \mathrm{H}), 2.18(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.24(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J=11.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 2.82(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 2.87(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.69(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.78(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J=10.9,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.05(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.18(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}$,
$\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 97\right): \delta=20.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 20.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 29.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 32.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 34.0(\mathrm{CH}), 35.9$, $36.0(\mathrm{CH}), 39.1,39.5(\mathrm{CH}), 39.7,40.1(\mathrm{CH}), 39.8(\mathrm{CH}), 41.2,41.8(\mathrm{CH}), 48.7,49.6(\mathrm{CH})$, 49.4, $49.5(\mathrm{CH}), 51.0,51.2(\mathrm{CH}), 51.9(\mathrm{CH}), 52.2,52.4(\mathrm{CH}), 53.3,53.4(\mathrm{CH}), 63.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$, $65.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 69.9(\mathrm{CHOH}), 170.5(\mathrm{OAc}), 170.8(\mathrm{OAc}), 196.6,196.7,196.8,197.0(2 \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$, 212.46, $212.50(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{ppm}$.
$(1 \alpha, 4 \alpha, 4 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 5 \beta, 5 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 6 \alpha, 10 \alpha, 10 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 11 \beta, 11 \mathrm{a} \alpha)-1,4,4 \mathrm{a}, 5,5 \mathrm{a}, 6,10,10 \mathrm{a}, 11,11 \mathrm{a}-$ Decahydro-12,13-trans-bis(acetoxymethyl)-1,4-ethano-5,11:6,10-dimethano-7H-cyclohepta[b]naphthalene-

## 2,3,8,9-tetraone (98)



To an ice-cold solution of ring-expanded 6B-ketol-2B-dione-transdiacetate 97 ( $160 \mathrm{mg}, 0.35 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $p$-toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate ( $532 \mathrm{mg}, 2.8 \mathrm{mmol}, \sim 8 \mathrm{eq}$.) in dichloromethane ( 12 mL ) was added portionwise 4 -acetamido-TEMPO reagent ( 597 mg , $2.8 \mathrm{mmol}, \sim 8$ eq.) in dichloromethane ( 25 mL ) in the dark. The orange solution was allowed to warm up to RT and stirred for 4 days in the dark. The orange mixture was filtered and ethanol ( 10 mL ) was added to the filtrate to quench the reaction. To the yellow solution $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 8 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were washed with brine ( 20 mL ) then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure to give a yellow solid ( 375 mg ) which was purified by column chromatography (dichloromethane/methanol 20:1). Yield: 91.1 mg ( $0.200 \mathrm{mmol}, 57.0 \%$ ) ring-exp anded 6B-dione-2B-dione-trans-diacetate 98.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=0.59(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.71(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.83(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 1.87$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 1.92(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.93(1 \mathrm{H}), 2.00(1 \mathrm{H}), 2.05(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.06(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.09(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 2.13$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 2.27(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.53(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.87(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 3.09(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8.8$, $3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.77(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.04(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.18(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=$ $20.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 20.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 29.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 32.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 33.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 36.0(\mathrm{CH}), 39.8(\mathrm{CH}), 39.9,40.1$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 40.7,41.2(\mathrm{CH}), 42.0,42.2(\mathrm{CH}), 48.7(\mathrm{CH}), 49.4,49.5(\mathrm{CH}), 50.9(\mathrm{CH}), 51.5(\mathrm{CH})$, 52.1, $52.3(\mathrm{CH}), 52.5(\mathrm{CH}), 54.2,54.3(\mathrm{CH}), 63.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 65.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 170.4(\mathrm{OAc}), 170.7$ (OAc), 196.1 (C=O), 196.3 (C=O), 196.7 (C=O), 203.3 (C=O) ppm

## Ring-expanded 6B-porphyrin-hemi-acetal (107)



A solution of ring-exp anded 6B-dione-2B-dione-trans-diacetate 98 and 'diaminoporphyrin' $153(66 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0552 \mathrm{mmol})$ in deoxy genated pyridine ( 1 mL ) was reacted at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 15 kbar for 3 days. The solvent was removed under an argon stream. The crude product was dried under high vacuum and purified by column chromatography (dichloromethane/methanol 20:1). Yield: $21 \mathrm{mg}(0.0137 \mathrm{mmol}, 24.8 \%)$ ring-expanded 6B-porphyrin-hemiacetal 107 . Melting point: $>300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=-2.34(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}),-0.01(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=12.1,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.08(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}$, $J=13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.53(18 \mathrm{H}), 1.55(36 \mathrm{H}), 1.56(9 \mathrm{H}), 1.58(9 \mathrm{H}), 2.01(2 \mathrm{H}), 2.14(2 \mathrm{H}), 2.27(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.35(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.44(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.59(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=13.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $2.67(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.59(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 3.79(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.01(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $7.82(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.00(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 8.11(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 8.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.62(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.78(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 9.01(4 \mathrm{H}$, m) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=32.1\left(12 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 32.3\left(12 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 32.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 32.9$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 35.5(8 \mathrm{C}), 37.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 39.5,40.0(\mathrm{CH}), 40.4,40.8(\mathrm{CH}), 41.4,41.9(\mathrm{CH}), 42.0(\mathrm{CH})$, $42.3,42.5(\mathrm{CH}), 44.2,44.4(\mathrm{CH}), 45.1(\mathrm{CH}), 45.4(\mathrm{CH}), 48.6,48.7(\mathrm{CH}), 54.3,54.5(\mathrm{CH})$, 55.0, $55.2(\mathrm{CH}), 63.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right), 73.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 100.6(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{OH}), 118.5(2 \mathrm{C}), 121.0\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p} \text {-Ar }} \mathrm{H}\right)$, $121.6\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {p-Ar }} \mathrm{H}\right), 123.6(2 \mathrm{C}), 128.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{0-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{0-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 129.0\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{0-A r} \mathrm{H}\right), 129.2\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{0 \text { oAr }} \mathrm{H}\right)$, $129.7\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {pyrrolic }} \mathrm{H}\right), 129.9\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{\text {pyrrolic }} \mathrm{H}\right), 133.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {quinoxaline }} \mathrm{H}\right), 134.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {quinoxaline }} \mathrm{H}\right), 138.6(2 \mathrm{C})$, 140.2 (C), 140.4 (2C), 140.5 (C), 140.9 (C), 141.1 (C), 141.3 (2C), 142.1 (C), 145.3 (C), $145.7(\mathrm{C}), 146.5(\mathrm{C}), 149.3\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right), 149.4\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right), 149.7\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right), 154.8(\mathrm{C}), 155.1(\mathrm{C})$, 155.5 (2C), 159.7 (2C), 209.4, 210.1 (C=O) ppm.

## D.2.5 Synthesis of the Bridge

## 1,4,4a,8a-tetrahydro-1,4-methanonaphthalene-5,8-dione (113) 184,185,193



To an ice-cold suspension of benzoquinone $(59.0 \mathrm{~g}, 546 \mathrm{mmol})$ in absolute ethanol ( 225 mL ) was added freshly cracked cyclopentadiene $(45.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 36.1 \mathrm{~g}$, 546 mmol ) over a period of 30 minutes. The orange-y ellow solution was stirred at
$0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 hours. The resulting precipitate was collected by filtration and washed with icecold absolute ethanol and dried under high vacuum. Yield: 58.04 g ( $333 \mathrm{mmol}, 61 \%$ ) slightly yellow 113. Melting point: $76-77{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit.: ${ }^{185} 77-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=1.42(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.54(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=$ $1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.21(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.53(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.05(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.56(2 \mathrm{H}$, s) ppm .

## 1,4-dihydro-5,8-dimethoxy-1,4-methanonaphthalene (114) ${ }^{186,187,193}$



Over a period of 1 hour a solution of $\mathbf{1 1 3}(41.1 \mathrm{~g}, 237 \mathrm{mmol})$ in tetrahy drofuran $(150 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise to an ice-cold suspension of $\mathrm{NaH}(13.6 \mathrm{~g}$, 566 mmol ) in tetrahydrofuran ( 200 mL ) under an argon atmosphere. The mixture was stirred for 16 hours at RT then cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and methyl iodide $(71 \mathrm{~g}, 31.3 \mathrm{~mL}$, $500 \mathrm{mmol})$ in tetrahy drofuran ( 30 mL ) was added dropwise. The dark brown solution was stirred for a further 18 hours at RT then quenched with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous phase was extracted with diethyl ether ( $4 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and the combined organic solutions were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure to give a brown oil, which was crystallised from ethanol. Yield: $24.7 \mathrm{~g}(122 \mathrm{mmol}, 51.5 \%) \mathbf{1 1 4}$. Melting point: 77-79 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\right.$ lit.: $\left.:^{186} 78.5-79{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=2.20(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.78(6 \mathrm{H}$, s), $4.16(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.51(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.83(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}) \mathrm{ppm}$.

Dimethyl ( $2 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 3 \beta, 8 \beta, 8 \mathrm{a} \alpha$ )-2a,3,8,8a-Tetrahydro-3,8-methano-4,7-dimethoxycyclobuta-[b]naphthalene-1,2-dicarboxylate (115) 43,148,183,193


A solution of $114(26.1 \mathrm{~g}, 129 \mathrm{mmol})$, DMAD ( $18.3 \mathrm{~g}, 129 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{RuH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{3}{ }^{191}(400 \mathrm{mg}, 0.43 \mathrm{mmol}, \sim 0.3 \%)$ in toluene $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated at reflux for 24 hours under an argon atmosphere. Toluene was removed until the solution became cloudy. Ethanol ( 120 mL ) was added and a white precipitate appeared. After cooling to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the white precipitate was filtered off, washed with ice-cold ethanol and dried under high vacuum. Yield: $20 \mathrm{~g}(58 \mathrm{mmol}, 45 \%) \mathbf{1 1 5}$. Melting point: 171-172 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. $: 183172-173{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); m/z: 344 .
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=1.71(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.76(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.76$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.50(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.79(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.83(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.62(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) \mathrm{ppm}$.

Dimethyl ( $1 \alpha, 4 \alpha, 4 a \beta, 4 b \alpha, 4 c \beta, 5 \alpha, 10 \alpha, 10 a \beta, 10 b \alpha, 10 c \beta)-1,4,4 a, 4 b, 4 c, 5,10,10 a, 10 b, 10 c-$ Decahydro-1,4:5,10-dimethano-6,9-dimethoxybenzo[ $3^{\prime}, 4$ ' $]$ cyclobuta $\left[1^{\prime}, 2 ': 3,4\right]$ cyclobuta-[1,2-b]naphthalene-4b,10b-dicarboxylate (116) ${ }^{43,148,169,183,193}$


A solution of $\mathbf{1 1 5}(20 \mathrm{~g}, 58 \mathrm{mmol})$ in quadricyclane $(10.7 \mathrm{~g}, 116 \mathrm{mmol})$ and toluene ( 20 mL ) was heated at reflux for 6 days under an argon atmosphere. The brownish mixture was allowed to cool down to RT. The white solid formed was filtered off and washed with ice-cold acetone ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) then dried under high vacuum. Yield: $18.9 \mathrm{~g}(43.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 74.6 \%) \mathbf{1 1 6}$. Melting point: $195-197{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit.: ${ }^{183} 196-197{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); m/z: 436.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=1.14(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.51(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=10.3,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $1.88(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.07(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.29(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.33(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.85(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=$ $1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.56(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 3.76(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.78(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.04(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.60(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) \mathrm{ppm}$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=40.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 42.07(2 \mathrm{CH}), 42.13\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 43.9(2 \mathrm{CH}), 47.3$ $(2 \mathrm{CH}), 49.2(2 \mathrm{CH}), 51.1\left(2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 52.8(2 \mathrm{C}), 56.0\left(2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 110.0\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 135.8\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right)$, $136.3(2=\mathrm{CH}), 148.0\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{OMe}\right), 170.6(2 \mathrm{MeO}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{ppm}$.
$(1 \alpha, 4 \alpha, 4 a \beta, 4 b \alpha, 4 c \beta, 5 \alpha, 10 \alpha, 10 a \beta, 10 b \alpha, 10 c \beta)-1,4,4 a, 4 b, 4 c, 5,10,10 a, 10 b, 10 c$-Decahydro$1,4: 5,10$-dimethano- 6,9 -dimethoxybenzo-4b,10b-bishydroxymethyl $[3 ', 4$ ']cyclobuta[ $\mathbf{1}^{\prime}, \mathbf{2}: \mathbf{3 , 4}$ ]-cyclobuta[1,2-b]naphthalene (117) ${ }^{\mathbf{4 3}, 148,169,183}$


A solution of $116(40.2 \mathrm{~g}, 92.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in tetrahy drofuran $(600 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise to an ice-cold suspension of $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}(8.75 \mathrm{~g}$, $230 \mathrm{mmol})$ in tetrahydrofuran $(200 \mathrm{~mL})$ under an argon atmosphere. On completion of the addition (3 hours) the brownish mixture was heated at reflux for 20 hours then $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to quench the reaction. The resulting mixture was heated at reflux for 15 minutes and filtered hot through a pad of filter aid. The filter was extracted with boiling diethyl ether and the combined organic layers were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $(300 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(300 \mathrm{~mL})$ then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure to give an off-white solid, which was dried under high vacuum. Yield: 30.6 g ( $80.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 87.3 \%$ ) 117. Melting point: 234-235 (dec.).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=1.30(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.47(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.66(1 \mathrm{H}$, d, $J=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.82(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.83(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.04(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.94(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.69(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $3.72(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.75(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.77(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.07(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.60(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) \mathrm{ppm}$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=40.7(2 \mathrm{CH}), 42.3(2 \mathrm{CH}), 42.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 43.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 44.6(2 \mathrm{CH}), 46.0(2 \mathrm{C}), 46.7$
$(2 \mathrm{CH}), 56.1\left(2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 57.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right), 109.3\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 136.6\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 136.7(2=\mathrm{CH}), 147.9$ ( $2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{OMe}$ ) ppm.
IR (Nujol) $v_{\text {max }}: 3250 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
$(1 \alpha, 4 \alpha, 4 a \beta, 4 b \alpha, 4 c \beta, 5 \alpha, 10 \alpha, 10 a \beta, 10 b \alpha, 10 c \beta)-1,4,4 a, 4 b, 4 c, 5,10,10 a, 10 b, 10 c-D e c a h y d r o-$ 1,4:5,10-dimethano-6,9-dimethoxybenzo-4b,10b-bis mes yloxymethyl [ $3^{\prime}, 4$ '] cyclobuta[ $1^{\prime}, 2$ ': $\mathbf{3 , 4}$ ]-cyclobuta[1,2-b]naphthalene (118) 43,148,169,183


To a solution of $\mathbf{1 1 7}(12.1 \mathrm{~g}, 31.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous pyridine $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise methanesulfonyl chloride $(15 \mathrm{~mL}$, 194 mmol ) under an argon atmosphere at $-7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. On completion of the addition the mixture was stoppered and placed in the freezer for 70 hours, then poured onto ice ( 200 g ). The resulting brown suspension was extracted with dichloromethane ( 3 x 100 mL ) and the combined organic solutions were washed with $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ (sat., 50 ml ) then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure to y ield crude $\mathbf{1 1 8}(15.1 \mathrm{~g})$ as a brownish solid, which was used without further purification.
$(1 \alpha, 4 \alpha, 4 a \beta, 4 b \alpha, 4 c \beta, 5 \alpha, 10 \alpha, 10 a \beta, 10 b \alpha, 10 c \beta)-1,4,4 a, 4 b, 4 c, 5,10,10 a, 10 b, 10 c-D e c a h y d r o-$ 1,4:5,10-dimethano-6,9-dimethoxybenzo-4b,10b-dimethyl[3',4']cyclobuta[1',2':3,4]-cyclobuta[1,2-b]naphthalene (119) ${ }^{148,169,183}$ and ( $1 \alpha, 4 \alpha, 4 \mathrm{a} \beta, 4 \mathrm{~b} \alpha, 4 \mathrm{c} \beta, 5 \alpha, 10 \alpha, 10 \mathrm{a} \beta, 10 \mathrm{~b} \alpha$, $10 c \beta$ )-6,9-Dimethoxy-1,4,4a,4c,5,10,10a,10c-octahydro-4b-hydroxymethyl-10b-methyl$1,4: 5,10$-dimethano-benzo[ $3^{\prime}, 4$ '] $]$ cyclobuta- $\left.1^{\prime}, 2^{\prime}: 3,4\right]$ cyclobuta[1,2-b]naphthalene (124)

A solution of crude $118(14 \mathrm{~g},<26.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ in tetrahy drofuran $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise to an ice-cold suspension of $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}(2.9 \mathrm{~g}, 76 \mathrm{mmol})$ in tetrahy drofuran $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ under an argon atmosphere. On completion of the addition the greyish mixture was heated at reflux for 3 days then cooled in an ice bath and $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to quench the reaction. The resulting mixture was heated at reflux for 10 minutes and filtered hot through a pad of filter aid. The filter pad was extracted with boiling diethyl ether ( $3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The yellow organic solutions were combined, washed with brine ( $2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure to give a yellow-orange oil/solid, which was crystallised from dichloromethane/methanol. Solvents were removed from the mother-liquor and the residue was purified by column chromatography (light petroleum/ethyl acetate 3:1) to give further pure product. Yield (119): 4.9 g ( $14 \mathrm{mmol}, 52.2 \%$ ) 119. Melting point: 174-175
${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit.: ${ }^{183} 178-179{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Yield (124): $260 \mathrm{mg}(0.710 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.7 \%)$ of the corresponding mono-methyl-mono-hydroxy methyl compound 124.

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathbf{1 1 9}\right): \delta=0.90(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.18(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.38(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.58(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.68(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $1.77(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.91(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.74(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.51$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.79(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.98(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, 1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.58(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, 119): $\delta=9.2\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 40.4(2 \mathrm{CH}), 41.6(2 \mathrm{C}), 41.9(2 \mathrm{CH}), 42.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 43.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 47.8$ $(2 \mathrm{CH}), 50.0(2 \mathrm{CH}), 56.2\left(2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 109.1\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 136.0(2=\mathrm{CH}), 137.0\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 147.9$ $\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right) \mathrm{ppm}$.

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathbf{1 2 4}\right): \delta=0.95(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.26(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $\mathrm{J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.42(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.63(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.71(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.78(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.81(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.93(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $2.01(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.78(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 2.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 3.55(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.67(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.71(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $3.77(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.79(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.03(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.59(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, 124): $\delta=9.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 40.4(\mathrm{CH}), 40.7(\mathrm{CH}), 41.9(\mathrm{CH}), 42.2(\mathrm{C}), 42.2(\mathrm{CH}), 42.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 43.6$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 44.7(\mathrm{CH}), 45.6(\mathrm{C}), 46.8(\mathrm{CH}), 47.5(\mathrm{CH}), 49.6(\mathrm{CH}), 56.1\left(2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 57.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right)$, $109.2(=\mathrm{CH}), 109.3\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 136.0(2=\mathrm{CH}), 136.7\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 148.0\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{OMe}\right) \mathrm{ppm}$.

## Dimethyl ( $1 \alpha, 4 \alpha, 4 \mathrm{a} \beta, 4 \mathrm{~b} \alpha, 4 \mathrm{c} \beta, 5 \alpha, 10 \alpha, 10 \mathrm{a} \beta, 10 \mathrm{~b} \alpha, 10 c \beta)-6,9$-dimethoxy-1,2,3,4,4a,4c,5,10, 10a,10c-decahydro-4b,10b-dimethyl-1,4:5,10-dimethano-benzo[3',4']cyclobuta[1',2':3,4] cyclobuta[1,2-b]-naphtalene-2-exo,3-exo-dicarboxylate (120) ${ }^{169,193,197}$



To a suspension of $\mathbf{1 1 9}$ ( $2.85 \mathrm{~g}, 8.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), anhy drous copper(II) chloride $(4.32 \mathrm{~g}, 32 \mathrm{mmol})$ and anhydrous sodium acetate $(2.64 \mathrm{~g}, 32 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous methanol $(270 \mathrm{~mL})$ and tetrahydrofuran ( 210 mL ) was added $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ catalyst $(30 \mathrm{mg})$. The flask was flushed with carbon monoxide and two balloons of carbon monoxide were attached to the three neck flask. The mixture was stirred at RT for 97 hours then $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(300 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dichloromethane ( 300 ml ) were added and the resulting suspension was filtered through a pad of filter aid. The blue aqueous layer plus $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(200 \mathrm{~mL})$ were extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 150 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic solutions were reduced to 250 mL , washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(150 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ (sat., $3 \times 200 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the off-white solid was recrystallised from dichloromethane/methanol. Yield:
2.4 g ( $5.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 63.4 \% \mathbf{1 2 0}$ as a white solid. Melting point: $248-250{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit.: ${ }^{197} 248-250{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}: 466$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=0.89(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.66(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.71(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.96(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.09(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.48(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.55$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.48(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.61(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{MeO}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 3.78\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 6.59(2 \mathrm{H}$, s) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=9.4\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 32.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 40.1(2 \mathrm{C}), 40.2(2 \mathrm{CH})$, $43.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 43.7(2 \mathrm{CH}), 49.7(2 \mathrm{CH}), 49.8(2 \mathrm{CH}), 51.4(2 \mathrm{CH}), 51.5\left(\mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 56.0$ $\left(2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 109.0\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 136.5\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 147.7\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 173.5(2 \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{ppm}$.

## 2-exo,3-exo-Di(hydroxymethyl)-( $1 \alpha, 4 \alpha, 4 \mathrm{a} \beta, 4 \mathrm{~b} \alpha, 4 \mathrm{c} \beta, 5 \alpha, 10 \alpha, 10 \mathrm{a} \beta, 10 \mathrm{~b} \alpha, 10 c \beta)-1,2,3,4,4 \mathrm{a}$, 4c,5,10,10a,10c-decahydro-6,9-dimethoxy-4b,10b-dimethyl-1,4:5,10-dimethanobenzo-[1,2-b]-naphthalene (121) ${ }^{169,193}$



To an ice-cold suspension of $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}(590 \mathrm{mg}, 15.6 \mathrm{mmol}, \sim 3$ eq.) in tetrahy drofuran ( 60 mL ) was added dropwise $\mathbf{1 2 0}$ ( 2.40 g , $5.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in tetrahydrofuran $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ under an argon atmosphere. On completion of the addition (2 hours) the mixture was heated to reflux for 15 hours. The slightly yellow mixture was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(80 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to quench the reaction. After refluxing for 15 minutes the mixture was filtered hot through a pad of filter aid, which was extracted with boiling diethyl ether. The aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether ( 2 x 80 mL ) and the combined organic layers were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $(80 \mathrm{~mL})$ then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure and the white solid was dried under high vacuum. Yield: 2.02 g ( $4.98 \mathrm{mmol}, 97.6 \%) \mathbf{1 2 1}$. Melting point: 102-105 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}: 410$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=0.83(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.33(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.45(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.2), 1.70(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.84(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.91(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $1.97(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.02(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.12(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{OH}), 3.47(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.65(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=11.3,4.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $3.74(1 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(1 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.59(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=9.3$ $\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 31.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 40.1(2 \mathrm{CH}), 40.6(2 \mathrm{CH}), 43.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 47.6(2 \mathrm{CH}), 49.7(2 \mathrm{CH}), 52.2$ $(2 \mathrm{CH}), 56.1\left(2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 63.5\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 109.0\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 136.6\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 147.8\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{OMe}\right) \mathrm{ppm}$. IR (Nujol) $v_{\text {max }}: 3325 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

2-exo,3-exo-B is ( $p$-tos yloxymethyl)-( $1 \alpha, 4 \alpha, 4 \mathrm{a} \beta, 4 \mathrm{~b} \alpha, 4 \mathrm{c} \beta, 5 \alpha, 10 \alpha, 10 \mathrm{a} \beta, 10 \mathrm{~b} \alpha, 10 \mathrm{c} \beta$ )-6,9-dimethoxy-4b,10b-dimethyl-1,2,3,4,4a,4c,5,10,10a,10c-decahydro-1,4:5,10-dimethano-benzo[3',4']cyclobuta[1,2-b]-naphthalene (122) ${ }^{169,193}$


At $-23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} p$-toluenesulfonyl chloride $(4.90 \mathrm{~g}, 24.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhy drous pyridine ( 4 mL ) was added dropwise to a solution of $121(2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 4.92 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous pyridine ( 60 mL ) under an argon atmosphere. On completion of the addition the flask was stoppered and placed in the freezer for 6 days, after which the orange solution was poured onto ice $(50 \mathrm{~g})$. The resulting suspension was extracted with dichloromethane ( $4 \times 80 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic lay ers were washed with $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(\mathrm{sat} ., 2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(80 \mathrm{~mL})$ then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the red oil was purified by column chromatography (light petroleum/ethyl acetate 2:1). Yield: 2.32 g ( $3.25 \mathrm{mmol}, \mathbf{6 6 . 0} \%$ ) $\mathbf{1 2 2}$ as a white solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=0.77(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.23(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.39(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.50(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.65(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.73(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 1.82(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.88$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.94(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.46(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.44(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.77(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.82(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.92(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=$ $9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.58(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.35(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.75(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm}$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=9.3\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 21.7\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 30.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 39.5(2 \mathrm{CH}), 40.1$ $(2 \mathrm{CH}), 43.2(2 \mathrm{C}), 43.3(2 \mathrm{CH}), 43.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 49.7(2 \mathrm{CH}), 51.6(2 \mathrm{CH}), 56.1\left(2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 69.5$ $\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 109.1\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {ar }} \mathrm{H}\right), 127.9\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {tos }} \mathrm{H}\right), 130.0\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {tos }} \mathrm{H}\right), 131.6\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {tos }}\right), 136.5\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {ar }}\right), 145.0$ $\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {tos }}\right), 147.8\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right) \mathrm{ppm}$.

## 2,3-Dimethyliden-( $1 \alpha, 4 \alpha, 4 a \beta, 4 b \alpha, 4 c \beta, 5 \alpha, 10 \alpha, 10 a \beta, 10 b \alpha, 10 c \beta)-6,9$-dimethoxy-4b,10b-dimethyl-1,2,3,4,4a,4c,5,10,10a,10c-decahydro-1,4:5,10-dimethano-benzo[3',4']cyclo-buta[1,2-b]-naphthalene (123) ${ }^{169,193}$



To a colourless solution of $\mathbf{1 2 2}(2.32 \mathrm{~g}, 3.23 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous DMF $(150 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added potassium tert-butoxide $(1.08 \mathrm{~g}$, 9.6 mmol ) in small portions at -5 to $-10{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under an argon atmosphere. On completion of the addition the green solution was stirred at RT for 18 hours, after which the then orange solution was poured onto ice ( 400 g ) to form a yellow suspension, which was extracted with diethyl ether ( $5 \times 200 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(4 \times 150 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(150 \mathrm{~mL})$, then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure to result in a slightly yellow solid, which was purified by column chromatography (light petroleum/ethyl acetate 4:1). Yield: $1.09 \mathrm{~g}(2.91 \mathrm{mmol}$, $90.1 \%) \mathbf{1 2 3}$ as a white solid. Melting point: $159-161^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\right.$ lit. $.{ }^{193} 160-162^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=0.90(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.44(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.53(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.73(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.98(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.07(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.72(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.49(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.78$ $(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.73(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.06(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.58(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=9.3$ $\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 35.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 40.2(2 \mathrm{CH}), 43.5(2 \mathrm{CH}), 43.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 43.8(2 \mathrm{C}), 45.5(2 \mathrm{CH}), 49.7(2 \mathrm{CH})$, $50.7(2 \mathrm{CH}), 56.0\left(2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 99.9\left(2=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 109.0\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 136.6\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 147.8\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 151.5$ ( $2=C$ ) ppm.

## D.2.6 Synthesis of the Acceptor Precursor

## 1,4,4a,8a-Tetrahydro-1,4-ethanonaphthalene-5,8-dione (125) ${ }^{184,193}$



To a suspension of benzoquinone ( $30.0 \mathrm{~g}, 278 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in absolute ethanol $(125 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise 1,3 -cyclohexadiene ( $26.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 278 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in absolute ethanol $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. On completion of the addition (1 hour) the orange solution was heated at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for further 90 minutes then cooled down to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The slightly yellow precipitate was filtered off, washed with ice-cold absolute ethanol and dried under high vacuum. Yield: 30.0 g ( $159 \mathrm{mmol}, 57 \%$ ) $\mathbf{1 2 5}$ as a white solid. Melting point: 97$98^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit.: ${ }^{184} 98^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=1.37(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.71(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2), 2.98(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $3.21(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 6.21(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.63(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) \mathrm{ppm}$.

## 1,4-Dihydro-5,8-dimethoxy-1,4-ethanonaphthalene (126) ${ }^{193}$



A solution of $\mathbf{1 2 5}(19.2 \mathrm{~g}, 102 \mathrm{mmol})$ in tetrahydrofuran $(80 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added drop wise to an ice-cold suspension of $\mathrm{NaH}(13 \mathrm{~g}, 542 \mathrm{mmol})$ in tetrahy drofuran ( 100 mL ) under an argon atmosphere. On completion of the addition ( 2.5 hours) the mixture was allowed to warm up to RT and stirred for 15 hours. The brownish suspension was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ again and methyl iodide ( $30 \mathrm{~mL}, 68.1 \mathrm{~g}, 480 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise. The mixture was stirred at RT for 64 hours, then $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to quench the reaction. The resulting yellow-orange solution was extracted with diethyl ether ( $3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and the combined organic solutions were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 80 \mathrm{ml})$ and brine $(80 \mathrm{~mL})$ then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure to yield a yelloworange oil. Yield: 14.2 g ( $64.8 \mathrm{mmol}, 63.5 \%) 126$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=1.41(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.53(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.80(6 \mathrm{H}$, s), $4.43(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 6.51(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.61(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) \mathrm{ppm}$.

## 1,2,3,4-Tetrahydro-5,8-dimethoxy-1,4-ethanonaphthalene (127) ${ }^{193}$



A suspension of $126(14.02 \mathrm{~g}, 64.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ catalyst $(0.3 \mathrm{~g})$ in ethyl acetate ( 150 mL ) was stirred vigorously under an hydrogen atmosphere for 10 hours. The mixture was filtered through a pad of filter aid and the solvent was removed from the filtrate under reduced pressure to give an orange oil. Yield: 13.40 g ( $61.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 94.6 \%$ ) 127.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=1.33(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.74(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.46(2 \mathrm{H}$, bs), $3.80(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.69(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) \mathrm{ppm}$.

## 1,2,3,4-Tetrahydro-5,8-dihydroxy-1,4-ethanonaphthalene (128)193,201

${ }^{\circ}{ }^{\mathrm{OH}}$ To an ice-cold solution of $\mathbf{1 2 7}(13.40 \mathrm{~g}, 61.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous dichloromethane ( 80 mL ) was added dropwise boron tribromide ( $20 \mathrm{~mL}, 52.8 \mathrm{~g}$, $210 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous dichloromethane ( 150 mL ) under an argon atmosphere. On completion of the addition ( 2 hours) the brown mixture was stirred at RT for 20 hours. At $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise to quench the reaction. The suspension was heated at reflux for 30 minutes then cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and filtered. The slightly brown precipitate was washed with ice-cold dichloromethane and dried under high vacuum. Yield: 8.85 g ( $46.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 88$ \%) $\mathbf{1 2 8}$ as a white solid. Melting point: 204-207 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit.: $2^{201} 204-206^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=1.25(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.71(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.40(2 \mathrm{H}$, bs), $5.74(2 \mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{b}), 6.50(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) \mathrm{ppm}$.

## 1,2,3,4-Tetrahydro-1,4-ethanonaphthalene-5,8-dione (129) ${ }^{193,200}$

 To a suspension of $\mathbf{1 2 8}(2.23 \mathrm{~g}, 11.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ in diethyl ether ( 100 mL ) were added $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4} \cdot 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(15.88 \mathrm{~g}, 91 \mathrm{mmol})$ and silver(I) oxide $(11.31 \mathrm{~g}, 49 \mathrm{mmol})$. The mixture was stirred at RT for 3.5 hours in the dark under an argon atmosphere and then filtered through a pad of filter aid. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure to give a bright yellow solid. Yield: $1.75 \mathrm{~g}(9.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 79$ \%) 129. Melting point: $85-87^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. $:^{200} 85.7-87^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=1.29(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.73(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.32(2 \mathrm{H}$, bs), $6.68(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) \mathrm{ppm}$.

## D.2.7 Assembling the Triads

$(1 \alpha, 4 \alpha, 7 \alpha, 7 \mathrm{a} \beta, 7 \mathrm{~b} \alpha, 7 \mathrm{c} \beta, 8 \alpha, 13 \alpha, 13 \mathrm{a} \beta, 13 \mathrm{c} \beta, 14 \alpha)-1,2,3,4,6,7,7 \mathrm{a}, 7 \mathrm{c}, 8,13,13 \mathrm{a}, 13 \mathrm{c}, 14,15-$ Octadecahydro-1,4-ethano-7,14:8,15-dimethano-9,12-dimethoxy-7b,13b-dimethylnaphtho[ $2^{\prime \prime}, 3^{\prime \prime}: 3^{\prime}, 4$ '] ${ }^{\prime}$ cyclobuta[ $\left.{ }^{\prime}, 2^{\prime}: 3,4\right]$ cyclobuta[1,2-b]naphthacene-5,16-dione (130) ${ }^{169,193}$


Method 1: A gold coloured solution of 123 ( 196 mg , 0.52 mmol ) and $\mathbf{1 2 9}$ ( $112 \mathrm{mg}, 0.59 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in toluene $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated at reflux for 53 hours. The solvent was removed and the crude product was purified by column chromatography (light petroleum/ethyl acetate 4:1). Yield $144 \mathrm{mg}(0.26 \mathrm{mmol}, 50 \%) 130$.

Method 2: ${ }^{206}$ A solution of $\mathbf{1 2 3}(1.62 \mathrm{~g}, 4.33 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathbf{1 2 9}(825 \mathrm{mg}, 4.38 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous 5 M ethereal $\mathrm{LiClO}_{4}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred for 3 days in the dark at RT under an argon atmosphere. The yellow solution was poured onto ice ( 100 mL ) and extracted with dichloromethane ( 5 x 75 mL ). The combined organic extracts were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{x}$ 75 mL ) and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure to give the crude product as an orange/y ellow solid, which was purified by column chromatography (light petroleum/ethyl acetate 4:1). Yield: $4.42 \mathrm{~g}(4.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 98 \%) 130$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=0.87(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.25(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.36(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.56(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.73(6 \mathrm{H}), 1.92(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.03(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=15.4,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.56(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.01(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.25(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.51(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.78(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.58$ ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$ ) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=9.3\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 23.9\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.1\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.2$ $\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 27.0(2 \mathrm{CH}), 40.4(2 \mathrm{CH}), 40.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 42.7(2 \mathrm{C}), 43.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 45.3(2 \mathrm{CH}), 47.9(2 \mathrm{CH})$, $50.1(2 \mathrm{CH}), 50.2(2 \mathrm{CH}), 56.2\left(2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 109.1\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 136.9\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 137.7(2=\mathrm{C}), 147.9$ $\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{OMe}\right), 151.0(2=\mathrm{C}), 197.3(2 \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{ppm}$.
$(1 \alpha, 4 \alpha, 7 \alpha, 7 \mathrm{a} \beta, 7 \mathrm{~b} \alpha, 7 \mathrm{c} \beta, 8 \alpha, 13 \alpha, 13 \mathrm{a} \beta, 13 \mathrm{c} \beta, 14 \alpha)-1,2,3,4,7,7 \mathrm{a}, 7 \mathrm{c}, 8,13,13 \mathrm{a}, 13 \mathrm{c}, 14-\mathrm{Hexa-}$ decahydro-1,4-ethano-7,14:8,15-dimethano-9,12-dimethoxy-7b,13b-dimethyl-naphtho-



To a colourless solution of $\mathbf{1 3 0}$ ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 0.89 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 1,4-dioxane ( 15 mL ) and chloroform ( 7 mL ) was added 2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicy ano-1,4-benzoquinone (DDQ, $500 \mathrm{mg}, 2.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The dark brown solution was heated at reflux for 48 hours. A white precipitate was formed and the solution turned orange-brown. The precipitate was filtered off and extracted with chloroform. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure to give a dark solid ( 990 mg ) which was purified by column chromatography (light petroleum/ethyl acetate $3: 1$ ). Yield: $326 \mathrm{mg}(0.58 \mathrm{mmol}, 66 \%)$ yellow $\mathbf{1 3 1}$. Melting point: $284-286^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. $1^{193}$ $284-285^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=1.02(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.33(4 \mathrm{H}), 1.56(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.62(1 \mathrm{H}$, d, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.73(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.76(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 1.79(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.89(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.90(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $1.91(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.40(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.50(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.54(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.77(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.57(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $7.83(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=9.4\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 25.3\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 26.7(2 \mathrm{CH})$, $26.9\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 40.3(2 \mathrm{CH}), 42.6(2 \mathrm{C}), 43.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 43.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 44.0(2 \mathrm{CH}), 49.4(2 \mathrm{CH}), 49.7$ $(2 \mathrm{CH}), 56.0\left(2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 109.0\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 118.3\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 131.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{nq}}\right), 136.5\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 147.8$ $\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{OMe}\right), 149.9\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{nq}}\right), 153.6\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{nq}}\right), 182.4\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{nq}}=\mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{ppm}$.
IR (Nujol) $v_{\text {max }}: 1675,1610 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
$(1 \alpha, 4 \alpha, 7 \alpha, 7 a \beta, 7 b \alpha, 7 c \beta, 8 \alpha, 13 \alpha, 13 a \beta, 13 b \alpha, 13 c \beta, 14 \alpha)-1,2,3,4,7,7 a, 7 c, 8,13,13 a, 13 c, 14-$ Dodecahydro-5,16-bis(dicyanomethylidene)-7,14:8,13-dimethano-7b,13b-dimethyl-9,12-dimethoxy-1,4-ethano-naphtho[2'", $\left.{ }^{\prime \prime}: 3^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}\right]$ cyclobuta[1',2':3,4]cyclobuta[1,2-b] naphthacene (132) ${ }^{169}$


To a solution of 131 ( $320 \mathrm{mg}, 0.57 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous dichloromethane ( 20 mL ) under an argon atmosphere was added malononitrile ( $189 \mathrm{mg}, 2.86 \mathrm{mmol}, \sim 5 \mathrm{eq}$.) in anhydrous dichloromethane ( 6 mL ). After 5 minutes pyridine ( $230 \mathrm{mg}, 0.24 \mathrm{~mL}, \sim 5 \mathrm{eq}$.) in anhydrous dichloromethane ( 6 mL ) was added and the resulting yellow solution was heated at reflux. To the refluxing solution titanium(IV) chloride ( $280 \mathrm{mg}, 0.16 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.43 \mathrm{mmol}, \sim 2.5$ eq.) was added in two portions. The solution turned dark immediately. The reaction was followed by TLC and after 15 minutes the mixture was allowed to cool down to RT and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise to quench the reaction. The aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane ( $4 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined red organic extracts were washed with 1 M HCl ( $3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}\left(\mathrm{sat} ., 2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}\right.$ ) then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure to give a red-brown solid ( 434 mg ), which was purified by column chromatography (light petroleum/ethyl acetate $2: 1$ ). Yield: 328 mg ( $0.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 87.9$ \%) yellow-orange 132. Melting point: $>300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=1.02(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.47(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.55(2 \mathrm{H}), 1.60(1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.76(2 \mathrm{H}), 1.82(2 \mathrm{H}), 1.86(2 \mathrm{H}), 1.88(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.89(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=10.3), 3.45(2 \mathrm{H}$, s), $3.54(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.76(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.77(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.55(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.99(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(75.5$ $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=9.3\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 25.0\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.1\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 32.5(2 \mathrm{CH}), 40.3(2 \mathrm{CH}), 42.5(2 \mathrm{C})$, $43.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 43.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 44.2(2 \mathrm{CH}), 49.5(2 \mathrm{CH}), 49.9(2 \mathrm{CH}), 56.2\left(2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 80.3\left(2 \underline{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CN}_{2}\right)\right)$, $109.2\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 113.5(2 \mathrm{CN}), 114.1(2 \mathrm{CN}), 120.0\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {tenq }} \mathrm{H}\right), 127.5\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {tenq }}\right), 136.4\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right)$, $146.0\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {tenq }}\right), 147.8\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{OMe}\right), 152.3\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {tenq }}\right), 158.0\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {tenq }}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}\right) \mathrm{ppm}$.
IR (Nujol) $v_{\text {max }}: 2225 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
UV-Vis $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \lambda_{\max }(\log \varepsilon): 388$ (4.21), 296 (3.99), 208 (4.52) nm.
$(1 \alpha, 4 \alpha, 7 \alpha, 7 a \beta, 7 b \alpha, 7 c \beta, 8 \alpha, 13 \alpha, 13 a \beta, 13 b \alpha, 13 c \beta, 14 \alpha)-1,2,3,4,7,7 a, 7 c, 8,13,13 a, 13 c, 14-$ Dodecahydro-5,16-bis(dicyanomethylidene)-1,4-ethano-7,14:8,13-dimethano-7b,13b-dimethyl-9,12-naphthoquino[2', $3^{\prime \prime}: 3^{\prime}, 4$ ']cyclobuta[ $\left.1^{\prime}, 2^{\prime}: 3,4\right]$ cyclobuta[1,2b]naphthacene (133) ${ }^{169}$


A suspension of $\mathbf{1 3 2}$ ( $70 \mathrm{mg}, 0.107 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and freshly made $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 1.07 \mathrm{mmol}, \sim 10$ eq.) in tetrahy drofuran ( 5 mL ) was strongly stirred under an argon atmosphere. Over a period of 15 minutes $6 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HNO}_{3}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added in the dark. The yellow solution was filtered through a pad of filter aid, which was extracted with dichloromethane ( $2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). To the filtrate $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, layers were separated and the yellow organic solution was washed with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ (sat., $2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. Solvents were removed under reduced pressure to yield an orange oil ( 122 mg ), which was purified by column chromatography (light petroleum/ethyl acetate $2: 1$ ). Yield: 46 mg ( $0.074 \mathrm{mmol}, 68.8 \%$ ) yellow 133. Melting point: $>175^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dec.).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=1.00(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.42(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.52(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.59(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.66(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.82(4 \mathrm{H}), 1.89(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.92(2 \mathrm{H}$, s), $3.46(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.49(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.78(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.53(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.01(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(75.5$ $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=9.2\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 25.0\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.1\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 32.5(2 \mathrm{CH}), 41.1(2 \mathrm{CH}), 41.4$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 42.7(2 \mathrm{C}), 43.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 44.2(2 \mathrm{CH}), 48.6(2 \mathrm{CH}), 49.5(2 \mathrm{CH}), 80.5\left(2 \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}\right), 113.5$ $(2 \mathrm{CN}), 114.0(2 \mathrm{CN}), 120.1\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {tenq }} \mathrm{H}\right), 127.7\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {tonq }}\right), 136.1\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 146.7\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {tenq }}\right), 151.5$ $\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 151.9\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{tcnq}}\right), 157.9\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{tcnq}}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}\right), 183.8\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}=\mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{ppm}$.

## 2B-Porphyrin-NQ-TCNQ (135)



A solution of $\mathbf{1 1 2}$ ( $36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.025 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathbf{1 3 3}$ $(20 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 0.032 \mathrm{mmol})$ in deoxygenated toluene $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for three days in the dark under an argon atmosphere. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the dark, slightly greyish residue was dried under high vacuum. Without further purification the residue containing 134 was dissolved in dichloromethane $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ and 1,4-dioxane $(0.2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and 2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicyano-1,4-benzoquinone (DDQ) ( $12 \mathrm{mg}, 0.056 \mathrm{mmol}, \sim 2$ eq.) was added. After stirring for 5 hours at RT in the dark and
under an inert atmosphere the solvents were removed and the crude products were purified by column chromatography (chloroform). Yield: 13 mg ( $0.006 \mathrm{mmol}, 25.1 \%$ ) 135-syn and 135anti of unsatisfying purity. Further column chromatography and size-exclusion chromatography was applied in an attempt to separate the syn from the anti-isomer as well as the mixture of both from other unknown impurities.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, in parts): $\delta=-0.45(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.53(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.01(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), \ldots 1.54(72 \mathrm{H}), \ldots 1.92(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.95(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), \ldots 3.42(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.49(2 \mathrm{H}$, s), .. $3.78(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.78(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 7.89(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 7.97(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 8.00(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 8.02(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.03$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.07(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 8.62(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.86(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.94(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=14.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-$ NMR ( $75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=9.2\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 25.1\left(4 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 30.4\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 34.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.8$ $\left(18 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 32.0\left(6 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 32.6(2 \mathrm{CH}), 35.1(8 \mathrm{C}), 39.1(2 \mathrm{CH}), 41.2(2 \mathrm{CH}), 41.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 42.7(2 \mathrm{C})$, $43.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 44.2(2 \mathrm{CH}), 49.0(2 \mathrm{CH}), 49.5(4 \mathrm{CH}), 51.0(2 \mathrm{CH}), 80.5\left(2 \underline{C}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}\right), 113.5(2 \mathrm{CN})$, $114.1(2 \mathrm{CN}), 118.8(2 \mathrm{C}), 120.1\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {tcnq }} \mathrm{H}\right), 120.2\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{NQ}} \mathrm{H}\right), 120.3\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 121.0\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right)$, $125.2(2 \mathrm{C}), 127.7\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {tenq }}\right), 128.4\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.6\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{0-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 129.3\left(6 \mathrm{C}_{\text {pyrrolic }} \mathrm{H}\right), 131.6(2 \mathrm{C})$, $131.6\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{NQ}}\right), 132.5\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {quinoxaline }} \mathrm{H}\right), 139.3(2 \mathrm{C}), 139.8(2 \mathrm{C}), 141.4$ (2C), 141.6 (2C), 141.7 (2C), $146.1\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {tenq }}\right), 148.7\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right), 148.8\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right), 149.1\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right), 150.0(2 \mathrm{C}), 151.3$ $\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{NQ}}\right), 151.9 \quad\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {tenq }}\right), \quad 152.0 \quad\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{NQ}}\right), 152.1 \quad(2 \mathrm{C}), 153.1 \quad(2 \mathrm{C}), 157.8 \quad(2 \mathrm{C}), 157.9$ $\left(2 \underline{\mathrm{C}}_{\mathrm{tcnq}}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}\right) \mathrm{ppm}$.

## D. 3 SYNTHESIS OF THE ALL-TRANS RING-EXPANDED DYAD

$(1 \alpha, 4 \alpha, 4 a \beta, 4 b \alpha, 4 c \beta, 5 \alpha, 12 \alpha, 12 a \beta, 12 b \alpha, 12 c \beta)-1,4,4 a, 4 c, 5,12,12 a, 12 c-o c t a h y d r o-4 b, 12 b-$ bis(hydroxymethyl)-1,4:5,12-dimethano-6,11-dimethoxybenzo[3', $\mathbf{4}^{\prime}$ ]cyclobuta[1',2’:3,4] cyclobuta[1,2-b]anthracene (139)43,118,148,170,183,192,193


To an ice-cold slurry solution of $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}(1.72 \mathrm{~g}, 45.3 \mathrm{mmol}, \sim 2.2$ eq.) in tetrahydrofuran ( 250 mL ) was added dropwise 138 ( 10.0 g , $20.55 \mathrm{mmol})$ in tetrahydrofuran $(150 \mathrm{~mL})$ under an argon atmosphere. On completion of the addition the grey/brownish mixture was heated at reflux overnight, then cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, after which $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to quench the reaction. The mixture was heated at reflux for 15 minutes then filtered hot through a pad of filter aid, which was extracted with boiling diethyl ether ( $3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layer was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 100 \mathrm{ml})$, the combined aqueous layers were extracted with diethyl ether $(150 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine ( $2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and the solvents were removed under reduced pressure to yield the crude product as an off-white solid, which was further dried under high vacuum. Yield: $8.66 \mathrm{~g}(20.1 \mathrm{mmol}$, 97.9 \%) 139. Melting point: $222-224^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dec.).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=1.32(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.47(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.81(1 \mathrm{H}$, d, $J=10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.87(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.06(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.27(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.95(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.77(4 \mathrm{H}$, quart., $J=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.91(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.00(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.08(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.44(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=6.2,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.08$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=6.2,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm}$.
$(1 \alpha, 4 \alpha, 4 a \beta, 4 b \alpha, 4 c \beta, 5 \alpha, 12 \alpha, 12 a \beta, 12 b \alpha, 12 c \beta)-1,4,4 a, 4 c, 5,12,12 a, 12 c-o c t a h y d r o-4 b, 12 b-$ bis(mesyloxymethyl)-1,4:5,12-dimethano-6,11-dimethoxybenzo[3',4']cyclobuta[1',2':3,4] cyclobuta[1,2-b]anthracene (140) ${ }^{43,118,148,170,183,192,193}$


To a solution of $139(8.40 \mathrm{~g}, 19.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in pyridine ( 60 mL ) was added dropwise methanesulfonyl chloride $(6.70 \mathrm{~g}, 58.5 \mathrm{mmol}, \sim 3$ eq.) at $-10{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under an argon atmosphere. On completion of the addition the mixture was stoppered and placed in the freezer for 3 days, after which it was poured onto ice $(150 \mathrm{~g})$. The mixture was extracted with dichloromethane $(4 \times 100 \mathrm{ml})$ and the combined organic layers were washed with $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(3 \times 150 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ (sat., 150 mL ) and brine ( 200 mL ). The brown/yellow solution was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and solvents were removed under reduced pressure. The white, slightly brownish crude product was dried under
high vacuum and used without further purification in the next step. Yield: 8.66 g ( $14.76 \mathrm{mmol}, 75.7 \%$ ) crude $\mathbf{1 4 0}$. Melting point: $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dec.).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=1.38(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.84(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.87(2 \mathrm{H}$, s), $1.94(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.27(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.33(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.06(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.13(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $3.69(4 \mathrm{H}$, quart., $J=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.01(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.03(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.11(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.45(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=6.2$, $3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.10(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=6.2,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm}$.
$(1 \alpha, 4 \alpha, 4 \mathrm{a} \beta, 4 \mathrm{~b} \alpha, 4 \mathrm{c} \beta, 5 \alpha, 12 \alpha, 12 \mathrm{a} \beta, 12 \mathrm{~b} \alpha, 12 \mathrm{c} \beta)-1,4,4 \mathrm{a}, 4 \mathrm{c}, 5,12,12 \mathrm{a}, 12 \mathrm{c}-\boldsymbol{c}$ tahydro-1,4:5,12-dimethano-6,11-dimethoxybenzo-4b,12b-dimethyl[ $\left.3^{\prime}, \mathbf{4}^{\prime}\right]$ cyclobuta[1',2':3,4]cyclobuta [1,2-b]anthracene (141) ${ }^{43,118,148,170,183,192,193}$


To an ice-cold slurry solution of $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}(2.60 \mathrm{~g}, 68.5 \mathrm{mmol}, \sim 4.6$ eq.) in tetrahydrofuran ( 100 mL ) was added drop wise crude $\mathbf{1 4 0}$ $(8.60 \mathrm{~g}, 14.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ in tetrahydrofuran $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ under an argon atmosphere. On completion of the addition the grey/brownish mixture was heated at reflux for 2 days, then cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, after which $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{K} \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to quench the reaction. The mixture was heated at reflux for 15 minutes then filtered hot through a pad of filter aid, which was extracted with boiling diethyl ether ( $3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layer was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 100 \mathrm{ml})$, the combined aqueous layers were extracted with diethyl ether $(2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine ( $2 \times 100 \mathrm{ml}$ ), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and the solvents were removed under reduced pressure to yield the crude product as a brown oil which was purified by column chromatography (light petroleum/ethyl acetate 4:1) and recrystallised from dichloromethane/methanol. Yield: $3.0 \mathrm{~g}(7.53 \mathrm{mmol}, 51.2 \%) \mathbf{1 4 1}$ as a white solid. Melting point: $157-159{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\right.$ lit.: $\left.{ }^{118} 158-159{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=0.97(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.21(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.41(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.73(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.13(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.79$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.70(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.99(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.99(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.43(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=7.0,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.07(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}$, $J=6.2,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=9.5\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 40.7(2 \mathrm{CH}), 42.0(2 \mathrm{CH})$, $42.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 42.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 42.8(2 \mathrm{C}), 48.0(2 \mathrm{CH}), 50.8(2 \mathrm{CH}), 61.8\left(2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 122.0\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right)$, $125.0\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 127.8\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 135.4\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 136.0(2=\mathrm{CH}), 144.3\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}-\mathrm{OMe}\right) \mathrm{ppm}$.
 dichloro-1,5:6,13-dimethano-7,12-dimethoxybenzo-5b,13b-dimethyl[3',4']cyclobuta [ ${ }^{\prime}, 2$,':3,4]cyclobuta[1,2-b]-4H-cyclohepta[b]naphthalene (142)


To an ice-cold suspension of 141 ( $980 \mathrm{mg}, 2.46 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{NaOMe}(516 \mathrm{mg}, 9.55 \mathrm{mmol}, \sim 4 \mathrm{eq}$.$) in double-bond ( 15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and aromatics-free light petroleum was added dropwise $\mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{CCO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$ ( $1.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.883 \mathrm{~g}, 9.84 \mathrm{mmol}, \sim 4 \mathrm{eq}$.) under an argon atmosphere. On completion of the addition ( 4 hours) the off-white suspension was stirred for 3 days at RT. The suspension was then poured onto $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(75 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with chloroform ( $3 \times 75 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(100 \mathrm{ml})$ and brine $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure to give the brownish crude product, which was purified by column chromatography (light petroleum/ethyl acetate 6:1). Yield: 385 mg ( $0.80 \mathrm{mmol}, 32.5 \%$ ( $76.1 \%$ based on recovered starting material)) $\mathbf{1 4 2}$ as a white solid. Starting material 141 ( $562 \mathrm{mg}, 1.41 \mathrm{mmol}, 57.3 \%$ ) was recovered.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=0.93(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.94(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.72(1 \mathrm{H}), 1.73(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.97(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.09(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.18(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.24$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.28(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.45(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.58(1 \mathrm{H}$, quart., $J=$ $1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.65(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 3.66(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.69(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.98(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.99(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.24(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.14(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.45(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 8.09(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=9.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 9.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 28.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 37.0(\mathrm{CH}), 40.3(\mathrm{CH}), 40.5(\mathrm{CH}), 42.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $42.8(\mathrm{C}), 43.6(\mathrm{C}), 44.9(\mathrm{CH}), 50.7(\mathrm{CH}), 50.8(\mathrm{CH}), 51.2(\mathrm{CH}), 55.5(\mathrm{CH}), 61.8\left(2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$, $65.7(\mathrm{CH}), 73.3(\mathrm{CHCl}), 122.0\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 125.1\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 127.8\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 130.3(=\mathrm{CCl}), 134.8$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 134.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 135.5(=\mathrm{CH}), 144.3\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{OMe}\right) \mathrm{ppm}$.
$(1 \alpha, 5 \alpha, 5 \mathrm{a} \beta, 5 \mathrm{~b} \alpha, 5 \mathrm{c} \beta, 6 \alpha, 13 \alpha, 13 \mathrm{a} \beta, 13 \mathrm{~b} \alpha, 13 \mathrm{c} \beta)-1,5,5 \mathrm{a}, 5 \mathrm{c}, 6,8,11,13,13 \mathrm{a}, 13 \mathrm{c}$-decahydro-1,5: 6,13-dimethano-7,12-dimethoxybenzo-5b,13b-dimethyl[3',4']cyclobuta[1',2':3,4]cyclo-buta[1,2-b]-4H-cyclohepta[b]naphthalene (143)


To a refluxing solution of $\mathbf{1 4 2}(80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1661 \mathrm{mmol})$ in tetrahy drofuran $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and iso-propanol $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added sodium ( $90 \mathrm{mg}, 3.9 \mathrm{mmol}, \sim 22$ eq.) in small pieces under an argon atmosphere. After 24 hours the brownish mixture was poured onto $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with diethyl ether ( $3 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic solutions were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{x}$ $25 \mathrm{~mL}), 1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaHCO} 3(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvent was
removed under reduced pressure to yield a brown oil, which was purified by column chromatography (light petroleum/ethyl acetate 6:1). Yield: 37 mg ( $0.0892 \mathrm{mmol}, 53.7$ \%) 143. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=0.84(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.86(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.59(1 \mathrm{H}), 1.72(4 \mathrm{H}), 1.84(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}), 2.10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.24(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.30(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.46(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=17.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.53(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.73(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.31(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.46(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.79(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.80(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.31$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.88(2 \mathrm{H}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=9.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 9.3$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 24.6\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 33.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 35.1(\mathrm{CH}), 35.9(\mathrm{CH}), 36.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 40.7(\mathrm{CH}), 40.8(\mathrm{CH})$, $42.9(\mathrm{C}), 43.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 43.6(\mathrm{C}), 50.74(\mathrm{CH}), 56.04(\mathrm{CH}), 59.1(\mathrm{CH}), 60.7\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 123.9$ $(2=\mathrm{CH}), 124.6(=\mathrm{CH}), 125.1\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 134.8(=\mathrm{CH}), 137.0\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 147.1\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{OMe}\right) \mathrm{ppm}$.

## $(1 \alpha, 5 \alpha, 5 \mathrm{a} \beta, 5 \mathrm{~b} \alpha, 5 \mathrm{c} \beta, 6 \alpha, 13 \alpha, 13 \mathrm{a} \beta, 13 \mathrm{~b} \alpha, 13 \mathrm{c} \beta)-1,5,5 \mathrm{a}, 5 \mathrm{c}, 6,13,13 \mathrm{a}, 13 \mathrm{c}-$ octahydro-1,5:6,13-dimethano-7,12-dimethoxybenzo-5b,13b-dimethyl[ $\left.3^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}\right]$ cyclobuta[ $\left.1^{\prime}, \mathbf{2}^{\prime}: 3,4\right]$ cyclobuta

 [1,2-b]-4H-cyclohepta[b]naphthalene (144)

To a solution of $\mathbf{1 4 3}(35 \mathrm{mg}, 0.089 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dichloromethane ( 2 mL ) was added 2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicy ano-1,4-benzoquinone (DDQ) ( $44 \mathrm{mg}, 0.194 \mathrm{mmol}, \sim 2.2$ eq.). The solution turns dark brown immediately and was stirred for 22 hours at RT. The brown solution was filtered and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dichloromethane $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added to the filtrate. The aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane ( 3 x 15 mL ), the combined organic layers were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure to give a dark brown solid, which was purified by column chromatography (light petroleum/ethyl acetate 6:1). Yield: 30 mg ( $0.073 \mathrm{~mol}, 81.7 \%$ ) yellow 144.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=0.89(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.91(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.70(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, 9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.74(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}), 1.82(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=17.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.99(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.22(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.28(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $2.33(1 \mathrm{H}$, quart., $J=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.47(\mathrm{dq}, J=17.6,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.56(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.64(1 \mathrm{H}$, s), $3.66(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.986(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.992(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.31(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=9.6,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.87(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=$ 8.8, 6.1 Hz$), 7.44(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 8.08(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=9.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $9.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 33.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 35.1(\mathrm{CH}), 35.9(\mathrm{CH}), 36.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 40.4(\mathrm{CH}), 40.5(\mathrm{CH}), 42.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $43.3(\mathrm{C}), 44.0(\mathrm{C}), 50.8(\mathrm{CH}), 50.9(\mathrm{CH}), 56.1(\mathrm{CH}), 59.2(\mathrm{CH}), 61.8\left(2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 122.0$ $\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 124.6(=\mathrm{CH}), 124.9\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 127.8\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 134.8(=\mathrm{CH}), 135.4\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 144.2$ ( $2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{OMe}$ ) ppm.
$(1 \alpha, 5 \alpha, 5 \mathrm{a} \beta, 5 \mathrm{~b} \alpha, 5 \mathrm{c} \beta, 6 \alpha, 13 \alpha, 13 \mathrm{a} \beta, 13 \mathrm{~b} \alpha, 13 \mathrm{c} \beta)-1,2,3,5,5 \mathrm{a}, 5 \mathrm{c}, 6,13,13 \mathrm{a}, 13 \mathrm{c}-$ decahydro-2,3-dihydroxy-1,5:6,13-dimethano-7,12-dimethoxybenzo-5b,13b-dimethyl [3',4'] cyclobuta [ $1^{\prime}, 2$ ': 3,4$]$ cyclobuta[1,2-b]-4H-cyclohepta[b]naphthalene (145)


To a slightly yellow solution of $\mathbf{1 4 4}(30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0727 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 4-methyl-N-morpholine-N-oxide ( $10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0853 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $1,4-$ dioxane ( 1 mL ) was added $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}(0.5 \mathrm{mg}, \sim 2.5 \%)$ in tertbutanol ( 0.1 mL ). The yellow solution was stirred for 2 days at RT, after which dichloromethane $(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}(2.2 \mathrm{~g})$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added. The mixture was stirred for 30 minutes than the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane ( 3 x $10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure to yield a yellow liquid, which was purified by column chromatography (light petroleum/ethyl acetate 1:2). Yield: 18 mg ( $0.0403 \mathrm{~mol}, 55.4$ \%) diol 145.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=0.88(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.44(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=11.4,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $1.71(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.91(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.99(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.12(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs})$, $2.15(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.23(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.37(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.49$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.66(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.71(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.99(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.44(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=6.2,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $8.08(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=6.2,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=9.09\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 9.31$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 28.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 34.2(\mathrm{CH}), 37.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 40.5(2 \mathrm{CH}), 41.7(\mathrm{CH}), 42.5(\mathrm{C}), 42.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 42.9$ (C), $49.7(\mathrm{CH}), 51.1(2 \mathrm{CH}), 51.4(\mathrm{CH}), 61.9\left(2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 67.0(\mathrm{CHOH}), 72.5(\mathrm{CHOH}), 12.0$ $\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 125.0\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 127.9\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 135.2\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 144.3\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{OMe}\right) \mathrm{ppm}$.
$(1 \alpha, 5 \alpha, 5 \mathrm{a} \beta, 5 \mathrm{~b} \alpha, 5 \mathrm{c} \beta, 6 \alpha, 13 \alpha, 13 \mathrm{a} \beta, 13 \mathrm{~b} \alpha, 13 \mathrm{c} \beta)-1,5,5 \mathrm{a}, 5 \mathrm{c}, 6,13,13 \mathrm{a}, 13 \mathrm{c}-\boldsymbol{c}$ tahydro-1,5:6,13-dimethano-7,12-dimethoxybenzo-5b,13b-dimethyl $\left[3^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}\right]$ cyclobuta $\left[1^{\prime}, 2 ': 3,4\right]$ cyclobuta-[1,2-b]-4H-cyclohepta[b]naphthalene-2,3-dione (146)


To an ice-cold solution of diol $\mathbf{1 4 5}(17 \mathrm{mg}, 0.038 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $p$ toluenesulfonic acid monohy drate ( $36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol}, \sim 5 \mathrm{eq}$.$) in$ dichloromethane ( 1 mL ) was added dropwise a solution of 4acetamido TEMPO ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol}, \sim 5 \mathrm{eq}$.) in dichloromethane ( 1 mL ) in the dark. On completion of the addition the orange solution was stirred at RT for 3 days, after which the yellow mixture was filtered through a pad of filter aid. Ethanol ( 5 mL ) was added to the filtrate, followed by the addition of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layer was extracted with chloroform ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and the combined organic layers were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and
brine ( 20 mL ) then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure to yield an orange oil, which was purified by column chromatography (light petroleum/ethyl acetate 1:2). Yield: $7 \mathrm{mg}(0.0158 \mathrm{mmol}, 41.6 \%)$ yellow dione 146.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=0.96(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.76(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.99(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.20(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.21(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.26(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.43(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.55(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.87(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=7.0,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.09(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.70(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.97(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.98(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.44(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=6.2,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.07(2 \mathrm{H}$, m) ppm .

7,7a,7c,8,34,34a,34c,35-octahydro-7,35:8,34-dimethano-1,6-dimethoxybenzo-7b,34b-dimethyl-8b,32b-(pyrazino[2',3':6,7]quinoxalino[2,3-b](13,18,23,28-tetrakis-(3,5-di-tert-butylphenyl)porphyrino))-[3',4']cyclobuta[1',2':3,4]cyclobuta-[1,2-b]-4H-cyclohepta[b] naphthalene (147)


A solution of 145 ( $7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0158 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 'diaminoporphy rin' 153 ( $22 \mathrm{mg}, 0.018 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in de-oxy genated pyridine ( 1 mL ) was reacted for 1 day at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 5 kbar . The solvent was removed under an argon stream and the crude product was dried under high vacuum, then purified by column chromatography (chloroform). Yield: 3 mg ( $0.0019 \mathrm{mmol}, 11.6 \%$ ) alltrans ring-expanded 6B-porphyrin-DMN 147. Melting point: $>300^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{M}^{+}$(MALDI): 1602.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=-2.38(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.08(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.18(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.47,1.51,1.52$, $1.53(72 \mathrm{H}), 1.69(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.76(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.07(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.14(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.27(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.36(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.54(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.70(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.78$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 3.48(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=18.6,5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.62(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.72(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.96(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $3.99(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.41(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.79(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 7.90(2 \mathrm{H}$, quart., $J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.99(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 8.03$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 8.06(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 8.09(4 \mathrm{H}$, quart., $J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.58(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.76(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $8.95(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}) \mathrm{ppm}$.

## D. 4 SYNTHESIS OF THE 'DIAMINO PO RPHYRIN'

## 2-Nitro-5,10,15,20-tetrakis-(3,5-di-tert-butylphenyl)porphyrin (150)215,217



To a stirring solution of [5,10,15,20-tetrakis-(3,5-di-tertbutylphenyl)porphyrinato]copper(II) $148(12.7 \mathrm{~g}, 11.29 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dichloromethane ( 400 mL ) was added drop wise a solution of $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ in light petroleum ( 1 g in 375 mL ). The reaction was monitored by TLC. On completion of the addition, argon was bubbled through the solution for 15 minutes, followed by washing with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(300 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure to give crude [2-Nitro-5,10,15,20-tetrakis-(3,5-di-tert-butylphenyl)porphyrinato]copper(II) $\mathbf{1 4 9}$ as a blue/purple solid which was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 500 mL ) before sulfuric acid (conc., 100 mL ) was added with strong stirring. Again, the reaction was followed by TLC. After 6 minutes the green mixture was poured onto ice. The organic layer was subsequently washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ (sat.), $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and brine. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (light petroleum/dichloromethane 3:1). Yield: 9.7 g ( $8.77 \mathrm{mmol}, 77.7 \%$ ) 150. Melting point: $>300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=-2.52(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.56(72 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.80(1 \mathrm{H}), 7.83(2 \mathrm{H}), 7.85$ $(1 \mathrm{H}), 8.09(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 8.11(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.80(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 8.98(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 9.08(1 \mathrm{H}), 9.10$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=31.6\left(6 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 31.8\left(18 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 35.0$ (2C), $35.1(6 \mathrm{C}), 121.2(\mathrm{C}), 121.3\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 121.7(\mathrm{C}), 121.8\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 121.9(\mathrm{C}), 123.9(\mathrm{C})$, $128.4(\mathrm{CH}), 129.0(\mathrm{CH}), 129.6(\mathrm{CH}), 129.7\left(6 \mathrm{C}_{\text {o-Ar }} \mathrm{H}\right), 130.0(\mathrm{CH}), 130.7\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {o-Ar }} \mathrm{H}\right), 132.0$ (CH), 135.1 (CH), $135.2(\mathrm{CH}), 138.2$ (C), 139.5 (2C), 140.3 (C), 140.5 (2C), 140.7 (2C), $140.9(2 \mathrm{C}), 142.5(\mathrm{C}), 148.95\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right), 149.00\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right), 149.03\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right), 153.3(\mathrm{C})$, 156.5 (C) ppm.

## 2-Amino-5,10,15,20-tetrakis-(3,5-di-tert-butylphenyl)porphyrin (151) ${ }^{217}$



To a solution of $\mathbf{1 5 0}$ ( $9.5 \mathrm{~g}, 8.57 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dichloromethane ( 250 mL ) was added $\mathrm{SnCl}_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(8.0 \mathrm{~g}, 35.46 \mathrm{mmol})$ in HCl (conc., 100 mL ). The mixture was stirred for 2 days at RT in the dark. The two layers were separated and the green organic phase was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ (sat.) [solution turns brown], $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and brine. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure to give
the crude purple product, which was purified by column chromatography (light petroleum/ethyl acetate $1: 1$ ). Yield: $7.0 \mathrm{~g}(6.49 \mathrm{mmol}, 75.8 \%) \mathbf{1 5 1}$. Melting point: $>300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=-2.60(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 1.49(18 \mathrm{H}), 1.51(18 \mathrm{H}), 1.53(36 \mathrm{H}), 4.48$ $\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 7.75(2 \mathrm{H}) 7.86(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.01(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.02(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 8.04$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.09(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.57(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.64(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 8.71(1 \mathrm{H}$, d, $J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.84(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=31.71\left(6 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 31.74$ $\left(6 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 31.78\left(12 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 35.1(8 \mathrm{C}), 117.7(2 \mathrm{C}), 118.5(2 \mathrm{C}), 120.4(2 \mathrm{C}), 120.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 120.8$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 120.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 121.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 127.3\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 127.4\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 127.5\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right)$, $129.1\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {pyrrolic }} \mathrm{H}\right), 129.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {pyrrolic }} \mathrm{H}\right), 129.6\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {pyrrolic }} \mathrm{H}\right), 129.7\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {pyrrolic }} \mathrm{H}\right), 140.2(2 \mathrm{C}), 141.4$ (2C), $141.6(2 \mathrm{C}), 142.3(2 \mathrm{C}), 148.5\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right), 148.6\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right), 148.7\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right), 149.0$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right), 149.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right), 150.4$ (2C), 155.5 (C) ppm.


5,10,15,20-tetrakis-(3,5-di-tert-butylphenyl)porphyrin-2,3-dione (152) ${ }^{216}$

A dark solution of $\mathbf{1 5 1}(600 \mathrm{mg}, 0.556 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dichloromethane ( 200 mL ) was stirred at RT in an open conical flask. After 6 days 3 M sulfuric acid $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the mixture was stirred for a further 2 hours, after which it was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(150 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (light petroleum/dichloromethane 2:1). Yield: 520 mg ( $0.475 \mathrm{mmol}, 85.5 \%$ ) 'porphyrindione' $\mathbf{1 5 2}$. Melting point: $>300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=-1.70(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.66(36 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.68(36 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.93(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs})$, $7.95(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 8.82(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 8.98(2 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=31.8(12$ CH3), 31.9 ( 12 CH 3 ), $35.1(4 \mathrm{C}), 35.2(4 \mathrm{C}), 115.1(2 \mathrm{C}), 121.4\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 121.6\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right)$, $125.3(2 \mathrm{C}), 127.7(4 \mathrm{CH}), 128.5(2 \mathrm{CH}), 128.6(2 \mathrm{CH}), 129.3(4 \mathrm{CH}), 134.6(2 \mathrm{CH}), 138.4(2 \mathrm{C})$, $138.6(2 \mathrm{C}), 140.2(2 \mathrm{C}), 140.6(2 \mathrm{C}), 141.0(2 \mathrm{C}), 149.15\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right), 149.18\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right), 155.6$ (2C), $188.1(2 \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{ppm}$.

## 5,10,15,20-tetrakis-(3,5-di-tert-butylphenyl)-2 ${ }^{3}-2^{4}$-di-aminoquinoxalino $[2,3-b]$ porphyrin $(153)^{142}$



A solution of 'porphyrindione' $152(402 \mathrm{mg}, 0.3676 \mathrm{mmol})$ and benzenetetramine $\cdot 4 \mathrm{HCl}(164 \mathrm{mg}, 0.5774 \mathrm{mmol}, \sim 1.5 \mathrm{eq}$.) in deoxy genated pyridine ( 50 mL ) was stirred at RT in the dark under an argon atmosphere for 20 hours. Dichloromethane $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added, and the organic layer was washed with $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(3 \mathrm{x} 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined aqueous layers were extracted with dichloromethane (3 x 20 mL ) and the combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure to give a dark redish crude residue, which was purified by column chromatography (dichloromethane). Yield: $437 \mathrm{mg} \quad(0.3654 \mathrm{mmol}, ~ 99.4 \%$ ) 'diaminoporphyrin' 153. Melting point: $>300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=-2.41(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.55(36 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.59(36 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.96(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs})$, $7.00(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.85(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.95(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.04(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.17(4 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{d}, J=1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.84(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 9.05\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{AB}_{\text {quartet }}, J=15.6,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=31.8\left(12 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 32.0\left(12 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 38.8(8 \mathrm{C}), 117.9(2 \mathrm{C}), 120.5\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 121.0\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}-}\right.$ $\left.{ }_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 122.4(2 \mathrm{C}), 127.8\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.0\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.7\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 128.9\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 129.6$ $\left(6 \mathrm{C}_{\text {py rrolic }} \mathrm{H}\right), 130.9(2 \mathrm{C}), 133.9\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {quinoxaline }} \mathrm{H}\right), 137.9(2 \mathrm{C}), 138.4(2 \mathrm{C}), 139.7(2 \mathrm{C}), 139.9(2 \mathrm{C})$, 141.3 (C), $141.4(\mathrm{C}), 147.1$ (2C), $148.7\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right), 148.8\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right), 151.1$ (2C), 154.5 (2C) ppm.

## [5,10,15,20-tetrakis-(3,5-di-tert-butylphenyl)-2 ${ }^{3}$ - $\mathbf{2}^{4}$-di-aminoquinoxalino $[2,3-b]$ porphyrinato]zinc(II) (154 'diamino[porphyrinato]zinc(II)')



To a solution of 'diaminoporphyrin' 153 ( 105 mg , 0.0878 mmol ) in dichloromethane $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ $2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $210 \mathrm{mg}, 0.957 \mathrm{mmol}, \sim 10$ eq.) in methanol ( 5 mL ). The mixture was heated at reflux for 3 hours under an argon atmosphere in the dark, then left overnight at RT. The green solution was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$ then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure to give the pure product. Yield: $110 \mathrm{mg}(0.0874 \mathrm{mmol}, 99.5 \%) \mathbf{1 5 4}$. Melting point: $>300{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=1.48(24 \mathrm{H}), 1.52(48 \mathrm{H}), 3.93(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 6.98(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.78$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.88(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.95(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.09(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $8.89(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.98\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{AB}_{\text {quartet }}, J=6.4,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=$ $31.8\left(12 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 31.9\left(12 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 34.9(4 \mathrm{C}), 35.1(4 \mathrm{C}), 111.4\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {quinoxaline }} \mathrm{H}\right), 118.4(2 \mathrm{C}), 120.2$ $\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 120.8\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 124.2(2 \mathrm{C}), 128.4\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 129.4\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 131.4\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{\text {pyrrolic }} \mathrm{H}\right)$, $132.2\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {pyrrolic }} \mathrm{H}\right), 138.6$ (2C), 139.0 (2C), 141.8 (2C), 141.9 (2C), 142.8 (2C), 148.48 $\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right), 148.53\left(4 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right), 148.8(2 \mathrm{C}), 149.0(2 \mathrm{C}), 149.7$ (2C), 152.6 (2C) ppm.

# E 'APPENDIX' 

## E APPENDIX

## E. 1 Abbreviations

2B
4B

## 6B

A
Ar
B
b.p.

BQ
C

## ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

conc.
CR
CS
d
D
$\delta$
DCM
DCV
2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicy ano-1,4-benzoquinone (DDQ)
dec.
DEPT
'diaminoporphyrin'

DMAD
DMB
DMF
two $\sigma$-bonds
four $\sigma$-bonds
six $\sigma$-bonds
acceptor
aryl
bridge
boiling point
benzoquinone
carotenoid
degrees Celsius
concentrated
charge recombination
charge separated
days
donor
chemical shift in ppm relative to TMS
dichloromethane
dicy anovinyl
2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicy ano-1,4-benzoquinone
decomposition
distorsionless enhancement by polarisation transfer

5,10,15,20-tetrakis-(3,5-di-tert-
butylphenyl) $-2^{3}-2^{4}$-di-
aminoquinoxalino[2,3-b]porphyrin
dimethoxy acethy lenedicarboxy late
dimethoxybenzene
dimethylformamide

| DMN | dimethoxy naphthalene |
| :---: | :---: |
| ethyl acetate | ethyl acetate |
| EnT | energy transfer |
| eq. | equivalents |
| ET | electron transfer |
| $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | diethyl ether |
| EtOH | ethanol |
| g | gram |
| h | hours |
| HMPA | hexamethy lphosphoramide |
| HNQ | hydronaphthoquinone |
| IR | infrared |
| MALDI | matrix assisted laser desorption ionisation |
| mg | miligram |
| min. | minutes |
| mL | mililitre |
| m.p. | melting point |
| MS | mass spectroscopy |
| MTHF | 2-methyl tetrahydrofuran |
| NMR | nuclear magnetic resonance |
| NQ | naphthoquinone |
| P | porphyrin |
| Ph | phenyl |
| 'porphrindione' | 5,10,15,20-tetrakis-(3,5-di-tert-butyl- |
|  | phenyl)porphyrin-2,3-dione |
| PRC | photosynthetic reaction centre |
| PSI | photosystem I |
| PSII | photosystem II |
| Q | quinone |
| RT | room temperature |
| sat. | saturated |
| s.m. | starting material |
| T | temperature |


| TB | through bond |
| :--- | :--- |
| TCNQ | tetracy anonaphthoquinodimethane |
| TEMPO | $2,2,6,6$-tetramethy lpiperidin-1-oxyl |
| THF | tetrahy drofuran |
| TLC | thin lay er chromatography |
| TMS | tetramethylsilane |
| TS | through space |
| TsCl | p-toluenesulfonyl chloride |
| UV | ultra violet |
| Vis | visible |

## E. 2 Compo ends in Numerical Order

## E.2.1 Compounds 1 to 30







## E.2.2 Compounds 31 to 47
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## E.2.3 Compounds 48 to 88
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## E.2.4 Compounds 89 to 109













## E.2.5 Compounds 110 to 133









## E.2.6 Compounds 134 to 147











## E2.7 Compounds 148 to 155










## E. 3 Chemicals in Alphabetical Order

The following list contains hazardous chemicals used for the synthesis of compounds described in this thesis.

## Chemical

4-Acetamido-TEMPO
FW: 213.30, m.p.: $144{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

Acetic anhydride
FW: 102.09, b.p.: $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, d: 1.08
etone
FW: 58.08, b.p.: $56^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{d}: 0.79$

1,4-Benzoquinone
FW: 108.10

Boron tribromide
FW: 250.54 , b.p.: $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, d: 2.64
very toxic, corrosive
R: 14-26/28-35
S: 9-26-28.6-36/37/39-45

Bromomethane
toxic
FW: 94.94, b.p.: $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
R: 23-36/37/38
S: 15-27-36/37/3/-38-45

Carbon monoxide
extremely flammable, toxic
FW: 28.01, b.p.: $-191^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
R: 12-23
S: 7-16-45

## Chemical

Carbon tetrachloride
FW: 153.82 , b.p.: $76^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, d: 1.59

Chloroform
FW: 119.38 , b.p.: $61^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, d: 1.49

Copper(II) chloride
FW: 134.45

1,3-Cy clohexadiene
FW: 80.13 , b.p.: $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, d: 0.841

Cy clopentadiene
FW: 66.10, b.p.: $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{d}: 0.80$

2,3-Dichloro-5,6-dicyano-1,4-benzoquinone toxic
FW: 227.01, m.p.: $213-216^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

Dichloromethane
FW: 84.93 , b.p.: $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, d: 1.32

## Hazards

toxic, environmentally unfriendly
R: 23/24/25-40-48/23-59
S: 23.2-36/37-45-59-61
harmful
R: 22-38-40-48/20/22
S: 36/37
toxic
R: 25-36/37/38
S: 37-45
highly flammable
R: 11
S: 16
highly flammable
R: 11
S: 16-29-33

R: 25-29
S: 45
harmful
R: 40
S: 23.2-24/25-36/37

Dicy clopentadiene
toxic, highly flammable
FW: 132.21 , b.p.: $170^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, d: 0.986
R: 10-22-23-36/38-52/53
S: 37-45

## Chemical

Diethyl ether
FW: 72.11 , b.p.: $34^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{d}: 0.71$

## Hazards

| Diethyl ether | highly flammable, irritating |
| :---: | :---: |
| FW: 72.11 , b.p.: $34{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{d}: 0.71$ | R: 12-19 |
|  | S: 9-16-29-33 |
| Dimethyl acety lenedicarboxy late | irritating |
| FW: 142.11, b.p.: 95 (25 hPa), d: 1.16 | R: 36/37/38 |
|  | S: - |
| N,N-Dimethy lformamide | toxic, mutagenic |
| FW: 73.10, b.p.: $153{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, d: 0.95 | R: 61-E20/21-36 |
|  | S: 53-45 |
| Dimethyl fumarate | harmful |
| FW: 144.13 , b.p. $192{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | R: $21-36 / 37 / 38$ |
|  | S: 36/37/39 |
| Dimethylmaleate | harmful, flammable |
| FW: 172.18 , b.p. $225{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, d: 1.064 | R: - |
|  | S: - |
| 1,4-Dioxane | highly flammable, harmful |
| FW: 88.11, b.p.: $101{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, d: 1.03 | R: 11-19-36/37-40 |
|  | S: 16-36/37 |
| Ethanol | highly flammable |
| MW: 46.07 , b.p. $78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, d: 0.79 | R: 11 |
|  | S: 7-16 |
| Ethyl acetate | highly flammable |
| FW: 88.10, b.p. $77{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{d}: 0.90$ | R: 11 |
|  | S: 16-23.2-29-33 |

## Chemical

Ethyl trichloroacetate
FW: 191.44 , b.p.: $168^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, d: 1.378
Ethyl trichloroacetate
FW: 191.44 , b.p.: $168^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, d: 1.378
Hazards

## Formaldehyde

FW: 30.03 , b.p. $96-98^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, d: 1.09

Formic acid
FW: 46.03 , b.p. $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, d: 1.22
corrosive
R: 35
S: 23.2-26-45

Hexachlorocy clopentadiene
FW: 272.77, m.p.: $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, d: 1.702

Hexane
FW: 86.18 , b.p.: $68^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, d: 0.66

Hy drochloric acid
corrosive
FW: 36.46
R: 34-37
S: 26-36/37/39-45

Hydrogen
FW: 2.02, m.p.: $-259^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, b.p.: $-253^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
extremely flammable
R: 12
S: 9-16-33

Lithium aluminium hydride
highly flammable
FW: 37.95 , m.p.: $150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
R: 15
S: 7/8-24/25-43.6

## Chemical

Lithium perchlorate trihydrate
FW: 160.44 , m.p.: $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

Malononitrile
FW: 66.06, b.p.: $218^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

Methanesulfonyl chloride
FW: 114.55 , b.p.: $164^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, d: 1.47

Methanol
FW: 32.04 , b.p. $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, d: 0.79

Methy liodide
FW: 141.94 , b.p. $41-43{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, d: 2.27

4-M ethy lmorpholine N -oxide
FW: 117.15

1,4-Naphthoquinone
FW: 158.16

Norbornadiene
FW: 92.14 , b.p.: $89^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{d}: 0.91$

## Hazards

oxidizing, harmful
R: 9-22-36/37/38
S: -
toxic
R: 23/24/25
S: 23.2-27-45
corrosive
R: 21/22-35
S: 23.2-26-36/37/39-45
highly flammable, toxic
R: 11-23/25
S: 7-16-24-45
very toxic, cancer
R: 21-23/25-37/38-40
S: 36/37-38-45
irritating
R: -
S: 22-24/25
very toxic
R: 25-26-36/37/38-43
S: 26-28.1-36/37-45
highly flammable, harmful
R: 11-22
S: 16-29-33

## Chemical

## Hazards

Norbornene
FW: 94.16 , b.p. $96^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

- 9 .16, b.p. $96^{\circ}$
highly flammable
R: 11
S: 9-16

Osmiumtetraoxide
FW: 254.20
toxic
R: 26/27/28-34
S: 7/9-26-45

| 1,2-Phenylenediamine | toxic |
| :--- | :--- |
| FW: 108.14 , m.p.: $99{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, b.p.: $258{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | R: $23 / 24 / 25-430$ |
|  | S: $28.1-45$ |
| Potassium hydroxide |  |
| FW: 56.11, m.p.: $360{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | R: 35 |
|  | S: $26-37 / 39-45$ |

Potassium tert.-butylate highly flammable, corrosive
FW: 112.22 , m.p.: $256-258{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
R: 11-14-22-36
S: 7/8-16-26-36/37/39-43-45
iso-Propanol
FW: 60.10 , b.p.: $82^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{d}: 0.78$
highly flammable
R: 11
S: 7-16

Pyridine
FW: 79.10, b.p.: $115^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, d: 0.98
highly flammable, harmful
R: 11-20/21/22
S: 26-28.1

Quadricy clane
FW: 92.14, d: 1.702
highly flammable
R:
S:

## Chemical

## Hazards

Silver nitrate
FW: 169.87 , m.p.: $212{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
corrosive
R: 35
S: 26-45

Silver(I) oxide
FW: 231.74

Sodium
FW: 22.99 , m.p.: $97^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

Sodium borohy dride
FW: 37.83 , m.p.: $500^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

Sodium hy dride
FW: 24.00

Sodium hy droxide
FW: 40.00 , m.pl: $324^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
corrosive
R: 35
S: 26-36/37/39-45

Sodium methoxide
FW: 54.02
corrosive, highly flammable
R: 11-14-34
S: 8-16-26-43.6-45

## Sulfuric acid

FW: 98.08 , b.p.: $330^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, d: 1.84
corrosive
R: 35
S: 26-30-45

## Chemical

## Hazards

Sulfuryl chloride
FW: 134.97, b.p. $69^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, d:1.67
corrosive
R: 14-34-37
S: 26-45

## Tetrahydrofuran

FW: 72.11, b.p.: $66^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{d}: 0.89$
highly flammable, irritating
R: 11-19-36/37
S 16-29-33
Thionyl chloride
FW: 118.97, b.p.: $76^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, d: 1.64
$\mathrm{Tin}(\mathrm{II})$ chloride dihydrate
FW: 225.65, m.p.: $38{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
Tin(II) chloride dihy drate
FW: 225.65 , m.p.: $38{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
corrosive
FW: 118.97, b.p.: $76^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, d: 1.64
R: 14-34-37
S: 26-45
harmful
R: 22-36/37/38
S: 26

Titanium(IV) chloride
FW: 189.71 , b.p.: $136^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, d: 1.73

Toluene
FW: 92.14 , b.p.: $111^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, d: 0.87
p-Toluenesulfonyl chloride
FW: 190.65 , b.p.: $135{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
corrosive
R: 14-34-36/37
S: 7/8-26-45
highly flammable, harmful
R: 47-11-20
S: 53-16-25-29-33
irritating
R: 36/37/38
S: 7/8

Triphenylphosphine
FW: 262.29 , m.p.: $78-81^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
harmful, dangerous to the environment
R:43-48/20/22-50-53
S: 22-24-37

## E4 X-Ray Data

X-ray data for $\mathbf{7 9}$ and 69 are given below. ${ }^{227-230}$

## E4.1 2B-dione-trans-diacetate 79



Figure 80: ORTEP plot of 2B-dione-trans-diacetate 79

## E.4.1.1 Chemical Data

| chemical formula moiety | 'C19H24O6' |
| :--- | :--- |
| chemical formula sum | 'C19H24O6' |
| chemical formula weight | 348.4 |

## E.4.1.2 Crystal Data

symmetry cell setting
symmetry space group name $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{M}$
cell length a
cell length $b$
cell length c
cell angle alpha
cell angle beta
cell angle gamma
cell volume
cell formula units Z
cell measurement reflns used
cell measurement theta minutes
cell measurement theta max
cell measurement temp erature
triclinic
'P-1'
6.876(2)
10.561(4)
13.324(4)
106.10(2)
104.31(2)
96.91(2)
882.0(6)

2
10
20
25
294

| exptl crystal description | plate |
| :--- | :--- |
| exptl crystal colour | yellow |
| exptl crystal size max | 0.25 |
| exptl crystal size mid | 0.19 |
| exptl crystal size minutes | 0.10 |
| exptl crystal density diffrn | 1.31 |
| exptl crystal F 000 | 372.0 |
| exptl absorpt coefficient mu | 0.765 |
| exptl absorpt correction type | analytical |
| exptl absorpt correction T-minutes | 0.83 |
| exptl absorpt correction T-max | 0.90 |

## E4.1.3 Experimental Data

diffrn radiation type
diffrn radiation wavelength
diffrn measurement device type
diffrn measurement method
diffrn reflns number
diffrn reflns theta max
diffrn reflns $h$ minutes
diffrn reflns h max
diffrn reflns k minutes
diffrn reflns $k$ max
diffrn reflns 1 minutes
'CuK $1 \alpha$ '
1.54184
'NoniusCAD-4'
$\backslash \omega-2 \backslash \theta$
3341
70
-8
8
diffn inelms low
diffrn reflns 1 max
16
diffrn standards number 1
diffrn standards interval time
diffrn standards decay $\%$

## E.4.1.4 Refinement Data

reflns number total 3341
reflns number gt 2386
reflns threshold expression $\quad \mathrm{I}>3 \backslash \mathrm{~s}(\mathrm{I})$
refine ls structure factor coef F
refine ls R factor gt
0.047
refine ls wR factor ref 0.073
refine ls hydrogen treatment noref
refine ls number reflns 2386
refine ls number parameters 226
refine ls goodness of fit ref 1.81
refine ls weighting scheme
refine ls weighting details
refine ls shift/su max
refine diff density max
refine diff density minutes
refine ls extinction method
refine ls extinction coef
calc
'w=1/[\s^2^(F)+0.0016F^2^]'
0.003
0.26
-0.27
none
?

## E4.1.5 Atomic Coordinates and Displacement Parameters

A: atom site label
B: atom site fract x
C: atom site fract y
D: atom site fract z
E: atom site $U$ iso or equiv
F: atom site adp type
G: atom site type symbol

| A | B | C | D | E | F | G |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O1 | -0.0320(2) | 0.1663(2) | 0.6869(1) | 0.0601(5) | Uani | O |
| O2 | -0.1143(2) | 0.3960(2) | 0.6273(2) | 0.0703(5) | Uani | O |
| O3 | 0.7061(2) | 0.2768(2) | 0.8781(1) | 0.0541(4) | Uani | O |
| O4 | 1.0412(2) | 0.3593(2) | 0.9203(1) | 0.0681(5) | Uani | O |
| O5 | 0.2680(3) | 0.7123(2) | 0.8174(1) | 0.0630(5) | Uani | O |
| O6 | 0.5810(3) | 0.8247(2) | 0.9125(2) | 0.0982(8) | Uani | O |
| C1 | 0.3270(3) | 0.2456(2) | 0.7074(2) | 0.0421(5) | Uani | C |
| C2 | 0.1014(3) | 0.2475(2) | 0.6819(2) | 0.0447(5) | Uani | C |
| C3 | 0.0549(3) | 0.3717(2) | 0.6478(2) | 0.0467(5) | Uani | C |
| C4 | 0.2469(3) | 0.4563(2) | 0.6467(2) | 0.0424(5) | Uani | C |
| C5 | 0.3546(3) | 0.3713(2) | 0.5716(2) | 0.0413(5) | Uani | C |
| C6 | 0.2327(4) | 0.3093(2) | 0.4499(2) | 0.0542(6) | Uani | C |
| C7 | 0.3825(4) | 0.2544(3) | 0.3882(2) | 0.0649(7) | Uani | C |
| C8 | 0.4171(4) | 0.1277(2) | 0.4218(2) | 0.0600(6) | Uani | C |
| C9 | 0.2882(3) | 0.1271(2) | 0.5001(2) | 0.0473(5) | Uani | C |
| C10 | 0.3977(3) | 0.2450(2) | 0.6059(2) | 0.0404(5) | Uani | C |
| C11 | 0.4362(3) | 0.3779(2) | 0.8013(2) | 0.0433(5) | Uani | C |
| C12 | 0.3928(3) | 0.5023(2) | 0.7647(2) | 0.0456(5) | Uani | C |
| C13 | 0.6671(3) | 0.3906(2) | 0.8418(2) | 0.0493(5) | Uani | C |
| C14 | 0.9054(3) | 0.2726(3) | 0.9147(2) | 0.0551(6) | Uani | C |
| C15 | 0.9337(4) | 0.1496(4) | 0.9450(3) | 0.089(1) | Uani | C |
| C16 | 0.3113(4) | 0.5948(2) | 0.8478(2) | 0.0614(6) | Uani | C |
| C17 | 0.4168(4) | 0.8223(2) | 0.8571(2) | 0.0563(6) | Uani | C |
| C18 | $0.3517(5)$ | 0.9354(3) | 0.8214(2) | 0.0760(8) | Uani | C |
| C19 | 0.1041(3) | 0.1768(2) | 0.4450(2) | $0.0555(6)$ | Uani | C |
| HC1 | 0.3559 | 0.1657 | 0.7304 | 0.042 | Uani | H |
| HC4 | 0.2151 | 0.5357 | 0.6236 | 0.042 | Uani | H |
| HC5 | 0.4878 | 0.4275 | 0.5782 | 0.041 | Uani | H |
| HC6 | 0.1519 | 0.3693 | 0.4188 | 0.054 | Uani | H |
| H1C7 | 0.5140 | 0.3215 | 0.4117 | 0.065 | Uani | H |
| H2C7 | 0.3206 | 0.2300 | 0.3074 | 0.065 | Uani | H |
| H1C8 | 0.5652 | 0.1352 | 0.4593 | 0.060 | Uani | H |
| H2C8 | 0.3684 | 0.0445 | 0.3567 | 0.060 | Uani | H |
| HC9 | 0.2537 | 0.0383 | 0.5113 | 0.047 | Uani | H |
| HC10 | 0.5484 | 0.2473 | 0.6242 | 0.040 | Uani | H |
| HC11 | 0.3796 | 0.3801 | 0.8639 | 0.043 | Uani | H |
| HC12 | 0.5258 | 0.5529 | 0.7652 | 0.046 | Uani | H |
| H1C13 | 0.7287 | 0.4761 | 0.9040 | 0.049 | Uani | H |


| $\mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{B}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ | $\mathbf{D}$ | $\mathbf{E}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{G}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H2C13 | 0.7283 | 0.3911 | 0.7812 | 0.049 | Uani | H |
| H1C15 | 1.0834 | 0.1507 | 0.9716 | 0.089 | Uani | H |
| H2C15 | 0.8694 | 0.1469 | 1.0042 | 0.089 | Uani | H |
| H3C15 | 0.8672 | 0.0682 | 0.8796 | 0.089 | Uani | H |
| H1C16 | 0.4163 | 0.6246 | 0.9211 | 0.061 | Uani | H |
| H2C16 | 0.1823 | 0.5439 | 0.8517 | 0.061 | Uani | H |
| H1C18 | 0.4677 | 1.0153 | 0.8531 | 0.076 | Uani | H |
| H2C18 | 0.3114 | 0.9083 | 0.7399 | 0.076 | Uani | H |
| H3C18 | 0.2320 | 0.9589 | 0.8473 | 0.076 | Uani | H |
| H1C19 | 0.0310 | 0.1172 | 0.3686 | 0.056 | Uani | H |
| H2C19 | 0.0037 | 0.1909 | 0.4880 | 0.056 | Uani | H |

A atom site aniso label
B atom site aniso U 11
C atom site aniso U 22
D atom site aniso U 33
E atom site aniso U 12
F atom site aniso U 13
G atom site aniso U 23

| $\mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{B}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ | $\mathbf{D}$ | $\mathbf{E}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{G}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| O1 | $0.0484(9)$ | $0.0550(9)$ | $0.070(1)$ | $-0.0115(7)$ | $0.0198(8)$ | $0.0163(8)$ |
| O2 | $0.0368(8)$ | $0.079(1)$ | $0.103(2)$ | $0.0200(8)$ | $0.0223(9)$ | $0.037(1)$ |
| O3 | $0.0351(7)$ | $0.065(1)$ | $0.063(1)$ | $0.0082(7)$ | $0.0081(6)$ | $0.0290(8)$ |
| O4 | $0.0408(8)$ | $0.073(1)$ | $0.075(1)$ | $0.0014(8)$ | $0.0108(8)$ | $0.0101(9)$ |
| O5 | $0.061(1)$ | $0.0433(8)$ | $0.071(1)$ | $0.0112(7)$ | $0.0094(8)$ | $0.0048(7)$ |
| O6 | $0.067(1)$ | $0.075(1)$ | $0.126(2)$ | $-0.001(1)$ | $-0.022(1)$ | $0.042(1)$ |
| C1 | $0.035(1)$ | $0.037(1)$ | $0.052(1)$ | $0.0022(8)$ | $0.0067(8)$ | $0.0186(9)$ |
| C2 | $0.036(1)$ | $0.044(1)$ | $0.048(1)$ | $-0.0027(8)$ | $0.0117(9)$ | $0.0113(9)$ |
| C3 | $0.034(1)$ | $0.050(1)$ | $0.056(1)$ | $0.0088(8)$ | $0.0141(9)$ | $0.015(1)$ |
| C4 | $0.038(1)$ | $0.036(1)$ | $0.057(1)$ | $0.0100(8)$ | $0.0141(9)$ | $0.0181(9)$ |
| C5 | $0.037(1)$ | $0.036(1)$ | $0.056(1)$ | $0.0081(8)$ | $0.0159(9)$ | $0.0191(9)$ |
| C6 | $0.060(1)$ | $0.054(1)$ | $0.054(1)$ | $0.020(1)$ | $0.015(1)$ | $0.023(1)$ |
| C7 | $0.084(2)$ | $0.056(1)$ | $0.065(2)$ | $0.018(1)$ | $0.035(1)$ | $0.021(1)$ |
| C8 | $0.064(2)$ | $0.051(1)$ | $0.064(2)$ | $0.015(1)$ | $0.023(1)$ | $0.011(1)$ |
| C9 | $0.049(1)$ | $0.036(1)$ | $0.052(1)$ | $0.0041(9)$ | $0.0098(9)$ | $0.0117(9)$ |
| C10 | $0.0323(9)$ | $0.0346(9)$ | $0.052(1)$ | $0.0052(8)$ | $0.0074(8)$ | $0.0147(8)$ |
| C11 | $0.036(1)$ | $0.041(1)$ | $0.049(1)$ | $0.0019(8)$ | $0.0081(8)$ | $0.0150(9)$ |
| C12 | $0.042(1)$ | $0.037(1)$ | $0.054(1)$ | $0.0027(8)$ | $0.0126(9)$ | $0.0115(9)$ |
| C13 | $0.037(1)$ | $0.050(1)$ | $0.054(1)$ | $0.0001(9)$ | $0.0052(9)$ | $0.017(1)$ |
| C14 | $0.037(1)$ | $0.070(2)$ | $0.052(1)$ | $0.010(1)$ | $0.0095(9)$ | $0.013(1)$ |
| C15 | $0.057(2)$ | $0.103(2)$ | $0.122(3)$ | $0.030(2)$ | $0.020(2)$ | $0.062(2)$ |
| C16 | $0.076(2)$ | $0.040(1)$ | $0.068(2)$ | $0.011(1)$ | $0.029(1)$ | $0.011(1)$ |
| C17 | $0.055(1)$ | $0.046(1)$ | $0.057(1)$ | $0.009(1)$ | $0.008(1)$ | $0.007(1)$ |
| C18 | $0.087(2)$ | $0.051(1)$ | $0.077(2)$ | $0.020(1)$ | $0.005(2)$ | $0.014(1)$ |
| C19 | $0.046(1)$ | $0.060(1)$ | $0.048(1)$ | $0.004(1)$ | $0.0026(9)$ | $0.011(1)$ |

## E.4.1.6 Molecular Geometry

A geom bond atom site label 1
B geom bond atom site label 2
$\mathbf{C}$ geom bond distance

| A | B | B |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| O1 | C2 | $1.206(2)$ |
| O2 | C3 | $1.203(2)$ |
| O3 | C13 | $1.444(3)$ |
| O3 | C14 | $1.347(2)$ |
| O4 | C14 | $1.199(3)$ |
| O5 | C16 | $1.450(3)$ |
| O5 | C17 | $1.334(3)$ |
| O6 | C17 | $1.180(3)$ |
| C1 | C2 | $1.507(3)$ |
| C1 | C10 | $1.546(3)$ |
| C1 | C11 | $1.547(3)$ |
| C2 | C3 | $1.545(3)$ |
| C3 | C4 | $1.508(3)$ |
| C4 | C5 | $1.544(3)$ |
| C4 | C12 | $1.547(3)$ |
| C5 | C6 | $1.538(3)$ |
| C5 | C10 | $1.563(3)$ |
| C6 | C7 | $1.540(3)$ |
| C6 | C19 | $1.538(3)$ |
| C7 | C8 | $1.550(3)$ |
| C8 | C9 | $1.528(3)$ |
| C9 | C10 | $1.543(3)$ |
| C9 | C19 | $1.527(3)$ |
| C11 | C12 | $1.559(3)$ |
| C11 | C13 | $1.521(3)$ |
| C12 | C16 | $1.527(3)$ |
| C14 | C15 | $1.483(4)$ |
| C17 | C18 | $1.480(3)$ |
|  |  |  |

A geom angle atom site label 1
B geom angle atom site label 2
C geom angle atom site label 3
D geom angle

| $\mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{B}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ | D |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| C13 | O3 | C14 | $115.2(2)$ |
| C16 | O5 | C17 | $117.8(2)$ |
| C2 | C1 | C10 | $109.2(2)$ |
| C2 | C1 | C11 | $105.8(2)$ |
| C10 | C1 | C11 | $109.3(2)$ |
| O1 | C2 | C1 | $126.9(2)$ |


| A | B | C | D |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O1 | C2 | C3 | 121.7(2) |
| C1 | C2 | C3 | 111.4(2) |
| O2 | C3 | C2 | 122.8(2) |
| O2 | C3 | C4 | 125.9(2) |
| C2 | C3 | C4 | 111.3(2) |
| C3 | C4 | C5 | 110.8(2) |
| C3 | C4 | C12 | 106.3(2) |
| C5 | C4 | C12 | 107.4(2) |
| C4 | C5 | C6 | 116.6(2) |
| C4 | C5 | C10 | 110.5(2) |
| C6 | C5 | C10 | 102.7(2) |
| C5 | C6 | C7 | 107.3(2) |
| C5 | C6 | C19 | 103.3(2) |
| C7 | C6 | C19 | 100.4(2) |
| C6 | C7 | C8 | 103.0(2) |
| C7 | C8 | C9 | 103.3(2) |
| C8 | C9 | C10 | 106.9(2) |
| C8 | C9 | C19 | 100.4(2) |
| C10 | C9 | C19 | 103.9(2) |
| C1 | C10 | C5 | 110.4(2) |
| C1 | C10 | C9 | 116.7(2) |
| C5 | C10 | C9 | 103.0(2) |
| C1 | C11 | C12 | 110.6(2) |
| C1 | C11 | C13 | 113.3(2) |
| C12 | C11 | C13 | 108.6(2) |
| C4 | C12 | C11 | 110.3(2) |
| C4 | C12 | C16 | 113.4(2) |
| C11 | C12 | C16 | 109.1(2) |
| O3 | C13 | C11 | 108.2(2) |
| O3 | C14 | O4 | 122.5(2) |
| O3 | C14 | C15 | 112.3(2) |
| O4 | C14 | C15 | 125.2(2) |
| O5 | C16 | C12 | 111.2(2) |
| O5 | C17 | O6 | 122.2(2) |
| O5 | C17 | C18 | 112.3(2) |
| O6 | C17 | C18 | 125.5(2) |
| C6 | C19 | C9 | 94.4(2) |

A geom torsion atom site label 1
B geom torsion atom site label 2
C geom torsion atom site label 3
D geom torsion atom site label 4
E geom torsion

| $\mathbf{A}$ | B | C | D | E |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| C14 | O3 | C13 | C11 | $178.8(2)$ |
| C13 | O3 | C14 | O4 | $2.4(3)$ |


| A | B | C | D | E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C13 | O3 | C14 | C15 | -177.0(2) |
| C17 | O5 | C16 | C12 | -91.8(2) |
| C16 | O5 | C17 | O6 | 2.2(4) |
| C16 | O5 | C17 | C18 | -179.0(2) |
| C10 | C1 | C2 | O1 | 122.2(2) |
| C10 | C1 | C2 | C3 | -58.5(2) |
| C11 | C1 | C2 | O1 | -120.3(2) |
| C11 | C1 | C2 | C3 | 59.1(2) |
| C2 | C1 | C10 | C5 | 58.5(2) |
| C2 | C1 | C10 | C9 | -58.6(2) |
| C11 | C1 | C10 | C5 | -56.9(2) |
| C11 | C1 | C10 | C9 | -174.0(2) |
| C2 | C1 | C11 | C12 | -60.5(2) |
| C2 | C1 | C11 | C13 | 177.4(2) |
| C10 | C1 | C11 | C12 | 57.0(2) |
| C10 | C1 | C11 | C13 | -65.2(2) |
| O1 | C2 | C3 | O2 | 2.0(3) |
| O1 | C2 | C3 | C4 | -179.3(2) |
| C1 | C2 | C3 | O2 | -177.4(2) |
| C1 | C2 | C3 | C4 | 1.3(2) |
| O2 | C3 | C4 | C5 | -125.4(2) |
| O2 | C3 | C4 | C12 | 118.2(2) |
| C2 | C3 | C4 | C5 | 56.0(2) |
| C2 | C3 | C4 | C12 | -60.4(2) |
| C3 | C4 | C5 | C6 | 61.8(2) |
| C3 | C4 | C5 | C10 | -54.9(2) |
| C12 | C4 | C5 | C6 | 177.5(2) |
| C12 | C4 | C5 | C10 | 60.7(2) |
| C3 | C4 | C12 | C11 | 58.0(2) |
| C3 | C4 | C12 | C16 | -64.7(2) |
| C5 | C4 | C12 | C11 | -60.7(2) |
| C5 | C4 | C12 | C16 | 176.7(2) |
| C4 | C5 | C6 | C7 | 168.9(2) |
| C4 | C5 | C6 | C19 | -85.6(2) |
| C10 | C5 | C6 | C7 | -70.2(2) |
| C10 | C5 | C6 | C19 | 35.3(2) |
| C4 | C5 | C10 | C1 | -2.1(2) |
| C4 | C5 | C10 | C9 | 123.1(2) |
| C6 | C5 | C10 | C1 | -127.1(2) |
| C6 | C5 | C10 | C9 | -1.9(2) |
| C5 | C6 | C7 | C8 | 72.8(2) |
| C19 | C6 | C7 | C8 | -34.8(2) |
| C5 | C6 | C19 | C9 | -53.8(2) |
| C7 | C6 | C19 | C9 | 56.9(2) |
| C6 | C7 | C8 | C9 | -1.3(2) |
| C7 | C8 | C9 | C10 | -70.9(2) |
| C7 | C8 | C9 | C19 | 37.2(2) |


| A | $\mathbf{B}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ | $\mathbf{D}$ | E |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| C8 | C9 | C10 | C1 | $-165.8(2)$ |
| C8 | C9 | C10 | C5 | $73.1(2)$ |
| C19 | C9 | C10 | C1 | $88.5(2)$ |
| C19 | C9 | C10 | C5 | $-32.5(2)$ |
| C8 | C9 | C19 | C6 | $-57.9(2)$ |
| C10 | C9 | C19 | C6 | $52.6(2)$ |
| C1 | C11 | C12 | C4 | $2.0(2)$ |
| C1 | C11 | C12 | C16 | $127.2(2)$ |
| C13 | C11 | C12 | C4 | $126.9(2)$ |
| C13 | C11 | C12 | C16 | $-108.0(2)$ |
| C1 | C11 | C13 | O3 | $-58.1(2)$ |
| C12 | C11 | C13 | O3 | $178.6(2)$ |
| C4 | C12 | C16 | O5 | $-56.5(2)$ |
| C11 | C12 | C16 | O5 | $-179.9(2)$ |

## E4.2 Mono-ring closed Mesylate 69



Figure 81: ORTEP plot of mono-ringlosed mesylate 69

## E.4.2.1 Chemical Data

| chemical formula moiety | 'C16H23O5' |
| :--- | :--- |
| chemical formula sum | 'C16H23O5' |
| chemical formula weight | 327.4 |

## E.4.2.2 Crystal Data

symmetry cell setting
symmetry space group name $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{M}$
cell length a
cell length $b$
cell length c
cell angle alpha
cell angle beta
cell angle gamma
cell volume
cell formula units Z
cell measurement reflns used
cell measurement theta min
cell measurement theta max
cell measurement temp erature
triclinic
'P-1'
7.066(1)
11.065(2)
20.449(4)
99.08(1)
93.29(1)
91.46(1)
1575.2(5)

4
10
20
22

| exptl crystal description | irregular |
| :--- | :--- |
| exptl crystal colour | colourless |
| exptl crystal size rad | 0.10 |
| exptl crystal density diffrn | 1.38 |
| exptl crystal density method | 'not measured' |
| exptl crystal F 000 | 700.0 |
| exptl absorpt coefficient mu | 1.968 |
| exptl absorpt correction type | none |

## E4.2.3 Experimental Data

diffrn radiation type
diffrn radiation wavelength
diffrn measurement device type
diffrn measurement method
diffrn reflns number
diffrn reflns theta max
diffrn reflns $h$ minutes
diffrn reflns $h$ max
diffrn reflns $k$ minutes
diffrn reflns k max
diffrn reflns 1 minutes
diffrn reflns 1 max
diffrn standards number
diffrn standards interval time
diffrn standards decay \%

## E.4.2.4 Refinement Data

reflns number total 5993
reflns number gt 4015
reflns threshold expression I>3ls(I)
refine ls structure factor coef
refine ls R factor gt
F
refine ls $w R$ factor ref 0.056
refine ls hydrogen treatment noref
refine ls number reflns 4015
refine ls number parameters 397
refine ls goodness of fit ref
refine is weighting scheme
refine ls weighting details
refine ls shift/su max
refine diff density max
refine diff density minutes
refine ls extinction method
'CuKla'
1.54184
'NoniusCAD-4'
$\backslash \omega-2 \backslash \theta$
5993
70
-8
8
-13
13
0
24
1
30 min
7

## E.4.2.5 Atomic Coordinates and Displacement Parameters

A atom site label
$B$ atom site fract x
$\mathbf{C}$ atom site fract $y$
D atom site fract z
E atom site $U$ iso or equiv
$\mathbf{F}$ atom site adp type
$\mathbf{G}$ atom site type symbol

| A | B | C | D | E | F | G |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S1A | -0.2475(1) | 0.07020(9) | 0.56980(5) | 0.0615(3) | Uani | S |
| O1A | 0.4654(3) | -0.0765(2) | 0.7312(1) | 0.0611(6) | Uani | O |
| O2A | 0.5693(3) | 0.1549(2) | 0.7599(1) | 0.0670(7) | Uani | O |
| O3A | -0.0481(4) | 0.0794(3) | 0.6059(1) | 0.0756(8) | Uani | O |
| O4A | -0.3867(4) | 0.1196(4) | 0.6127(2) | 0.118(1) | Uani | O |
| 05A | -0.2710(7) | -0.0473(3) | 0.5341(3) | 0.158(2) | Uani | O |
| C1A | 0.0281(5) | 0.0183(3) | 0.8938(2) | 0.0542(8) | Uani | C |
| C2A | -0.1429(6) | 0.0892(4) | 0.9215(2) | 0.067(1) | Uani | C |
| C3A | -0.0787(6) | 0.2257(4) | 0.9241(2) | 0.064(1) | Uani | C |
| C4A | 0.1152(5) | 0.2152(3) | 0.8930(2) | 0.0524(8) | Uani | C |
| C5A | 0.0742(4) | 0.1637(2) | 0.8187(1) | 0.0388(6) | Uani | C |
| C6A | 0.2282(4) | 0.1776(2) | 0.7705(2) | 0.0392(6) | Uani | C |
| C7A | 0.1676(4) | 0.1047(3) | 0.7014(2) | 0.0412(7) | Uani | C |
| C8A | 0.1502(4) | -0.0340(3) | 0.7059(2) | 0.0466(7) | Uani | C |
| C9A | 0.1708(4) | -0.0514(3) | 0.7785(2) | $0.0438(7)$ | Uani | C |
| C10A | 0.0287(4) | 0.0256(3) | 0.8194(2) | 0.0413(7) | Uani | C |
| C11A | 0.1935(5) | 0.1066(3) | 0.9241(2) | 0.0580(9) | Uani | C |
| C12A | 0.4136(4) | 0.1248(3) | 0.7946(2) | 0.0441(7) | Uani | C |
| C13A | 0.3826(4) | -0.0158(3) | 0.7903(2) | 0.0466(7) | Uani | C |
| C14A | 0.3167(5) | -0.1034(3) | 0.6790(2) | 0.0601(9) | Uani | C |
| C15A | -0.0147(5) | $0.1517(3)$ | 0.6730(2) | 0.0513(8) | Uani | C |
| C16A | -0.2210(8) | 0.1663(6) | 0.5126(3) | 0.106(2) | Uani | C |
| S1B | 0.76080(1) | $0.54292(8)$ | $0.43420(4)$ | 0.0521(2) | Uani | S |
| O1B | -0.0038(3) | 0.3493(2) | 0.2696(1) | 0.0624(7) | Uani | O |
| O2B | -0.0124(3) | 0.5660(2) | 0.2389(2) | 0.0732(8) | Uani | O |
| O3B | 0.5685(3) | 0.5301(3) | 0.3936(1) | 0.0809(9) | Uani | O |
| O4B | 0.9079(3) | 0.5826(3) | 0.3964(1) | 0.0678(7) | Uani | O |
| O5B | 0.7842(5) | 0.4342(3) | 0.4593(2) | 0.126(1) | Uani | O |
| C1B | $0.4275(5)$ | 0.2712(3) | 0.1057(2) | 0.0573(9) | Uani | C |
| C2B | 0.6205(6) | 0.2881(4) | 0.0766(2) | 0.070(1) | Uani | C |
| C3B | 0.6341(6) | 0.4283(4) | 0.0755(2) | 0.067(1) | Uani | C |
| C4B | 0.4481(5) | 0.4746(3) | 0.1043(2) | 0.0532(8) | Uani | C |
| C5B | 0.4671(4) | 0.4674(3) | 0.1792(2) | 0.0422(7) | Uani | C |
| C6B | 0.3254(4) | 0.5344(2) | 0.2257(2) | 0.0421(7) | Uani | C |
| C7B | 0.3621(4) | 0.5037(3) | 0.2958(2) | 0.0433(7) | Uani | C |
| C8B | 0.3242(4) | 0.3641(3) | 0.2947(2) | 0.0464(7) | Uani | C |
| C9B | 0.2865(4) | 0.3007(3) | 0.2229(2) | 0.0460(7) | Uani | C |
| C10B | 0.4468(4) | 0.3274(3) | 0.1800(2) | 0.0430(7) | Uani | C |


| A | B | C | D | E | F | G |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C11B | 0.3130(5) | 0.3650(4) | 0.0745(2) | 0.063(1) | Uani | C |
| C12B | 0.1221(4) | 0.4931(3) | 0.2026(2) | 0.0480(8) | Uani | C |
| C13B | 0.0929(4) | 0.3556(3) | 0.2101(2) | 0.0504(8) | Uani | C |
| C14B | 0.1367(5) | 0.3390(3) | 0.3228(2) | 0.0605(9) | Uani | C |
| C15B | 0.5598(5) | 0.5469(4) | 0.3242(2) | 0.0580(9) | Uani | C |
| C16B | 0.7178(7) | 0.6572(5) | 0.4980(2) | 0.108(2) | Uani | C |
| HO2A | 0.5926 | 0.0784 | 0.7287 | 0.067 | Uani | H |
| HC1A | 0.0367 | -0.0665 | 0.9047 | 0.054 | Uani | H |
| H1C2A | -0.1676 | 0.0716 | 0.9668 | 0.067 | Uani | H |
| H2C2A | -0.2596 | 0.0680 | 0.8914 | 0.067 | Uani | H |
| H1C3A | -0.0654 | 0.2698 | 0.9708 | 0.064 | Uani | H |
| H2C3A | -0.1701 | 0.2689 | 0.8974 | 0.064 | Uani | H |
| HC4A | 0.1974 | 0.2916 | 0.9022 | 0.052 | Uani | H |
| HC5A | -0.0434 | 0.2014 | 0.8027 | 0.039 | Uani | H |
| HC6A | 0.2495 | 0.2660 | 0.7672 | 0.039 | Uani | H |
| HC7A | 0.2700 | 0.1165 | 0.6710 | 0.041 | Uani | H |
| HC8A | 0.0277 | -0.0714 | 0.6839 | 0.047 | Uani | H |
| HC9A | 0.1502 | -0.1399 | 0.7820 | 0.044 | Uani | H |
| HC10A | -0.1019 | 0.0044 | 0.7986 | 0.041 | Uani | H |
| H1C11A | 0.3194 | 0.0803 | 0.9080 | 0.058 | Uani | H |
| H2C11A | 0.2011 | 0.1220 | 0.9737 | 0.058 | Uani | H |
| HC12A | 0.4403 | 0.1605 | 0.8424 | 0.044 | Uani | H |
| HC13A | 0.4381 | -0.0445 | 0.8310 | 0.047 | Uani | H |
| H1C14A | 0.2851 | -0.1933 | 0.6693 | 0.060 | Uani | H |
| H2C14A | 0.3557 | -0.0746 | 0.6376 | 0.060 | Uani | H |
| H1C15A | 0.0003 | 0.2409 | 0.6703 | 0.051 | Uani | H |
| H2C15A | -0.1224 | 0.1385 | 0.7010 | 0.051 | Uani | H |
| H1C16A | -0.3432 | 0.1681 | 0.4856 | 0.106 | Uani | H |
| H2C16A | -0.1852 | 0.2508 | 0.5360 | 0.106 | Uani | H |
| H3C16A | -0.1192 | 0.1361 | 0.4827 | 0.106 | Uani | H |
| HO2B | -0.0405 | 0.5059 | 0.2692 | 0.073 | Uani | H |
| HC1B | 0.3721 | 0.1857 | 0.0962 | 0.057 | Uani | H |
| H1C2B | 0.6230 | 0.2405 | 0.0308 | 0.070 | Uani | H |
| H2C2B | 0.7260 | 0.2624 | 0.1055 | 0.070 | Uani | H |
| H1C3B | 0.6425 | 0.4454 | 0.0291 | 0.067 | Uani | H |
| H2C3B | 0.7465 | 0.4672 | 0.1036 | 0.067 | Uani | H |
| HC4B | 0.4091 | 0.5557 | 0.0933 | 0.053 | Uani | H |
| HC5B | 0.5985 | 0.4959 | 0.1965 | 0.042 | Uani | H |
| HC6B | 0.3414 | 0.6248 | 0.2269 | 0.042 | Uani | H |
| HC7B | 0.2690 | 0.5487 | 0.3248 | 0.043 | Uani | H |
| HC8B | 0.4312 | 0.3268 | 0.3176 | 0.046 | Uani | H |
| HC9B | 0.2702 | 0.2103 | 0.2216 | 0.046 | Uani | H |
| HC10B | 0.5678 | 0.3000 | 0.1999 | 0.043 | Uani | H |
| H1C11B | 0.1819 | 0.3731 | 0.0904 | 0.063 | Uani | H |
| H2C11B | 0.3061 | 0.3490 | 0.0249 | 0.063 | Uani | H |
| HC12B | 0.1018 | 0.5005 | 0.1546 | 0.048 | Uani | H |
| HC13B | 0.0214 | 0.3081 | 0.1702 | 0.050 | Uani | H |


| $\mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{B}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ | $\mathbf{D}$ | $\mathbf{E}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{G}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H1C14B | 0.1314 | 0.2549 | 0.3349 | 0.060 | Uani | H |
| H2C14B | 0.1164 | 0.4007 | 0.3629 | 0.060 | Uani | H |
| H1C15B | 0.5821 | 0.6352 | 0.3208 | 0.058 | Uani | H |
| H2C15B | 0.6572 | 0.4970 | 0.2998 | 0.058 | Uani | H |
| H1C16B | 0.8342 | 0.6747 | 0.5286 | 0.108 | Uani | H |
| H2C16B | 0.6835 | 0.7329 | 0.4797 | 0.108 | Uani | H |
| H3C16B | 0.6107 | 0.6307 | 0.5230 | 0.108 | Uani | H |

A atom site aniso label
B atom site aniso U 11
C atom site aniso U 22
D atom site aniso U 33
Eatom site aniso U 12
F atom site aniso U 13
G atom site aniso U 23

| $\mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{B}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ | $\mathbf{D}$ | $\mathbf{E}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{G}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| S1A | $0.0626(6)$ | $0.0647(6)$ | $0.0552(5)$ | $-0.0080(4)$ | $-0.0131(4)$ | $0.0111(4)$ |
| O1A | $0.053(1)$ | $0.051(1)$ | $0.079(2)$ | $0.019(1)$ | $0.003(1)$ | $0.006(1)$ |
| O2A | $0.040(1)$ | $0.058(2)$ | $0.105(2)$ | $-0.003(1)$ | $0.006(1)$ | $0.017(1)$ |
| O3A | $0.062(2)$ | $0.111(2)$ | $0.050(1)$ | $0.023(2)$ | $-0.013(1)$ | $0.001(1)$ |
| O4A | $0.054(2)$ | $0.220(4)$ | $0.076(2)$ | $-0.004(2)$ | $0.000(2)$ | $0.015(2)$ |
| O5A | $0.172(4)$ | $0.066(2)$ | $0.215(5)$ | $-0.013(2)$ | $-0.068(3)$ | $-0.015(3)$ |
| C1A | $0.060(2)$ | $0.050(2)$ | $0.056(2)$ | $-0.001(2)$ | $0.000(2)$ | $0.019(2)$ |
| C2A | $0.064(2)$ | $0.078(3)$ | $0.060(2)$ | $0.004(2)$ | $0.012(2)$ | $0.016(2)$ |
| C3A | $0.069(2)$ | $0.064(2)$ | $0.060(2)$ | $0.010(2)$ | $0.014(2)$ | $0.004(2)$ |
| C4A | $0.061(2)$ | $0.044(2)$ | $0.050(2)$ | $-0.003(1)$ | $-0.006(2)$ | $0.004(1)$ |
| C5A | $0.037(1)$ | $0.032(1)$ | $0.046(2)$ | $0.003(1)$ | $-0.006(1)$ | $0.006(1)$ |
| C6A | $0.038(1)$ | $0.029(1)$ | $0.052(2)$ | $0.001(1)$ | $-0.005(1)$ | $0.012(1)$ |
| C7A | $0.038(2)$ | $0.038(2)$ | $0.049(2)$ | $0.004(1)$ | $0.000(1)$ | $0.011(1)$ |
| C8A | $0.047(2)$ | $0.039(2)$ | $0.052(2)$ | $0.000(1)$ | $-0.008(1)$ | $0.004(1)$ |
| C9A | $0.044(2)$ | $0.029(1)$ | $0.058(2)$ | $-0.001(1)$ | $-0.005(1)$ | $0.009(1)$ |
| C10A | $0.038(2)$ | $0.036(2)$ | $0.051(2)$ | $-0.003(1)$ | $-0.003(1)$ | $0.010(1)$ |
| C11A | $0.064(2)$ | $0.062(2)$ | $0.048(2)$ | $0.001(2)$ | $-0.012(2)$ | $0.012(2)$ |
| C12A | $0.031(1)$ | $0.041(2)$ | $0.060(2)$ | $0.000(1)$ | $-0.004(1)$ | $0.011(1)$ |
| C13A | $0.043(2)$ | $0.039(2)$ | $0.059(2)$ | $0.007(1)$ | $-0.007(1)$ | $0.013(1)$ |
| C14A | $0.065(2)$ | $0.046(2)$ | $0.066(2)$ | $0.013(2)$ | $-0.003(2)$ | $-0.001(2)$ |
| C15A | $0.050(2)$ | $0.058(2)$ | $0.046(2)$ | $0.010(2)$ | $-0.010(1)$ | $0.010(2)$ |
| C16A | $0.106(4)$ | $0.129(5)$ | $0.093(4)$ | $0.009(3)$ | $-0.015(3)$ | $0.059(4)$ |
| S1B | $0.0516(5)$ | $0.0514(5)$ | $0.0518(5)$ | $-0.0036(3)$ | $-0.0080(4)$ | $0.0087(3)$ |
| O1B | $0.043(1)$ | $0.055(1)$ | $0.089(2)$ | $-0.008(1)$ | $0.004(1)$ | $0.012(1)$ |
| O2B | $0.053(1)$ | $0.056(2)$ | $0.113(2)$ | $0.024(1)$ | $0.006(1)$ | $0.018(2)$ |
| O3B | $0.048(1)$ | $0.147(3)$ | $0.045(1)$ | $-0.023(2)$ | $-0.007(1)$ | $0.015(2)$ |
| O4B | $0.046(1)$ | $0.091(2)$ | $0.066(2)$ | $-0.006(1)$ | $-0.001(1)$ | $0.013(1)$ |
| O5B | $0.107(3)$ | $0.091(3)$ | $0.195(4)$ | $-0.001(2)$ | $-0.015(3)$ | $0.081(3)$ |
| C1B | $0.065(2)$ | $0.045(2)$ | $0.057(2)$ | $0.006(2)$ | $-0.008(2)$ | $-0.003(2)$ |
| C2B | $0.075(3)$ | $0.079(3)$ | $0.055(2)$ | $0.024(2)$ | $0.007(2)$ | $0.001(2)$ |


| $\mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{B}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ | $\mathbf{D}$ | $\mathbf{E}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{G}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C3B | $0.067(2)$ | $0.085(3)$ | $0.051(2)$ | $0.006(2)$ | $0.004(2)$ | $0.013(2)$ |
| C4B | $0.058(2)$ | $0.053(2)$ | $0.049(2)$ | $0.006(2)$ | $-0.008(2)$ | $0.011(2)$ |
| C5B | $0.039(2)$ | $0.040(2)$ | $0.046(2)$ | $0.001(1)$ | $-0.010(1)$ | $0.008(1)$ |
| C6B | $0.044(2)$ | $0.029(1)$ | $0.052(2)$ | $0.000(1)$ | $-0.007(1)$ | $0.007(1)$ |
| C7B | $0.038(2)$ | $0.037(2)$ | $0.051(2)$ | $-0.003(1)$ | $-0.004(1)$ | $0.002(1)$ |
| C8B | $0.045(2)$ | $0.039(2)$ | $0.057(2)$ | $0.005(1)$ | $-0.002(1)$ | $0.013(1)$ |
| C9B | $0.047(2)$ | $0.029(1)$ | $0.061(2)$ | $0.004(1)$ | $-0.006(1)$ | $0.008(1)$ |
| C10B | $0.041(2)$ | $0.036(2)$ | $0.050(2)$ | $0.010(1)$ | $-0.008(1)$ | $0.005(1)$ |
| C11B | $0.067(2)$ | $0.064(2)$ | $0.052(2)$ | $0.005(2)$ | $-0.022(2)$ | $0.002(2)$ |
| C12B | $0.039(2)$ | $0.040(2)$ | $0.063(2)$ | $0.008(1)$ | $-0.010(1)$ | $0.005(1)$ |
| C13B | $0.041(2)$ | $0.039(2)$ | $0.068(2)$ | $-0.006(1)$ | $-0.012(2)$ | $0.003(1)$ |
| C14B | $0.060(2)$ | $0.049(2)$ | $0.075(3)$ | $-0.011(2)$ | $0.008(2)$ | $0.016(2)$ |
| C15B | $0.049(2)$ | $0.078(3)$ | $0.044(2)$ | $-0.019(2)$ | $-0.007(1)$ | $0.005(2)$ |
| C16B | $0.101(4)$ | $0.113(4)$ | $0.092(4)$ | $-0.034(3)$ | $0.028(3)$ | $-0.043(3)$ |

## E.4.2.6 Molecular Geometry

A geom bond atom site label 1
B geom bond atom site label 2
C geom bond distance

| A | B | C |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| S1A | O3A | $1.546(2)$ |
| S1A | O4A | $1.419(3)$ |
| S1A | O5A | $1.388(4)$ |
| S1A | C16A | $1.715(5)$ |
| O1A | C13A | $1.449(4)$ |
| O1A | C14A | $1.445(4)$ |
| O2A | C12A | $1.404(4)$ |
| O3A | C15A | $1.477(4)$ |
| C1A | C2A | $1.544(5)$ |
| C1A | C10A | $1.536(4)$ |
| C1A | C11A | $1.540(5)$ |
| C2A | C3A | $1.558(6)$ |
| C3A | C4A | $1.542(5)$ |
| C4A | C5A | $1.546(4)$ |
| C4A | C11A | $1.545(5)$ |
| C5A | C6A | $1.530(4)$ |
| C5A | C10A | $1.557(4)$ |
| C6A | C7A | $1.543(4)$ |
| C6A | C12A | $1.535(4)$ |
| C7A | C8A | $1.554(4)$ |
| C7A | C15A | $1.521(4)$ |
| C8A | C9A | $1.527(4)$ |
| C8A | C14A | $1.504(4)$ |
| C9A | C10A | $1.532(4)$ |


| A | B |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| C9A | C13A | $1.533(4)$ |
| C12A | C13A | $1.553(4)$ |
| S1B | O3B | $1.542(2)$ |
| S1B | O4B | $1.430(3)$ |
| S1B | O5B | $1.390(3)$ |
| S1B | C16B | $1.714(4)$ |
| O1B | C13B | $1.440(4)$ |
| O1B | C14B | $1.451(4)$ |
| O2B | C12B | $1.427(4)$ |
| O3B | C15B | $1.459(4)$ |
| C1B | C2B | $1.537(5)$ |
| C1B | C10B | $1.546(4)$ |
| C1B | C11B | $1.527(5)$ |
| C2B | C3B | $1.556(6)$ |
| C3B | C4B | $1.538(5)$ |
| C4B | C5B | $1.544(4)$ |
| C4B | C11B | $1.548(5)$ |
| C5B | C6B | $1.543(4)$ |
| C5B | C10B | $1.554(4)$ |
| C6B | C7B | $1.534(4)$ |
| C6B | C12B | $1.522(4)$ |
| C7B | C8B | $1.557(4)$ |
| C7B | C15B | $1.517(4)$ |
| C8B | C9B | $1.529(4)$ |
| C8B | C14B | $1.511(4)$ |
| C9B | C10B | $1.522(4)$ |
| C9B | C13B | $1.536(4)$ |
| C12B | C13B | $1.563(4)$ |
|  |  |  |

A geom angle atom site label 1
B geom angle atom site label 2
C geom angle atom site label 3
D geom angle

| $\mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{B}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ | $\mathbf{D}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| O3A | S1A | O4A | $111.6(2)$ |
| O3A | S1A | O5A | $107.1(2)$ |
| O3A | S1A | C16A | $101.9(2)$ |
| O4A | S1A | O5A | $120.8(3)$ |
| O4A | S1A | C16A | $107.4(3)$ |
| O5A | S1A | C14A | $106.3(3)$ |
| C13A | O1A | C15A | $108.3(2)$ |
| S1A | O3A | C10A | $120.5(2)$ |
| C2A | C1A | C11A | $107.8(3)$ |
| C2A | C1A | C11A | $100.8(3)$ |
| C10A | C1A | $103.0(3)$ |  |
| C1A | C2A | $103.2(3)$ |  |


| A | B | C | D |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C2A | C3A | C4A | 102.6(3) |
| C3A | C4A | C5A | 106.5(3) |
| C3A | C4A | C11A | 99.7(3) |
| C5A | C4A | C11A | 104.9(3) |
| C4A | C5A | C6A | 118.8(2) |
| C4A | C5A | C10A | 102.7(2) |
| C6A | C5A | C10A | 109.9(2) |
| C5A | C6A | C7A | 109.8(2) |
| C5A | C6A | C12A | 110.0(2) |
| C7A | C6A | C12A | 107.6(2) |
| C6A | C7A | C8A | 109.6(2) |
| C6A | C7A | C15A | 111.4(2) |
| C8A | C7A | C15A | 112.0(2) |
| C7A | C8A | C9A | 109.4(2) |
| C7A | C8A | C14A | 112.5(3) |
| C9A | C8A | C14A | 100.6(2) |
| C8A | C9A | C10A | 110.7(2) |
| C8A | C9A | C13A | 97.2(2) |
| C10A | C9A | C13A | 118.7(2) |
| C1A | C10A | C5A | 103.1(2) |
| C1A | C10A | C9A | 117.8(2) |
| C5A | C10A | C9A | 109.2(2) |
| C1A | C11A | C4A | 93.7(2) |
| O2A | C12A | C6A | 112.7(2) |
| O2A | C12A | C13A | 112.3(2) |
| C6A | C12A | C13A | 108.4(2) |
| O1A | C13A | C9A | 103.9(2) |
| O1A | C13A | C12A | 108.8(3) |
| C9A | C13A | C12A | 110.3(2) |
| O1A | C14A | C8A | 104.6(3) |
| O3A | C15A | C7A | 105.3(2) |
| O3B | S1B | O4B | 110.8(1) |
| O3B | S1B | O5B | 106.8(2) |
| O3B | S1B | C16B | 101.4(2) |
| O4B | S1B | O5B | 117.8(2) |
| O4B | S1B | C16B | 109.7(2) |
| O5B | S1B | C16B | 109.0(3) |
| C13B | O1B | C14B | 108.4(2) |
| S1B | O3B | C15B | 119.9(2) |
| C2B | C1B | C10B | 107.8(3) |
| C2B | C1B | C11B | 100.4(3) |
| C10B | C1B | C11B | 103.1(3) |
| C1B | C2B | C3B | 102.8(3) |
| C2B | C3B | C4B | 103.5(3) |
| C3B | C4B | C5B | 106.6(3) |
| C3B | C4B | C11B | 99.5(3) |
| C5B | C4B | C11B | 104.3(3) |


| $\mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{B}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ | $\mathbf{D}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| C4B | C5B | C6B | $119.4(2)$ |
| C4B | C5B | C10B | $102.6(2)$ |
| C6B | C5B | C10B | $109.2(2)$ |
| C5B | C6B | C7B | $109.5(2)$ |
| C5B | C6B | C12B | $111.0(2)$ |
| C7B | C6B | C12B | $107.5(2)$ |
| C6B | C7B | C15B | $109.6(2)$ |
| C6B | C7B | C15B | $111.2(3)$ |
| C8B | C8B | C9B | $112.7(3)$ |
| C7B | C8B | C14B | $109.4(2)$ |
| C7B | C8B | C14B | $111.5(3)$ |
| C9B | C9B | C13B | $100.6(3)$ |
| C8B | C9B | C13B | $111.5(2)$ |
| C8B | C9B | C5B | $97.2(2)$ |
| C10B | C10B | C9B | $118.2(3)$ |
| C1B | C10B | C9B | $103.7(2)$ |
| C1B | C10B | C4B | $117.6(3)$ |
| C5B | C11B | C6B | $110.0(2)$ |
| C1B | C12B | C13B | $94.4(3)$ |
| O2B | C12B | C13B | $112.0(3)$ |
| O2B | C12B | C9B | $110.3(3)$ |
| C6B | C13B | C12B | $108.8(2)$ |
| O1B | C13B | C12B | $104.4(3)$ |
| O1B | C13B | C8B | $108.7(3)$ |
| C9B | C14B | C7B | $109.5(2)$ |
| O1B | C15B |  | $104.4(3)$ |
| O3B |  | $106.3(3)$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |

A geom torsion atom site label 1
B geom torsion atom site label 2
$\mathbf{C}$ geom torsion atom site label
D geom torsion atom site label 4
$\mathbf{E}$ geom torsion

| $\mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{B}$ | C | $\mathbf{D}$ | $\mathbf{E}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| O4A | S1A | O3A | C15A | $-9.8(4)$ |
| O5A | S1A | O3A | C15A | $-144.1(4)$ |
| C16A | S1A | O3A | C15A | $104.5(3)$ |
| C14A | O1A | C13A | C9A | $20.9(3)$ |
| C14A | O1A | C13A | C12A | $-96.6(3)$ |
| C13A | O1A | C14A | C8A | $10.8(3)$ |
| S1A | O3A | C15A | C7A | $160.6(2)$ |
| C10A | C1A | C2A | C3A | $-74.0(3)$ |
| C11A | C1A | C2A | C3A | $33.6(3)$ |
| C2A | C1A | C10A | C5A | $67.2(3)$ |
| C2A | C1A | C10A | C9A | $-172.5(3)$ |
| C11A | C1A | C10A | C5A | $-38.9(3)$ |


| A | B | C | D | E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C11A | C1A | C10A | C9A | 81.4(3) |
| C2A | C1A | C11A | C4A | -57.0(3) |
| C10A | C1A | C11A | C4A | 54.4(3) |
| C1A | C2A | C3A | C4A | 3.7(3) |
| C2A | C3A | C4A | C5A | 69.3(3) |
| C2A | C3A | C4A | C11A | -39.5(3) |
| C3A | C4A | C5A | C6A | 162.2(2) |
| C3A | C4A | C5A | C10A | -76.2(3) |
| C11A | C4A | C5A | C6A | -92.6(3) |
| C11A | C4A | C5A | C10A | 28.9(3) |
| C3A | C4A | C11A | C1A | 59.3(3) |
| C5A | C4A | C11A | C1A | -50.8(3) |
| C4A | C5A | C6A | C7A | 172.2(2) |
| C4A | C5A | C6A | C12A | 54.1(3) |
| C10A | C5A | C6A | C7A | 54.4(3) |
| C10A | C5A | C6A | C12A | -63.8(3) |
| C4A | C5A | C10A | C1A | 5.9(3) |
| C4A | C5A | C10A | C9A | -120.2(3) |
| C6A | C5A | C10A | C1A | 133.3(2) |
| C6A | C5A | C10A | C9A | 7.2(3) |
| C5A | C6A | C7A | C8A | -63.6(3) |
| C5A | C6A | C7A | C15A | 61.0(3) |
| C12A | C6A | C7A | C8A | 56.1(3) |
| C12A | C6A | C7A | C15A | -179.4(2) |
| C5A | C6A | C12A | O2A | -168.7(2) |
| C5A | C6A | C12A | C13A | 66.4(3) |
| C7A | C6A | C12A | O2A | 71.7(3) |
| C7A | C6A | C12A | C13A | -53.1(3) |
| C6A | C7A | C8A | C9A | 8.0(3) |
| C6A | C7A | C8A | C14A | -102.9(3) |
| C15A | C7A | C8A | C9A | -116.2(3) |
| C15A | C7A | C8A | C14A | 132.9(3) |
| C6A | C7A | C15A | O3A | 176.6(2) |
| C8A | C7A | C15A | O3A | -60.3(3) |
| C7A | C8A | C9A | C10A | 54.4(3) |
| C7A | C8A | C9A | C13A | -70.1(3) |
| C14A | C8A | C9A | C10A | 173.0(2) |
| C14A | C8A | C9A | C13A | 48.5(3) |
| C7A | C8A | C14A | O1A | 78.3(3) |
| C9A | C8A | C14A | O1A | -38.0(3) |
| C8A | C9A | C10A | C1A | 179.4(2) |
| C8A | C9A | C10A | C5A | -63.5(3) |
| C13A | C9A | C10A | C1A | -69.5(3) |
| C13A | C9A | C10A | C5A | 47.5(3) |
| C8A | C9A | C13A | O1A | -42.9(3) |
| C8A | C9A | C13A | C12A | 73.5(3) |
| C10A | C9A | C13A | O1A | -161.3(2) |


| A | B | C | D | E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C10A | C9A | C13A | C12A | -44.9(4) |
| O2A | C12A | C13A | O1A | -24.1(3) |
| O2A | C12A | C13A | C9A | -137.5(3) |
| C6A | C12A | C13A | O1A | 101.1(3) |
| C6A | C12A | C13A | C9A | -12.3(4) |
| O4B | S1B | O3B | C15B | 5.5(4) |
| O5B | S1B | O3B | C15B | -124.0(4) |
| C16B | S1B | O3B | C15B | 122.0(3) |
| C14B | O1B | C13B | C9B | 20.7(3) |
| C14B | O1B | C13B | C12B | -96.0(3) |
| C13B | O1B | C14B | C8B | 10.6(3) |
| S1B | O3B | C15B | C7B | 168.9(2) |
| C10B | C1B | C2B | C3B | -71.1(3) |
| C11B | C1B | C2B | C3B | 36.4(3) |
| C2B | C1B | C10B | C5B | 69.8(3) |
| C2B | C1B | C10B | C9B | -168.5(3) |
| C11B | C1B | C10B | C5B | -35.8(3) |
| C11B | C1B | C10B | C9B | 85.8(3) |
| C2B | C1B | C11B | C4B | -58.1(3) |
| C10B | C1B | C11B | C4B | 53.1(3) |
| C1B | C2B | C3B | C4B | 0.3(3) |
| C2B | C3B | C4B | C5B | 71.9(3) |
| C2B | C3B | C4B | C11B | -36.2(3) |
| C3B | C4B | C5B | C6B | 166.0(3) |
| C3B | C4B | C5B | C10B | -73.2(3) |
| C11B | C4B | C5B | C6B | -89.4(3) |
| C11B | C4B | C5B | C10B | 31.4(3) |
| C3B | C4B | C11B | C1B | 57.9(3) |
| C5B | C4B | C11B | C1B | -52.0(3) |
| C4B | C5B | C6B | C7B | 174.8(3) |
| C4B | C5B | C6B | C12B | 56.3(3) |
| C10B | C5B | C6B | C7B | 57.3(3) |
| C10B | C5B | C6B | C12B | -61.1(3) |
| C4B | C5B | C10B | C1B | 2.4(3) |
| C4B | C5B | C10B | C9B | -124.2(3) |
| C6B | C5B | C10B | C1B | 130.0(3) |
| C6B | C5B | C10B | C9B | 3.4(3) |
| C5B | C6B | C7B | C8B | -63.4(3) |
| C5B | C6B | C7B | C15B | 61.8(3) |
| C12B | C6B | C7B | C8B | 57.2(3) |
| C12B | C6B | C7B | C15B | -177.5(3) |
| C5B | C6B | C12B | O2B | -171.6(2) |
| C5B | C6B | C12B | C13B | 66.3(3) |
| C7B | C6B | C12B | O2B | 68.7(3) |
| C7B | C6B | C12B | C13B | -53.4(3) |
| C6B | C7B | C8B | C9B | 6.9(3) |
| C6B | C7B | C8B | C14B | -103.6(3) |


| $\mathbf{A}$ | B | C | $\mathbf{D}$ | E |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| C15B | C7B | C8B | C9B | $-117.5(3)$ |
| C15B | C7B | C8B | C14B | $132.1(3)$ |
| C6B | C7B | C15B | O3B | $171.6(3)$ |
| C8B | C7B | C15B | O3B | $-65.0(4)$ |
| C7B | C8B | C9B | C10B | $54.6(3)$ |
| C7B | C8B | C9B | C13B | $-69.6(3)$ |
| C14B | C8B | C9B | C10B | $172.1(2)$ |
| C14B | C8B | C9B | C13B | $48.0(3)$ |
| C7B | C8B | C14B | O1B | $78.3(3)$ |
| C9B | C8B | C14B | O1B | $-37.6(3)$ |
| C8B | C9B | C10B | C1B | $-179.0(2)$ |
| C8B | C9B | C10B | C5B | $-60.7(3)$ |
| C13B | C9B | C10B | C1B | $-67.7(3)$ |
| C13B | C9B | C10B | C5B | $50.7(3)$ |
| C8B | C9B | C13B | O1B | $-42.6(3)$ |
| C8B | C9B | C13B | C12B | $73.6(3)$ |
| C10B | C9B | C13B | O1B | $-161.8(2)$ |
| C10B | C9B | C13B | C12B | $-45.6(4)$ |
| O2B | C12B | C13B | O1B | $-22.4(3)$ |
| O2B | C12B | C13B | C9B | $-135.8(3)$ |
| C6B | C12B | C13B | O1B | $100.8(3)$ |
| C6B | C12B | C13B | C9B | $-12.6(4)$ |

## E. 5 Representative Proton NMR Data

This section provides selected expansions of the $500 \mathrm{MHz}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum of the bis-porphyrin-TCNQ target $\mathbf{4 8}$. The spectrum of $\mathbf{4 8}$ features aspects characteristic for the series of porphyrin adducts synthesised throughout this thesis and it can be seen as a representative example of its kind. Whereas mostly 300 MHz spectra are depicted above, the spectroscopic data given in the Experimental Part are often based on 500 MHz spectra, which allow the determination of the small coupling constants given. COSY experiments were carried out for the assignment of most proton signals.

The $300 \mathrm{MHz}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum of bis-porphyrin-TCNQ 48 is given in Figure 28 on page 65 .The expansion of the 500 MHz spectrum given here (Figure 82) reveals the slightly different chemical shifts for the two pairs of $\beta$-pyrrolic protons at 8.648 ppm and 8.650 ppm , respectively. Slightly different chemical shifts can also be expected for the remaining eight lateral $\beta$-pyrrolic protons, which results in the pattern depicted in the spectrum below rather than in an often observed $A B$ quartet $(\mathbf{3 1}, \mathbf{3 2}, \mathbf{3 4}, \mathbf{3 5}, 43,46,100,106,108,153,154)$. The COSY experiment revealed the coupling between the inner porphyrin protons (with a chemical shift of -2.61 ppm and -2.62 ppm , respectively) and the $\beta$-pyrrolic protons.


Figure 82: 1H-NMR expansion ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, 8.2 \mathrm{ppm}$ to 9.0 ppm ) of bis-porphyrin-TCNQ 48

The four quinoxaline protons of the two donor moieties give two singlets at 8.34 ppm and 8.35 ppm , respectively. The four aromatic protons of the TCNQ accep tor show as doublets of doublets at 8.24 ppm (Figure 82) and 7.61 ppm (Figure 83), respectively. Couplingconstants for these protons typically range between 5.6 to 6.4 ppm and 2.9 to 3.4 ppm , depending on the type of acceptor (DMN, NQ, TCNQ). The triplets observed for the 24 aromatic protons of the eight 3,5-di-tert-butylphenyl groups show small coupling constants of 1.7 Hz and 1.8 Hz , respectively, and chemical shifts between 7.7 and 8.0 ppm (Figure 83).


Figure 83: 1H-NMR expansion ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, 7.6 \mathrm{ppm}$ to 8.0 ppm ) of bis-porphyrin-TCNQ 48

Compared to the DMN ( 5.17 ppm ) and NQ derivatives ( 5.21 ppm ) the proton resonance for the bridgehead protons adjacent to the TCNQ moiety is shifted downfield to 5.46 ppm and appears as a triplet $(J=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$. The two 6B-bridgehead protons give a broad singlet at 3.74 ppm as shown in Figure 84.


Figure 84: 1H-NMR expansion ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, 3.5 \mathrm{ppm}$ to 5.5 ppm ) of bis-porphyrin-TCNQ 48

The proton signals for the carbon backbone can be assigned as depicted in Figure 85. The methylene bridge protons appear as doublets at 2.06 ppm and $2.14 \mathrm{ppm}(J=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$, and at -0.84 ppm and -0.68 ppm for the methylene bridge protons between the two aromatic systems. The strong up-field shift has been discussed in B.2.3 Condensations (page 60) and the observed coup ling constant $(J=12.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$ is within the usually observed range.


Figure 85: 1H-NMR expansion ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, 2.0 \mathrm{ppm}$ to 2.9 ppm ) of bis-porphyrin-TCNQ 48

The $500 \mathrm{MHz}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum shows four distinct singlets integrating to 18 protons each and two singlets counting for 36 protons each (Figure 86). For some compounds (e.g. the DMN equivalent 36) eight singlets can be observed.


Figure 86: 1H-NMR expansion ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, 1.2 \mathrm{ppm}$ to 1.6 ppm ) of bis-porphyrin-TCNQ 48

The inner protons of the porphyrin systems show as two singlets (here hardly resolved) at -2.61 ppm and -2.62 ppm , respectively (Figure 87).


Figure 87: 1H-NMR expansion ( 500 MHz , -2.7 ppm to $\mathbf{- 2 . 5} \mathbf{~ p p m}$ ) of bis-porphyrin-TCNQ 48
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